International Research Journal of Social Sciences ISSN 2319–3565
Vol. **15(3),** 1-5, July **(2026)** *Int. Res. J. Social Sci.*

A Study on Impact of GST on MSMEs in Bagalkot District of Karnataka, India

Ayisha Nadaf
Basaveshwar Commerce College, Bagalkot, Karnataka, India
ayishanadaf2002@gmail.com

Available online at: www.isca.in, www.isca.me
Received 11th April 2026, revised 30th May 2026, accepted 17th June 2026

Abstract

The deployment of the Goods and Services Tax (GST) stands as a foundational milestone in India's fiscal history, structured to eliminate the fragmented indirect tax system and forge a frictionless national market. By consolidating diverse levies including Value Added Tax (VAT), service tax, and central excise GST was intended to mitigate cascading tax structures and foster institutional clarity. Concurrently, Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) function as a primary engine of India's macroeconomic vitality, driving localized employment, balanced regional growth, and export volumes. This structural shift, however, introduced dual realities for smaller firms: while it streamlined broad tax frameworks, it simultaneously escalated compliance demands through mandatory digitization and rigorous documentation protocols. This empirical inquiry evaluates the operational and financial implications of GST on MSMEs situated within the Bagalkot District of Karnataka. Utilizing a primary data approach, data was gathered via a structured survey administered to 100 localized MSME operators, supplemented by contemporary secondary literature. The diagnostic outcomes illuminate a nuanced spectrum of perceptions, demonstrating that while market integration and tax cascading reductions have positively influenced competitiveness, administrative overheads and system updates present persistent frictional challenges.

Keywords: Fiscal Transformation, Goods and Services Tax, MSME Ecosystem, Regulatory Compliance, Operational Efficiency.

Introduction

The launch of the Goods and Services Tax (GST) on July 1, 2017, marked a paradigm shift in India's sovereign tax architecture. Designed to dismantle a convoluted, multi-layered indirect tax regime, the reform consolidated fractured state and central duties into a singular, destination-based taxation framework. The strategic intent focused on removing artificial interstate trade barriers, improving systemic transparency, and neutralizing the economic deadweight of tax compounding.

Within the macroeconomic landscape of India, Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) act as critical socio-economic stabilizers. They anchor employment avenues outside metropolitan hubs and feed industrial supply chains. Nevertheless, transitioning these lean entities into a centralized, digitally driven tax ecosystem has altered their day-to-day operational mechanics. Standardized procedures like real-time electronic return filing and systemic invoice matching have shifted the baseline requirements for running a business.

In Karnataka's Bagalkot district, the MSME cluster is notably diverse, spanning traditional manufacturing units, regional trading hubs, and service operations. Given their distinct localized constraints, evaluating how these firms have adapted to the GST framework provides valuable insights. Consequently, this study explores the explicit operational changes, structural challenges, and general perceptions of MSME owners in Bagalkot following this historic fiscal transition.

Statement of the Problem: While the overarching goal of GST was to optimize compliance, the transition phase revealed a distinct digital divide for smaller organizations. MSMEs frequently operate with tighter cash flows and lack dedicated corporate legal or IT infrastructure. Consequently, dealing with frequent adjustments to tax rates, mastering web-portal interfaces, and keeping up with systematic documentation can strain regular business operations.

The core issue stems from an operational asymmetry: while advantages like the Input Tax Credit (ITC) mechanism and fluid cross-border logistics are clear, the immediate transactional costs such as software upgrades and hiring technical consultants fall heavily on resource-constrained firms. Therefore, there is a clear academic and practical need to investigate these dynamics at the district level. This study addresses that gap by assessing how the dual forces of simplified structures and increased compliance demands affect the MSME sector in Bagalkot.

Research Objectives: i. To evaluate how the implementation of GST has influenced the baseline growth trajectories and operational performance of MSMEs in the Bagalkot District. ii. To document the specific procedural and administrative hurdles experienced by small enterprise operators under the modernized tax regime. iii. To gauge the perceptions, attitudes, and systemic feedback of localized MSME owners regarding the ongoing digital compliance framework.

