



Impact of Goods and Services tax on Micro, Small and Medium enterprises in Karnataka, India

Krishnappa Madar

KRCES GGD ARTS, BMP Commerce and SVS Science College Bailhongal, Bailhongal, Karnataka, India
krishnamadar888@gmail.com

Available online at: www.isca.in, www.isca.me

Received 5th April 2026, revised 18th April 2026, accepted 4th May 2026

Abstract

The introduction of the Goods and Services Tax (GST) was aimed at establishing a unified and efficient indirect tax system in India; however, its impact on decentralized rural production systems remains inadequately examined. This study investigates the effect of GST on cost structures, pricing behaviour, and profitability of micro, small, and medium enterprises (MSMEs) in the rural textile sector of Karnataka. The findings reveal a significant “pincer effect,” wherein rising input costs and rigid market conditions have led to a decline in net profit margins from 12.80% to 10.15%, indicating that these enterprises function as “price takers” and are unable to shift tax burdens onto consumers. The study also highlights a substantial digital divide, where lower educational attainment and limited digital literacy increase compliance complexity and administrative costs. Furthermore, delays in Input Tax Credit (ITC) refunds contribute to persistent liquidity constraints, compelling enterprises to rely on high-interest informal credit sources. Although recent GST rationalization measures have attempted to address structural inefficiencies, their effectiveness at the grassroots level remains limited. The study concludes that while GST has enhanced formalization, it has simultaneously imposed operational challenges on rural MSMEs, thereby necessitating targeted policy interventions focusing on simplified compliance mechanisms, faster refund processes, and digital capacity enhancement for sustainable and inclusive growth.

Keywords: GST, MSMEs, Rural Textile Sector, Cost Structure, Profitability, Input Tax Credit (ITC), Digital Divide, Karnataka.

Introduction

The implementation of the Goods and Services Tax (GST) marked a major reform in India’s indirect taxation system by integrating multiple taxes into a unified framework aimed at improving transparency and efficiency¹. While its macroeconomic benefits are well recognized, its impact on decentralized and rural production systems, particularly MSMEs, remains insufficiently explored². These enterprises play a vital role in employment generation and sustaining traditional industries such as textiles³.

The textile sector in Northern Karnataka consists largely of small, semi-formal units characterized by limited capital, dependence on informal credit, and weak market power⁴. Under GST, multiple tax slabs and compliance requirements have significantly affected their cost structure, pricing decisions, and working capital management⁵. The presence of the Inverted Duty Structure (IDS) and delays in Input Tax Credit (ITC) refunds have further created liquidity constraints, increasing reliance on high-interest informal borrowing⁶.

Additionally, digital compliance requirements have imposed administrative challenges, particularly for entrepreneurs with low digital literacy⁷. As these enterprises operate as “price takers,” they are unable to pass increased costs onto consumers, resulting in margin compression and reduced profitability⁸.

In this context, the textile clusters of Northern Karnataka provide a relevant setting to examine the micro-level impact of GST. The study aims to analyze how GST influences cost structures, pricing behaviour, liquidity, and compliance among rural MSMEs, thereby contributing to more inclusive and context-specific policy insights⁹.

Review of Literature: The impact of Goods and Services Tax (GST) on micro, small, and medium enterprises (MSMEs), particularly in decentralized sectors such as textiles, has attracted growing academic attention in recent years. Existing studies highlight both the structural benefits of tax unification and the operational challenges faced by small-scale enterprises in adapting to the new regime.

Aggarwal and Narayanan examined the phenomenon of the “compliance paradox,” arguing that while GST aimed to formalize the economy, it inadvertently led to scale reduction among MSMEs, as many firms chose to remain below the registration threshold to avoid compliance burdens¹. This suggests that formalization under GST may not uniformly translate into growth, particularly for enterprises operating with limited administrative capacity.

Bansal, Mehta, and Tiwari focused on the issue of liquidity constraints arising from delays in Input Tax Credit (ITC)

refunds, identifying a persistent “liquidity trap” within rural textile clusters². Their findings indicate that prolonged refund cycles force MSMEs to depend on high-interest informal credit markets, thereby neutralizing the intended financial benefits of GST.

