



## Adult Distal Third Humeral Shaft Fractures: Results of Treatment by Elastic Nail

Abdulkadr Muhammed Sleman  
Hawler Private Hospital, Erbil, IRAQ

Available online at: [www.isca.in](http://www.isca.in), [www.isca.me](http://www.isca.me)

Received 25<sup>th</sup> September 2015, revised 6<sup>th</sup> October 2015, accepted 26<sup>th</sup> October 2015

### Abstract

*Debate proceeds over insert choice when surgical mediation is regarded vital in the administration of diaphyseal breaks of the distal third humerus. We exhibit a progression of patients in which we utilized a flexible intramedullary nail to deal with an assortment of distal third humeral breaks and examine the down to earth points of interest which we feel this strategy offers. This is a forthcoming study done in erbil private doctor's facility in Erbil – Kurdistan area, for administration of distal third humerus shaft, study included 42 patients of 20 to 62 years of age. Patients were dealt with by insignificantly obtrusive adaptable nail obsession as new methodology. The patients enrolled and took after more than 12 month period (Jun. 2010 - Nov.2013). Our study results give new proof that grows the incorporation criteria for this treatment and demonstrates that TENS can be effectively utilized paying little mind to break area, example and age, with superb union rate, low contamination rate, early release from hospital, no brace, no equipment disappointment.*

**Keywords:** Humerus crack, bone nails.

### Introduction

Breaks of the humeral diaphysis speak to pretty nearly 10% of all long bone cracks and happen. Albeit .95% of dad tients can be overseen conservatively, agent signs exist. Plate osteosynthesis and intramedullary nail obsession are the 2 most normal types of agent treatment. Despite the achievement of non-agent and agent man-agement of these breaks, confusions can happen in either treatment modality. Humeral nonunion is characterized as a crack with no confirmation of recuperating 24 weeks after injury. It has been accounted for to happen in 8% to 12% of all humeral shaft cracks<sup>1</sup>.

Bolted nailing had essentially shorter operation time and less blood transfusion than did plate obsession, 68 versus 93 minutes and 0 versus 102 mL, individually. Inevitable union was accomplished among all in the nail bunch and among everything except one in the plate bunch. Union rate and time to union were not essentially diverse. In the plate bunch, three breaks had confusions: one with insert relaxing and nonunion, one with profound contamination, and one with postoperative spiral nerve paralysis; the nail gathering had no complexities. The distinction in confusion rates was factually noteworthy. In the nail bunch, one varus malunion and one intraoperative comminution happened, without unfavorable results. Practical recuperation was basically the same in both gatherings for uncomplicated breaks. Humeral bolted nailing offered a less obtrusive surgical system and more great treatment results than did plate obsession. Right nailing bearing, exact surgical procedures, less cumbersome equipment, and stable transfixing

screws are the keys to an effective treatment. Further planned, randomized similar study is justified.

Flexible nailing is habitually connected with agent nonunion. This article gives a diagram of distal third humeral shaft cracks, with an attention on adaptable nailing as a treatment methodology and its relationship with results. The study included 42 patients, mean age 39.69 (20-62) years, all patients caught up for one year, have great union rate 100%, shoulder torment 28.6%, elbow torment 4.8%, no loss of fixation, infection 2.4%, iatrogenic outspread nerve paralysis 7.1% and utilitarian consequence of shoulder movement is 100%.

### Methodology

Each of the 42 grown-up patients between 20-62 years old with distal third crack of humerus between Jun. 2010 - Nov.2013 were incorporated in the study.

**Inclusion criteria:** Humeral shaft break in grown-up. Lower third humeral shaft break. Fracture taking after intense. When the patient was conveyed to setback, understanding's aviation route, breathing and course were surveyed. At that point a complete overview was done to discount other huge wounds. Plain radiographs of AP and parallel perspectives of the included furthest point including one joint above and one joint beneath was taken to assess the degree and geometry of crack. On admission to ward, a nitty gritty history was taken, identifying with the age, sex, and occupation, method of damage, past and related medicinal ailment Routine blood

examinations were finished all patients. Patients were worked as ahead of schedule as could be expected under the circumstances once the general state of the patient was steady and patient was fit for surgery<sup>2</sup>.

**Nail width:** The diameter of the individual nail is selected as per Flynn et al formula.

**Flynn et al's formula:** Diameter of nail= width of the tightest purpose of the medullary trench on AP and horizontal perspective X 0.4mm in the event of single nail use it's breadth ought to be more than 60% of the tightest distance across of the medullary channel.

**Pre-operative planning of Nail size: Nail length:** Lay one of the chose nails over the arm, and confirm that it is of the fitting length by fluoroscopy.

**Preoperative preparation of patients:** Patients were kept nil by mouth overnight before surgery. Adequate measure of good blood was kept prepared for any outcome. The entire of the limit underneath the umbilicus, including the genitalia was arranged properly. A systemic anti-microbial, as a rule a third era. Cephalosporin was controlled 1 hour before surgery. Under anesthesia, shut decrease.

