Research Journal of Forensic Sciences ISSN 2321–1792
Vol. **14(2),** 39-42, July **(2026)** *Res. J. Forensic Sci.*

Comparison of Charred Documents Analysis

Arunika Patle*, Ganpat Ahirwar and Anjali Verma
School of Studies in Forensic Science, Samrat Vikramaditya Vishwavidyalaya, Ujjain, India
arunikapatle28@gmail.com

Available online at: www.isca.in, www.isca.me
Received 22nd May 2026, revised 20th June 2026, accepted 2nd July 2026

Abstract

The examination of fire-damaged documents is a significant aspect of forensic science, especially in cases where important records are intentionally destroyed. This study focuses on a comparative analysis of charred documents prepared from 20 different types of paper commonly used in daily, commercial, and official purposes. Each paper sample was exposed to controlled burning conditions to observe differences in their physical and structural responses to heat. The research evaluates variations in charring behavior, degree of damage, and the extent to which written or printed information can still be recovered after burning. Techniques such as careful visual inspection, use of angled lighting, and photographic enhancement were applied to assess the readability of the remaining content. Differences in paper composition, thickness, and surface treatment were found to play a major role in determining how well a document withstands heat and retains information. The study highlights that not all paper types respond similarly to fire, and some allow better recovery of information than others. These findings may assist forensic examiners in choosing suitable methods for handling and analyzing charred documents, thereby improving the chances of retrieving useful evidence in fire-related investigations.

Keywords: Charred documents, forensic document examination, fire-damaged documents, paper analysis, document recovery, thermal degradation, burned paper analysis, evidence examination, paper composition, heat effects on paper, document preservation.

Introduction

Documents are one of the most common forms of evidence encountered during forensic investigations. They may contain important information related to financial transactions, legal agreements, personal identification, threats, or criminal activities. In many criminal cases, offenders attempt to destroy documentary evidence by burning it in order to conceal facts or mislead investigators. Even after exposure to fire, partially preserved documents can provide valuable information when examined carefully using forensic techniques. Therefore, the analysis of charred documents has become an important branch of questioned document examination. A charred document is a paper material that has been damaged by heat or flame but still retains some portion of its original structure or writing. The condition of such documents depends on several factors, including the intensity of heat, duration of burning, type of paper, ink composition, and environmental conditions during the fire. Different types of paper react differently when exposed to heat because of variations in thickness, texture, chemical composition, coating, and manufacturing process. Some papers become fragile and disintegrate quickly, while others may retain readable information even after severe burning. The recovery and examination of charred documents require careful handling because the material becomes extremely delicate after carbonization. Forensic experts use various physical and optical methods to reveal hidden or partially damaged writings without causing further destruction.

Techniques such as oblique lighting, photography, image enhancement, and microscopic observation are commonly used during examination. The success of these methods often depends on the nature of the paper involved. The present study focuses on the comparison of charred document analysis in 20 different types of paper. The purpose of this research is to observe and compare the burning characteristics, degree of damage, and possibility of information recovery from various paper materials under controlled conditions. By studying the behavior of different papers after charring, the research aims to provide useful information that may help forensic document examiners select suitable examination methods for fire-damaged evidence[1,2].

Review of literature: The study of charred and fire-damaged documents has been an important area within forensic document examination for many years. Researchers and forensic experts have continuously worked on methods to recover information from documents damaged by heat, flame, or smoke. Earlier studies mainly focused on the preservation of fragile burnt papers and the identification of writings that remain invisible to the naked eye after burning. One of the earliest areas of research in charred document examination involved the physical handling and preservation of burnt paper fragments. Researchers observed that charred papers become extremely brittle due to the breakdown of cellulose fibers under high temperature. Improper handling often results in complete disintegration of the document.

To overcome this problem, methods such as glass plate support, transparent sheet mounting, and controlled lifting techniques were introduced to safely preserve damaged materials during examination. Several studies have discussed the influence of heat on different paper materials. It has been reported that the chemical composition, thickness, texture, and manufacturing quality of paper significantly affect the extent of damage caused by fire. Papers containing higher cellulose content tend to carbonize differently from coated or chemically treated papers. Thermal papers, glossy papers, and recycled papers show distinct burning characteristics because of variations in surface coating and fiber composition.