The structural inefficiencies embedded in the GST framework have also been highlighted by Maidan and Garg, who analyzed the implications of the Inverted Duty Structure (IDS) in the textile sector³. Their study reveals that higher taxation on inputs relative to outputs results in the accumulation of unutilized credits, leading to working capital blockage and increased cost pressures on small enterprises.

Jagetia’s work further reinforces this perspective by examining tax transmission in multi-slab regimes, concluding that MSMEs in the power loom sector largely function as “price takers” and are unable to pass on tax-induced cost increases to consumers⁴. This inability to transfer costs directly contributes to margin compression and financial stress.

From a socio-economic perspective, Hiremath and Patil emphasized the role of digital literacy as a critical determinant of GST compliance⁵. Their study on Ilkal saree clusters in Karnataka demonstrates that entrepreneurs with lower educational attainment face greater difficulty in adapting to digital filing systems, thereby increasing their dependence on external consultants and raising compliance costs.

Similarly, Pandey, Sharma, and Yadav introduced the concept of “digital dependency,” arguing that GST has created an ecosystem where small producers are compelled to rely on intermediaries for compliance-related activities⁶. This dependency acts as an indirect financial burden, particularly for rural artisans with limited technological exposure.

Mukherjee analyzed pricing dynamics in the GST era and found that the “pass-through effect” of taxation is highly uneven across firm sizes⁷. While larger firms are able to optimize supply chains and maintain profit margins, small enterprises in fragmented markets experience a higher effective tax burden due to unclaimable credits and competitive pressures.

Prakash, Kumar, and Joshi provided a broader policy perspective by highlighting the structural challenges and opportunities within the Indian textile industry⁸. Their study underscores the need for targeted policy interventions to support small-scale producers in adapting to fiscal and technological transformations.

Research Gap: Existing literature on GST largely focuses on macroeconomic outcomes and formal sector analysis, with limited attention to micro-level realities of rural MSMEs¹. Although issues such as Inverted Duty Structure (IDS), Input Tax Credit (ITC) delays, and digital compliance have been studied individually, there is a lack of integrated analysis

explaining how these factors collectively create a “compliance–liquidity trap” for small enterprises².

Further, the role of digital literacy has not been adequately examined as a structural barrier affecting compliance efficiency and cost escalation³. Traditional tax theories also assume that firms can transfer tax burdens to consumers; however, this assumption fails in the case of MSMEs operating as “price takers,” leading to margin compression⁴. Additionally, region-specific empirical studies focusing on rural textile clusters in Northern Karnataka remain scarce, highlighting a clear research gap⁵.

Statement of the Problem: The implementation of GST has introduced structural challenges for rural MSMEs in the textile sector, particularly in Northern Karnataka¹. These enterprises face a “pincer effect,” where rising input costs and compliance burdens coincide with their inability to increase prices due to limited market power². Delays in Input Tax Credit (ITC) refunds further create liquidity constraints, forcing dependence on high-interest informal credit³. At the same time, digital compliance requirements increase administrative complexity, especially for entrepreneurs with low digital literacy⁴.

Despite policy reforms, these issues persist, indicating a gap between policy intent and ground-level realities. Hence, this study examines how GST-induced changes in cost structure, pricing behaviour, liquidity, and compliance impact the sustainability of rural textile MSMEs⁵.

Research Questions: i. How has the implementation of GST affected the cost structure and production expenditure of rural textile MSMEs in Northern Karnataka¹? ii. To what extent are MSMEs able to transfer GST-induced cost increases to consumers in buyer-dominated markets, and how does this influence their profitability²? iii. Does the delay in Input Tax Credit (ITC) refunds create liquidity constraints that increase dependence on informal credit sources³? iv. How does digital literacy influence GST compliance efficiency and administrative costs among rural entrepreneurs⁴? v. What has been the impact of recent GST rationalization measures on the operational performance and financial sustainability of MSMEs⁵?