**Pre-requisites for ESIN for stable internal fixation:** Nail distance across ought to gauge 40% of the tightest measurement of the diaphysis. Nails ought to be shaped with long curve such that summit of the convexity will be at the level of break to give ideal three-point obsession. Both the nails ought to be twisted symmetrically to same degree. The nails are pre-twisted so that the bend's stature is three times more noteworthy than the measurement of the medullary channel. Always utilize same measurement nails to forestall loss of decrease towards the side of more grounded nail. The passage purpose of both nails ought to be at the same level. When embedded, nails ought to have greatest cortical contact at the break site in the inverse directions. Fixation with TENS nails done under c-arm guidance<sup>3</sup>.

**Postoperative Care:** Patients were kept nil orally 4 to 6 hours post operatively: IV liquids/blood transfusions were given as required. Analgesics were offered by necessities of the patient. The appendage was kept hoisted over a pad. IV anti-toxins were proceeded for 5 days and changed over to oral anti-toxins on the fifth day and proceeded till the tenth day.

In patients with humerus crack and an ipsilateral span or ulna break, the lower arm crack was balanced out with either Kirschner wire obsession or titanium adaptable nails and put into a back elbow prop. Patients without ipsilateral furthest point crack were put into a delicate dressing and given a sling

for solace for 10–14 days<sup>4</sup>.

No standard exercise based recuperation was recommended. Activation out of bed without limitation was allowed for patients with disengaged wounds aside from pivot not permitted. Patients with were allowed to endure weight on the furthest point as endure<sup>5</sup>.

**Complications:** When they determined without extra surgery. Not bringing about long haul horribleness. When further operation was needed. Long term horribleness resulted.

**Minor complications:** i. Torment at the site of nail insertion. ii. Minor angulation (< 100 – saggital/coronal; <100 rotational malalignment) at last postliminary (24 weeks). iii. Minor leg length discrepancy (< 2cm – shortening/protracting) at last postliminary (24 weeks). iv. Provocative response to nails. v. Shallow disease at site of nail insertion. vi. Deferred union.

**Major complications:** i. Angulation surpassing the rules (>100– saggital/coronal; or > 100rotational malalignment) at last postliminary. ii. Profound disease. iii. Loss of diminishment obliging new lessening or surgery. iv. Surgery to amend nail position. v. Compartment disorder obliging surgery. vi. Neurological harm in the wake of nailing. vii. Deferred or nonunion prompting correction.

## Results and Discussion

**Study design:** A result surgical investigation of 42 patients with Distal third shaft of humerus is embraced to examine the result of Titalnium versatile nail obsession for Distal third shaft of humerus in grown-ups.

**Table-1**  
**Gender distribution of patients studied**

Gender	Number of Patients	%
Male	34	81
Female	8	19
Total	42	100

**Table-2**  
**Side of Fracture**

Right	32	76.2
Left	10	23.8
Total	42	100

**Table-3**  
**Mechanism of injury**

Mechanism of Injury	Frequency	Percentage
Fall from height	26	61.9
RTA	4	9.5
other	12	28.6
total	42	100

**Table-4**  
**Pattern of fracture**

Patteren of Fracure	Frequency	Percentage
Communited	28	66.7
Oblique	3	7.1
Spiral	10	23.8
Transverse	1	2.4
Total	42	100

**Table-5**  
**Nail size, duration of procedure, union, no.of fluroscopy**

	Number	Range	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Age	42	42	20	62	39.69	12.171
Nail Size_mm	42	1	3	4	3.405	0.2527
Duration of Procedure_minutes	42	35	30	65	48.57	10.773
Duration of_Union_in_weeks	42	8	10	18	13.45	2.847
Fully_returning_to_activities_weeks	42	9	8	17	12.45	2.411
Number of Fluroscopy Shooting	42	31	20	51	32.62	8.222

There was no significant association observed between clinical Variables (Age, Gender, Mode of injury, Pattern of Fracture and Time Interval between trauma and surgery) and Incidence of complications<sup>6</sup>.

**Discussion:** Amid later piece of a century ago and early piece of this decade crack obsession had experienced progressive changes as idea, Technique and Implants. As our comprehension of crack recuperating expanded today, we alter numerous troublesome breaks coming about into preferable result over some time recently, because of more up to date inserts with more current procedure of fixation<sup>8</sup>. Bell et al. reported an essential union rate of 97% of instances of humeral shaft breaks in polytrauma quiet subsequent to plating with normal length of time of union at 19 weeks<sup>7</sup>. The primary complexities were loss of obsession in 3%, disease in 3%, and iatrogenic outspread nerve paralysis in 3% of cases<sup>9</sup>. Useful results were accounted for as magnificent in 97% of patients with a full working shoulder in all cases<sup>10</sup>. Comparative results were accounted for by Vander Griend et al. they reported 96% of essential union in arrangement of humeral shaft break settled by DCP<sup>11</sup>.