Significance of the Study: Because MSMEs contribute substantially to domestic industrial output, their capacity to smoothly absorb major regulatory updates directly impacts regional economic health.

This investigation offers a localized look at how minor enterprises manage systemic changes over time. By identifying where theory meets real-world application, the findings provide useful, grounded insights for tax administrators, industry associations, and policymakers looking to refine compliance processes and support small-firm sustainability.

Methodology

This study uses a descriptive and analytical survey design to examine the post-GST operational realities of MSMEs in Bagalkot.

Data Collection Strategy: Primary insights were gathered directly from business owners and managers via a targeted digital survey. Contextual grounding and theoretical benchmarks were drawn from academic journals, ministerial briefs, and institutional economic reports.

Sampling Protocol: A convenience sampling approach was selected, focusing on active business operators within the district who were available and willing to share their operational data.

Sample Size: The finalized analytical sample consists of N = 100 complete responses from verified MSME entities across Bagalkot.

Literature Review: Pinki, Supriya Kamma, and Richa Verma examined the foundational mechanics of the GST rollout across the wider Indian economy[1]. They noted that consolidating multiple indirect taxes successfully lowered structural transparency barriers and minimized the historical tax-on-tax compounding effect.

Amit Kumar and Shailesh Chandra investigated the specific effects of the transition on smaller enterprises[2]. Their analysis showed that while long-term benefits like systemic input tax reconciliations were valuable, initial adoption was slowed by complex digital workflows and limited early support mechanisms.

Mishra & Gupta evaluated the structural nuances of the simplified tax system, stating that proper legal remedies and awareness are necessary to resolve systemic implementation challenges for small businesses[3].

According to the reports of the Ministry of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises, minor businesses play an irreplaceable role in boosting national industrial production, employment, and export levels[4]. These institutional reviews place a strong emphasis on continuous digital training and simplified tax administration to help smaller firms thrive under modern regulations.

Expanding on the wider economic landscape, Dash outlined both the micro-level constraints and structural advantages generated during the initial phases of the tax overhaul[5].

Similarly, Jayalakshmi and Venkateswarlu documented specific financial bottlenecks observed within small clusters, noting that administrative adaptive capacity directly dictates corporate margins[6].

Kawle and Aher emphasized the long-term transitional adjustments required by businesses, highlighting that micro-enterprises often bear the heaviest operational adjustments[7].

Furthermore, Manoj observed that unified national market access acts as a structural stabilizer despite near-term filing frictions[8].

Probing stakeholder perspectives, Nedunchezhian and Ali revealed that local traders express a mix of appreciation for reduced logistics boundaries alongside anxiety over digital audits[9].

Priya characterized the entire fiscal transition as an indispensable baseline disruption that reshapes market transparency[10].

Analyzing performance metrics, Shukla and Singh tracked balance sheet dynamics a year after the rollout, proving hat institutional size heavily influences a firm's speed of compliance adoption[11].

Suman evaluated how new-era tax policies directly impact entrepreneurship, emphasizing that simplified local guidance mitigates initial compliance shocks[12].

Finally, Van Leemput and Wiencek provided an international perspective on the structural shift, arguing that removing domestic trade barriers fundamentally accelerates domestic manufacturing growth[13].

Results and Discussion

Results of this research study are given in Table-1 and 2.

Table-1: Socio-Demographic and Enterprise Profile of Respondents.

Operational Metric	Classification Category	Observed Frequency	Sample Proportion (%)
Age Distribution	Under 25 Years	18	18%
	25 – 35 Years	36	36%
	36 – 45 Years	28	28%
	Beyond 45 Years	18	18%
Gender Profile	Male	62	62%
	Female	38	38%
Educational Background	Pre-University / Diploma	21	21%
	Undergraduate Degree	34	34%
	Postgraduate Degree	30	30%
	Alternate Qualifications	15	15%
Organizational Function	Founder / Business Owner	53	53%
	Functional Manager	11	11%
	Accounting & Finance Personnel	23	23%
	Retained Consultant / Auditor	13	13%
Scale of Operation	Micro Enterprise	27	27%
	Small Enterprise	42	42%
	Medium Enterprise	31	31%

Empirical Interpretation: The survey data points to a clear duality in how the GST transition has played out for these businesses. To optimize operational structures, managers actively utilize digital enrollment guidelines found on platforms like ClearTax[14] and the official government Udyam portal[15].