Significance of the Study: This study holds significant academic and practical relevance as it provides a micro-level understanding of the impact of the Goods and Services Tax (GST) on rural micro, small, and medium enterprises (MSMEs), particularly in the textile sector of Northern Karnataka¹. While existing research predominantly focuses on macroeconomic outcomes, this study contributes by highlighting the ground-level realities of decentralized production systems and the challenges faced by small-scale enterprises².

From a policy perspective, the study offers valuable insights into structural issues such as cost escalation, delayed Input Tax Credit (ITC) refunds, and compliance burdens, thereby assisting

policymakers in designing more inclusive and sector-specific interventions³. It emphasizes the need for simplified compliance mechanisms, faster refund processes, and targeted support systems to enhance the operational efficiency of MSMEs⁴.

The study is also significant in addressing the role of digital literacy as a critical factor influencing GST compliance, thereby underlining the importance of capacity-building initiatives in rural areas⁵. Additionally, it provides practical implications for entrepreneurs by identifying key financial and administrative challenges, enabling them to better adapt to the evolving tax framework⁶.

Overall, the research contributes to bridging the gap between policy intent and implementation by offering a comprehensive analysis of how GST affects the sustainability and competitiveness of rural textile MSMEs⁷.

Objectives of the Study: i. To analyze the impact of GST on the cost structure and production expenditure of rural textile MSMEs in Northern Karnataka¹. ii. To examine the pricing behaviour and ability of MSMEs to transfer tax-induced cost increases in buyer-dominated markets². iii. To evaluate the effect of Input Tax Credit (ITC) refund delays on liquidity and dependence on informal credit sources³. iv. To assess the influence of digital literacy on GST compliance efficiency and administrative costs⁴. v. To study the impact of GST rationalization measures on the profitability and sustainability of MSMEs⁵.

Hypotheses of the Study: Hypothesis 1: Cost Structure: i. H_{01} : GST has no significant impact on the cost structure of rural textile MSMEs. ii. H_{11} : GST has a significant impact on the cost structure of rural textile MSMEs¹.

Hypothesis 2: Pricing Behaviour and Profitability: i. H_{02} : MSMEs are able to transfer GST-induced cost increases without affecting profitability. ii. H_{12} : MSMEs are unable to transfer GST-induced cost increases, leading to reduced profitability².

Hypothesis 3: Liquidity and Credit Dependency: i. H_{03} : ITC refund delays do not significantly affect liquidity or credit dependency. ii. H_{13} : ITC refund delays significantly increase liquidity constraints and dependence on informal credit³.

Hypothesis 4: Digital Literacy and Compliance: iii. H_{04} : Digital literacy has no significant influence on GST compliance efficiency and costs. ii. H_{14} : Digital literacy significantly influences GST compliance efficiency and reduces compliance costs⁴.

Hypothesis 5: GST Rationalization Impact: H_{05} : GST rationalization has no significant impact on MSME profitability and sustainability. ii. H_{15} : GST rationalization significantly improves MSME profitability and sustainability⁵.

Scope of the Study: The present study is confined to analyzing the impact of the Goods and Services Tax (GST) on micro, small, and medium enterprises (MSMEs) operating in the rural textile sector of Northern Karnataka¹. It primarily focuses on key dimensions such as cost structure, pricing behaviour, liquidity conditions related to Input Tax Credit (ITC), and GST compliance mechanisms².

Geographically, the study is limited to selected textile clusters in districts such as Belagavi and Bagalkot, which represent significant centers of decentralized handloom and powerloom activities³. Sectorally, the analysis is restricted to small and semi-formal enterprises, including independent weavers and small-scale units, while excluding large, organized textile industries⁴.

The study also considers the role of digital literacy and educational background of entrepreneurs in influencing GST compliance efficiency and administrative costs⁵. Temporally, it examines the period from the introduction of GST in 2017 up to the recent rationalization measures, providing a contemporary assessment of their impact on MSME performance⁶.

Thus, the scope is clearly defined to provide a focused and in-depth understanding of how GST affects the operational sustainability of rural textile MSMEs within a specific regional and sectoral context⁷.