In our study shows utilizing adaptable nail as a part of distal third humerusfracture have fabulous union rate 100%, shoulder torment 28.6%, elbow torment 4.8%, no loss of fixation, infection 2.4%, iatrogenic spiral nerve paralysis 7.1%<sup>12</sup>, functional aftereffect of shoulder movement 100%, no equipment failure, no loss of fixation, less blood loss (10-25ml)<sup>13</sup>.

**Table-6**  
**Associated injury**

Associated Injury	Frequency	Percentage
No	34	81
Yes	8	19
Total	42	100

**Table-7**  
**Complications**

Complications	No. of cases	Percentage
Pain	12	28.6
Infection	12	28.6
-Superficial	11	26.2
-Deep	1	2.4
Elbow stiffness < 10 degree	2	4.8
Radial nerve injury	7	13.6
-Primary	4	9.5
-By procedure	3	7.1
Elbow pain	2	4.8
Shoulder pain	12	28.6
Delay union	0	0
Non union	0	0
Vascular injury	0	0
Ulnar nerve injury	0	0
Failure of close method	0	0
Elbow deformity	0	0
Implant bending or failure	0	0
Non union	0	0

**Table-8**  
**Range of movement at 12 week**

Range of movements (degrees)	Number of patients	%
Full range	40	95.2
Mild restriction	2	4.8
Moderate restriction	0	0
Sever	0	0
Total	42	100

### Conclusion

The methodology is close and with negligible obtrusiveness to patient, In this system No cut no blood transfusion, no suturing, no pop prop ,and nerve investigation Union rate is high, Size of nail has huge connection with length of time of union, Infection rate is low, High exposing to radiation and Demanding exceptional agent table. Our study results give new confirmation that extends the consideration criteria for this treatment and demonstrates that TENS can be effectively utilized paying little respect to break area, example and age.

### References

1. Chapman MW, Szabo RM and Richard M., *Chapmans orthopaedic surgery*, 3rd edition, Philadelphia, Pa: Lippincott, Williams and Wilkins, (2000)
2. Warwick SL, David J and Selvadurai N., *Apleys System of orthopedics and fractures*, 8th edition, London: Arnold, (2001)
3. Ward EF, Savoie FH III and Hughes JL Jr., *Fractures of the diaphy-seal humerus*, In: Browner BD, Jupiter JB, Levine AM, Traf- ton PG, eds. *Skeletal Trauma: Fractures, Dislocations, Liga- mentous Injuries*, 2(2) ed. Philadelphia, PA: WB Saun- ders, 1523-1547 (1998)
4. Matityahu A and Eglseider WA Jr., *Locking flexible nails for di- aphyseal humeral fractures in the multiply injured patient: A preliminary study*, *Tech Hand UpExtrem Surg*; **15(3)**, 172-17 (2011)
5. Sarmiento A, Zagorski JB, Zych GA, Latta LL and Capps CA, *Functional bracing for the treatment of fractures of the humer- al diaphysis*, *J Bone Joint Surg Am*, **82(4)**, 478-486 (2000)
6. Stern PJ, Mattingly DA, Pome-roy DL, Zenni EJ Jr and Kreig JK, *Intramedullary fixation of humeral shaft fractures*, *J Bone Joint Surg Am*, **66(5)**, 639-646 (1984)
7. Mann RJ and Neal EG, *Fractures of the shaft of the humerus in adults*, *South Med J*, **58**, 264-268 (1965)
8. Zatti G, Teli M, Ferrario A and Cherubino P, *Treatment*

- of closed humeral shaft fractures with intramedullary elastic nails, *J Trauma.*, **45(6)**, 1046-1050 (1998)
9. Kang R and Stern PJ, Humeral nonunion associated with metallosis secondary to use of a titanium flexible humeral in- tramedullary nail: A case report, *J Bone Joint Surg Am*, **84(12)**, 2266-2269 (2002)
10. Edelstein Yudell, Hyunsook Ohm and Yale Rosen, Metallosis and pseudotumor after failed ORIF of a humeral fracture, *Bulletin of the NYU hospital for joint diseases*, **69(2)**, 188 (2011)
11. Aggarwal Sameer et al., Bilateral Shaft Humerus Nonunion With Acceptable Function-Case Report and Review Of Literature, (2010)
12. Lin Jinn et al., Treatment of humeral shaft fractures by retrograde locked nailing, *Clinical orthopaedics and related research*, **342**, 147-155 (1997)
13. Chapman Jens R. et al., Randomized prospective study of humeral shaft fracture fixation: Intramedullary nails versus plates, *Journal of orthopaedic trauma*, **14(3)**, 162-166 (2000)