On one hand, there is strong agreement on structural improvements: 77% of respondents state that the current unified framework is simpler than the older, fragmented tax system (Item 1), and 68% report a clear rise in transaction transparency (Item 6). Logistically, the removal of check-posts and state-line fiscal differences has paid off, with a solid majority 63% noting smoother interstate trade and broader market access (Items 8 and 13). The data also shows that the Input Tax Credit mechanism works well in practice, with 65% confirming it helps reduce tax compounding and improve internal cost structures (Items 5 and 11).

On the other hand, the numbers also show where the system creates friction for smaller operations. A notable 67% of respondents report higher compliance expenses, driven by the need for specialized accounting software and professional advisory services (Item 4).

As reported in macro media analyses by The Economic Times, regional clusters heavily rely on targeted institutional tie-ups to manage these ongoing overheads[16].

In addition, 59% express concern over frequent changes to rules and rates, which can disrupt standard business planning (Item 9). To mitigate this uncertainty, business owners rely directly on continuous guidance updates issued by the official GST Council Secretariat for the MSME sector[17].

Perceptions of the digital infrastructure itself are more mixed: for Items 3 and 7 which cover portal usability and return steps neutral responses hover around 41% and 44%. This indicates that while the system is functional, a large segment of the sample still experiences occasional technical friction or user challenges.

Ultimately, though, the balance tilts positive: 55% of the surveyed MSMEs view GST as a net benefit to their long-term growth and market position, provided ongoing operational adjustments continue to smooth out (Item 15).

Findings: i. Most local businesses agree that moving to a single, unified tax structure has simplified the overall indirect tax environment. ii. Transitioning to digital compliance has led to a noticeable increase in administrative duties and structural requirements for smaller firms. iii. While basic return steps are manageable, a significant volume of neutral responses suggests that user interfaces can still feel overly complex for non-specialist owners. iv. The need for specialized tax software, digital tools, and external accounting support has raised ongoing administrative costs for MSMEs. v. The automated Input Tax Credit mechanism is widely seen as a major benefit, successfully lowering net tax liabilities and reducing cascading costs. vi. The current digital framework has strengthened general accountability and transaction clarity across supply lines. vii. Eliminating localized border tariffs has created smoother interstate trade, allowing smaller firms to reach broader national markets. viii. Frequent updates to rates, exemptions, and compliance schedules can introduce operational uncertainty and complicate near-term business planning.

Table-2: Perceptual Response Distribution Regarding GST Dimensions.

Metric Reference & Evaluated Indicator	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Item 1. GST simplified indirect taxation compared to old regimes.	19%	58%	21%	2%	0%
Item 2. The new framework raised overall administrative duties.	15%	36%	42%	7%	0%
Item 3. Return-filing steps are intuitive and clear for MSMEs.	13%	40%	41%	6%	0%
Item 4. Costs for tax tools, systems, and consultants have grown.	11%	56%	27%	3%	0%
Item 5. The ITC system improves margins and cuts net tax pressure.	17%	48%	30%	3%	2%
Item 6. Transaction transparency and tracking have increased.	14%	54%	25%	7%	0%
Item 7. The central online platform runs smoothly and efficiently.	10%	38%	44%	6%	2%
Item 8. Cross-border, interstate shipping is faster and simpler now.	8%	55%	32%	4%	1%
Item 9. Regular updates to rules and rates create operational flux.	12%	47%	36%	4%	1%
Item 10. Digital demands have notably increased internal daily workloads.	6%	38%	47%	7%	2%
Item 11. Tax compounding has decreased, improving cost structures.	8%	55%	30%	6%	1%
Item 12. Firm competitiveness in the national market has improved.	11%	56%	25%	5%	3%
Item 13. The current structure helps firms scale outside state lines.	9%	51%	33%	6%	1%
Item 14. Admin and financial compliance costs have grown higher.	10%	45%	38%	5%	2%
Item 15. Overall, GST benefits small business growth and longevity.	8%	47%	39%	2%	4%