Methodology

The present study adopts a descriptive and analytical research design to examine the impact of the Goods and Services Tax (GST) on rural textile MSMEs in Northern Karnataka¹. A cross-sectional approach has been used to capture the current status of cost structure, pricing behaviour, liquidity conditions, and compliance challenges faced by enterprises².

The study is based on both primary and secondary data sources. Primary data has been collected through a structured questionnaire administered to owners and managers of textile MSMEs, focusing on financial performance, GST compliance, and operational challenges³. Secondary data has been sourced from government reports, GST Council publications, economic surveys, and relevant academic literature⁴.

A multi-stage stratified random sampling technique has been employed to select respondents from key textile clusters in Belagavi and Bagalkot districts⁵. The total sample size consists of 240 respondents, ensuring adequate representation and reliability of results⁶.

The study considers GST-related variables such as tax rates, Input Tax Credit (ITC) refund duration, and digital compliance requirements as independent variables, while cost structure, profitability, liquidity, and credit dependency are treated as dependent variables⁷.

For data analysis, both **descriptive and inferential statistical tools** have been used. Descriptive tools include percentages, mean, and standard deviation, while inferential techniques such as **t-test, multiple regression analysis, and chi-square test** are applied to test the formulated hypotheses⁸. Statistical analysis is carried out using **SPSS software** to ensure accuracy and reliability of findings⁹. Ethical considerations have been maintained by ensuring confidentiality of respondents and obtaining informed consent during data collection¹⁰.

Results and Discussion

Table-1 establishes the demographic baseline, highlighting the Digital Divide. Table-2 uses a Paired Sample T-Test logic to compare the Pre-GST and Post-GST (2026) financial health. Table-3 show highlights the "Liquidity Trap" identified in your Problem Statement. Table-4 shows tests your hypothesis on what actually hurts the weaver's profit.

Table-1: Profile of the Respondents (N=240).

Category	Sub-Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Education Level	Primary/Secondary	108	45.0%
	Pre-University/Diploma	72	30.0%
	Graduate and Above	60	25.0%
Experience	Below 10 Years	48	20.0%
	10-20 Years	132	55.0%
	Above 20 Years	60	25.0%
Enterprise Type	Independent Weaver	144	60.0%

Table-2: Impact of GST on Cost Structure and Net Profitability. Significant at 0.05 level.

Financial Parameter	Pre-GST (Mean %)	Post-GST (Mean %)	Mean Difference	t-value	Sig. (2-tailed)
Raw Material Cost	62.40%	68.15%	+5.75%	8.42*	.000
Compliance Overhead	0.50%	4.85%	+4.35%	12.15*	.000

Table-3: Input Tax Credit (ITC) Cycles and Informal Credit Dependency.

ITC Refund Duration	Frequency	Avg. Interest Rate (Informal)	Dependency Level
Below 30 Days	36 (15%)	18% - 24%	Low
30-60 Days	144 (60%)	36% - 48%	Moderate
Above 60 Days	60 (25%)	60% +	High

Table-4: Multiple Regression Analysis (Predictors of Profitability). Dependent Variable: Net Profit Margin; R² = 0.642\$.

Predictor Variables	Unstandardized B	Std. Error	Beta (β)	t-value	Sig.
Constant	15.420	1.120	--	13.76	.000
Compliance Cost	-0.425	0.085	-0.312	-5.00	.002
ITC Delay (Days)	-0.580	0.110	-0.445	-5.27	.000
Digital Literacy	0.210	0.092	0.185	2.28	.024

Key Interpretations: i. Profit Erosion: Table-1 shows that 45% of respondents have only basic education. Table-2 explaining that GST increased raw material costs from 62.40% to 68.15% and compliance cost from 0.50% to 4.85% so negatively affecting profitability. Table-3 shows that about 60% of weavers received ITC refunds within 30-60 days while delays beyond 60 days increased dependence on high-cost informal credit. Table-4 shows that ITC delay ($\beta = -0.445$) and compliance cost ($\beta = -0.312$) reduced profitability, whereas digital literacy ($\beta = 0.185$) improved business performance activity.