These findings indicate that different types of paper cannot be examined using a single standard approach. Researchers have also examined the effect of heat on inks and printed matter. Studies on handwritten and printed documents revealed that some inks fade completely during burning, while others remain partially visible even after severe charring. Ballpoint pen ink, printer toner, and stamp impressions have shown different resistance to heat exposure. Various optical and photographic methods have been developed to improve the visibility of writings on charred documents. Oblique lighting, infrared photography, ultraviolet examination, and digital image enhancement are among the commonly used techniques. Previous research has shown that infrared photography is particularly useful in recovering writings hidden beneath carbonized layers[3,4].

Methodology

Collection of paper samples: Twenty different types of paper commonly used for writing, printing, packaging, and commercial purposes were collected for the study. These included bond paper, notebook paper, thermal paper, newspaper, glossy paper, chart paper, recycled paper, cardboard paper, and other commonly available varieties. Equal-sized samples were prepared from each paper type. Similar handwritten and printed text was made on all samples to maintain uniformity during examination.

Controlled burning procedure: The prepared paper samples were exposed to controlled burning conditions in a safe laboratory environment. Each sample was burned for a fixed duration under similar heat exposure to ensure consistency in the experiment. After partial burning and charring, the samples were allowed to cool naturally without disturbance to avoid additional damage.

Examination and recovery of information: The charred documents were carefully examined using physical and optical methods. Visual observation, oblique lighting, magnifying lens examination, and digital photography were used to study the extent of burning and readability of the remaining text.

Comparative analysis and documentation: The observations obtained from all 20 paper types were compared systematically to identify differences in burning behavior and information recovery. The results were documented in descriptive form for easy comparison.

Results and Discussion

Variation in burning characteristics of different papers: The study showed noticeable differences in the burning behavior of the 20 paper types. Thin papers such as newspaper and thermal paper burned rapidly and converted into ash within a short period of time. In contrast, thicker papers like bond paper, chart paper, and cardboard retained their structure for a longer duration[5,6].

Preservation of Written and Printed Matter: It was observed that some paper samples retained readable text even after partial charring, while others showed complete loss of information. Bond paper and high-quality printing paper preserved handwritten and printed content more effectively compared to recycled and low-quality papers.

Physical Condition of Charred Documents: The degree of brittleness and fragmentation differed among the paper samples. Thin papers became extremely fragile and disintegrated easily during handling, whereas thicker papers remained comparatively stable after charring.

Effectiveness of Examination Techniques: Visual examination combined with oblique lighting and digital photography proved useful in recovering partially damaged writings from several charred samples. In many cases, faint impressions and partially hidden text became more visible under angled light.

Forensic Importance of Comparative Analysis: The comparative study demonstrated that all paper types do not respond similarly to fire conditions. Certain papers showed better resistance to heat and allowed greater recovery of information, while others were easily destroyed.

The experiment showed significant variation in burning behavior among the 20 paper samples. Newspaper and thermal paper burned rapidly and converted into ash within 25–35 seconds, while bond paper and cardboard retained structure for 60–80 seconds. Bond paper preserved nearly 75% readable text after charring, whereas newspaper retained less than 35% readability. Digital photography and oblique lighting improved visibility of partially damaged text in approximately 70% of the examined samples. The results demonstrate that paper thickness, cellulose composition, and surface treatment strongly influence the preservation of information in fire-damaged documents. Thick papers such as bond paper and cardboard showed greater resistance to heat and allowed better recovery of handwritten and printed content.

Thin papers rapidly carbonized and fragmented during handling. The findings are consistent with earlier forensic studies that emphasized the importance of optical examination techniques such as infrared photography and oblique lighting in recovering hidden or partially charred writings. The study highlights the forensic importance of selecting suitable examination methods according to the nature of the paper involved in fire-related investigations[7,8,9].

Table-1: Comparative analysis of burning characteristics and information recovery in 20 different types of paper.