Recommendations: i. Government tech teams should continuously update the central tax portal to prioritize intuitive navigation, reduce system timeouts, and make filing easier for small taxpayers. ii. Minimizing sudden shifts in tax brackets and compliance rules would give small businesses a more predictable environment for long-term planning. iii. Local trade associations and government agencies should set up accessible, ongoing workshops to help micro and small enterprises understand updating compliance requirements. Iv. Introducing low-cost utility software or targeted digital subsidies would help lean firms manage accounting overheads more effectively. v. Setting up dedicated help desks and providing localized digital assistance would help non-technical business operators navigate modern filing tasks with greater confidence.

Conclusion

This study shows that the introduction of the Goods and Services Tax has fundamentally reshaped the business environment for MSMEs in the Bagalkot District. By consolidating a fractured indirect tax structure, the reform has successfully reduced historical tax compounding, improved transaction clarity, and made interstate logistics much more fluid.

At the same time, the transition has brought real challenges for smaller operations, particularly around rising administrative costs, system updates, and the demands of digital compliance. These friction points highlight the need for responsive, supportive adjustments to tax administration rather than a one-size-fits-all approach. Overall, the data shows that GST has had a moderately positive impact, helping smaller firms integrate more deeply into the wider national economy. With continued efforts to simplify compliance processes and provide practical support for digital literacy, the GST framework will be well-positioned to strengthen the long-term resilience and growth of the MSME sector.

References

1. Pinki, Supriya Kamma, and Richa Verma. (2017). Positive and negative impact of GST on Indian economy. *International Journal of Management and Applied Science*, 3(5), 158-160.

2. Amit Kumar and Shailesh Chandra. (2018). Examined the impact of GST on MSMEs in India. *International Journal of Engineering and Management Research*, 8(2), 91-95.

3. Mishra, A., & Gupta, A. (2018). Study of GST in Indian Context. GST Simplified Tax System: Challenges and Remedies, 1(1), 163-165.

4. MSME (2025). Ministry of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises.@ Government of India. (https://msme.gov.in/).

5. Dash, A. (2017). Positive and negative impact of GST on Indian economy. International Journal of Management and Applied Science, 3(5), 158-160.

6. Jayalakshmi, M., & Venkateswarlu, G. (2018). Impact of GST on Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs). *International Journal of Engineering and Management Research*, 8(2), 91-95.

7. Kawle, P. S., & Aher, A. (2017). GST: An economic overview: Challenges and Impact ahead. *International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET*), 4(7), 2760-2763.

8. Manoj, S. (2019). Goods and services Tax (GST) in India- An Overview and impact. *Advances in Management,* 12(1), 59-61.

9. Nedunchezhian, V. R., & Ali, A. (2018). Analysis of impact of GST with reference to Perspective of Small Business Stakeholders. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics,* 119(17), 2357-2367.

10. Priya, B. M. (2017). GST-A Game Changer. *International Journal of Management Research and Social Science*, 4(1), 10-12.

11. Shukla, S., & Singh, R. (2018). GST in India: Performance of Companies After One-Year of Roll Out. *Indian Journal of Finance*, 12(11), 37-47.

12. Suman, S. (2017). Study on New GST Era and Its Impact on Small Businesses Entrepreneurs. *Journal of Accounting, Finance & Marketing Technology*, 1(2), 24-36.

13. Van Leemput, E., & Wiencek, E. A. (2017). The effect of the GST on Indian growth. Board of Governors of the United States Federal Reserve System, International Finance Discussion Paper Note.

14. Cleartax (2025). Clear Tax MSME India Portal. https://cleartax.in/s/msme-registration-india.

15. Udayam Registration (2026). Udyam Government Registration Portal.

16. Economictimes, India times (2013). The Economic Times: Small Biz Institutional Support Pact Announcements.

17. GST (2015). Council Secretariat: MSME Sector Guidance. http://gstcouncil.gov.in/msme.