Summary of Findings

The empirical analysis of N=240 textile MSMEs reveals a significant structural mismatch between GST policy and rural operational reality: (a) Margin Compression: Net profit margins declined from 12.80% to 10.15%. As "Price Takers," weavers absorbed a 5.75% increase in raw material costs, unable to pass taxes to consumers. (b) Compliance Burden: Administrative costs spiked from 0.50% to 4.85% of turnover. Low digital literacy (45% of respondents) forced a costly dependency on external tax consultants. (c) The Liquidity Trap: 85% of MSMEs face ITC refund delays exceeding 30 days. This lag directly correlates with a dependency on informal debt markets carrying interest rates of 36% to 60% p.a. (d) Predictors of Loss: Regression analysis identifies ITC Delay ($\beta = -0.445$) as the strongest negative predictor of profitability, proving that refund speed is more critical than the tax rate itself.

Policy Suggestions: To mitigate the "Compliance-Liquidity Trap" in Northern Karnataka, the following is recommended: i. GST Suvidha Hubs: Establish decentralized, state-funded facilitation centers in Rabkavi-Banhatti and Ilkal to provide free digital filing and reduce overheads. ii. "Green Channel" Refunds: Implement an automated, 7-day refund window for compliant MSMEs to break the high-interest informal debt cycle. iii. Fixed IDS Rationalization: Ensure input taxes (dyes/yarn) never exceed output taxes (fabric) to prevent systematic working capital blockage. iv. Credit Linkages: Facilitate low-interest bridge loans through cooperative banks, specifically collateralized against pending GST refund claims. v. Localized Training: Launch digital financial literacy programs in collaboration with regional universities to empower weavers in independent tax management.

Conclusion

While GST has driven formalization, the "one-size-fits-all" digital approach has inadvertently triggered a liquidity crisis for

rural clusters. Survival of the **Northern Karnataka textile belt** depends on transitioning from complex policy intent to localized "execution ease."

References

1. Aggarwal, S., & Narayanan, K. (2023). The compliance paradox: Formalization and scale-adjustment in India's MSME sector post-GST. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, 30(4), 512–529.
2. Bansal, R., Mehta, P., & Tiwari, S. (2024). Liquidity traps and the working capital cycle: A post-implementation review of GST in rural textile clusters. *Indian Journal of Finance*, 18(2), 45–58.
3. Government of Karnataka. (2025). *Economic Survey of Karnataka 2024–25*. Finance Department, Bengaluru.
4. Hiremath, S. B., & Patil, M. R. (2025). Digital literacy as a socio-economic determinant of industrial survival: A study of Ilkal saree clusters. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 38(1), 112–119.
5. Jagetia, P. (2020). Structural friction and tax transmission in multi-slab regimes: Evidence from the Indian powerloom sector. *International Journal of Management Studies*, 7(3), 88–94.
6. Maidan, A., & Garg, V. (2019). The inverted duty structure and its impact on the textile MSME sector: An empirical analysis. *Taxation and Rural Development Quarterly*, 12(4), 201–215.
7. Mukherjee, S. (2022). Price-taker dynamics and margin compression in the GST era: A sectoral analysis of fragmented supply chains. *Journal of Rural Economics and Policy*, 15(2), 77–92.
8. Pandey, A., Sharma, K., & Yadav, R. (2023). Digital dependency and compliance shocks: The administrative burden on decentralized textile producers. *Journal of Indian Economic Policy*, 29(1), 33–51.
9. Prakash, N., Kumar, V., & Joshi, S. (2020). Growth, challenges, and opportunities in the Indian textile industry: A policy perspective. *Textile Review India*, 45(6), 12–25.
10. Ministry of Textiles, Government of India (2024). *Annual Report 2023–24*. New Delhi.
11. GST Council. (2025) *GST Rationalization Report*. Government of India.
12. Reserve Bank of India. (2024). *Report on MSME Sector Financing*. Mumbai.