Type of paper	Average burning time	Degree of charring	Structural stability after burning	Readability of text after charring	Observation
Bond paper	65 sec	Moderate	Stable	75%	Retained most handwritten and printed text
Notebook paper	50 sec	Moderate	Partially stable	60%	Some text remained visible after burning
Thermal paper	30 sec	Severe	Fragile	10%	Printed matter faded rapidly
Newspaper	25 sec	Severe	Very fragile	35%	Burned rapidly and converted to ash
Glossy paper	52 sec	Moderate	Stable	68%	Surface coating delayed burning slightly
Chart paper	60 sec	Moderate	Stable	70%	Maintained structure after heat exposure
Recycled paper	40 sec	High	Fragile	38%	Fragmented easily during handling
Cardboard paper	80 sec	Low	Highly stable	82%	Highest resistance to heat
A4 printing paper	58 sec	Moderate	Stable	72%	Printed text remained partially visible
Tissue paper	15 sec	Very severe	Extremely fragile	5%	Completely destroyed within seconds
Craft paper	55 sec	Moderate	Stable	65%	Preserved partial information
Brown envelope paper	57 sec	Moderate	Stable	66%	Showed slow burning characteristic
Photo paper	48 sec	Moderate	Partially stable	50%	Surface darkened rapidly
Magazine paper	42 sec	High	Fragile	45%	Glossy surface produced smoke residue
Butter paper	35 sec	Severe	Fragile	30%	Thin texture accelerated burning
Carbon paper	32 sec	Severe	Fragile	22%	Carbon layer blackened completely
Handmade paper	70 sec	Low	Highly stable	78%	Thick fibres improved heat resistance
Tracing paper	28 sec	Severe	Very fragile	18%	Became transparent and brittle
Sticker paper	46 sec	Moderate	Partially stable	52%	Adhesive layer produced residue
Legal size paper	62 sec	Moderate	Stable	74%	Retained readable information effectively

Conclusion

The present study demonstrated that different types of paper show significant variation in their response to heat and fire exposure. The comparison of 20 paper types revealed differences in burning rate, degree of charring, structural stability, and preservation of written or printed information. Papers with greater thickness and better quality fibers were found to retain their structure and readable content more effectively than thin or low-quality papers. The study also showed that the recovery of information from charred documents depends not only on the extent of burning but also on the nature of the paper and the examination method used. Techniques such as careful visual observation, oblique lighting, and photographic enhancement proved useful in detecting partially damaged writings in several samples. Overall, this research contributes useful information to the field of questioned document examination and emphasizes that even partially charred documents may contain valuable evidence if examined carefully and scientifically[10].

References

1. Hilton, O. (1992). #Scientific examination of questioned documents.# CRC press.

2. Kelly, J. S., & Lindblom, B. S. (Eds.). (2006). #Scientific examination of questioned documents.# CRC press.

3. Ellen, D. (2005). #Scientific Examination of Documents: Methods and Techniques, CRC Press.# Boca Raton.

4. Anderson, C., Gudlaugsdottir, S., Stat, M., & Leslie, J. (2005). #The Importance of Line Width Measurements in Discriminating Between Pencil Types.# *Journal of the American Society of Questioned Document Examiners*, 8(2).

5. Sharma, B. R. (1974). #Forensic science in criminal investigation and trials. Central law agency.#

6. Saferstein, R. (2014). #Criminalistics: An introduction to forensic science. Pearson Higher Ed.#

7. Robertson, E. W. (1973). #Examination of fire-damaged documents.# *Journal of Forensic Sciences*.

8. Harralson, H. (2014). #Developments in handwriting and signature identification in the digital age.# *Routledge*.

9. Cantú, A. A. (1980). #Applications of infrared photography in questioned document examination.# *Forensic Science International*, 15, 45–52.

10. Bodziak, W. J. (2016). #Forensic footwear evidence.# Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press.

11. Harralson, H. (2014). #Developments in handwriting and signature identification in the digital age.# Routledge.

12. Morris, R. N. (1952). #The examination of burned and charred documents.# *Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology*, 42(5), 678–684.

13. Mitchell, C. A. (1922). #Documents and their scientific examination.#

14. Cantú, A. A. (1980). #Applications of infrared photography in questioned document examination.# *Forensic Science International*, 15, 45–52.

15. Robertson, E. W. (1973). #Examination of fire-damaged documents.# *Journal of Forensic Sciences*.

16. Lee, H. C., & Gaensslen, R. E. (2001). #Methods of latent fingerprint development.# *Advances in fingerprint technology*, 2(105-176), 10.

17. Newton D. E. (2007). #Forensic chemistry.# Infobase Publishing.

18. Singh, A., & Sharma, R. (2016). #Analysis of burned documents in forensic investigation.# *Indian Journal of Forensic Research*, 8(2), 112–118.