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#### Abstract

In this paper, we represent estimation of ratio of two population means in Ratio-cum regression type estimators using auxiliary character with double sampling in the presence of non-response and also study and discuss their properties. After comparing the proposed estimator with relevant estimators, we conclude that the proposed estimators are more efficient on the basis of their mean square error. For numerical support, the empirical study is given.
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## Introduction

The efficiency of Ratio, product and regression type estimators for estimation of ratio of two population means of study characters depend on the information on auxiliary character. When prior information closely related to study variable is available, ratio estimator is used and in case of linear relation ship between study and auxiliary variables exists, regression estimator is more useful. Regression estimator is more suitable than ratio estimator if regression line doesn't pass through origin. Estimation of ratio of two population means is widely used in calculation of growth rate (medical science), crop production rate (agriculture), literacy rate (education) and in the field of socio-economics.

Non-response errors may arise mainly due to faulty sampling frame, biased method of selection of units in the selected sample etc. for the estimation of population mean in the presence of non-response, Hansan and Hurvitz ${ }^{1}$ has given a technique of sub sampling from the non-responding units. Further El-Badry ${ }^{2}$ suggested a method to sending several waves of questionnaire by mail surveys to reduce the effect of nonresponse.

Singh ${ }^{3}$, Tripathi ${ }^{4,5}$, Upadhaya and Singh $^{6}$, Srivastava et al ${ }^{7}$, Singh et al ${ }^{8,9}$ and Singh and Singh ${ }^{10}$ have proposed different types of estimators for estimation of ratio of two population means using auxiliary variable. When all the information regarding auxiliary variate are not known then we use double sampling. Srivastava ${ }^{11}$, Kiregyera ${ }^{12,13}$ have suggested different ratio, regression and product type estimators for estimation of population mean using double sampling.

Using Hansen and Hurwitz technique, in case of known and unknown population means of auxiliary characters have been
done by Cochran ${ }^{14}$, Rao ${ }^{15,16}$, Khare and kumar ${ }^{17}$ and Khare and Rehman ${ }^{18}$ have proposed conventional and alternative estimators for estimation of population mean in the presence of non-response.

The estimation of ratio of two population means using auxiliary variables in the presence of non-response have been proposed by Khare and pandey ${ }^{19}$, Khare and Sinha ${ }^{20,21,22,23}$, Khare et al. ${ }^{24,25}$, Kumar and Patel ${ }^{26}$.

In recent paper, we present a class of ratio-cum-regression type estimators for estimation of raito of two population means using auxiliary character in double sampling in presence of non-response. Also relative bias and mean square error of the suggested estimator are derived for efficiency comparison to the relevant estimators and studied properties. For performance of the suggested estimator, percentage relative efficiencies are also calculated mathematically.

## The estimators

Let $Y_{i p}(\mathrm{i}=1,2)$, and $X_{p}(\mathrm{p}=1,2, \ldots \ldots \ldots, \mathrm{~N})$ be the non- negative value of p-th unit of the population on the study characters $y_{i}(\mathrm{i}$ $=1,2$ ) and the auxiliary character x with their population means $\bar{Y}_{i}(\mathrm{i}=1,2)$, and $\bar{X}$.

Let us suppose the population of N units can be divided into response class of $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ units and non-response class of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ units such that $\mathrm{N}_{1}+\mathrm{N}_{2}=\mathrm{N}$ having means $\bar{Y}_{i(1)}$ and $\bar{Y}_{i(2)}$ respectively with study variates $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{i}=1,2)$ and $\overline{X_{1}}$ and $\bar{X}_{2}$ respectively with auxiliary variate x .

In Hansen and Hurwitz ${ }^{1}$ technique, a sample of $n(<N)$ units is selected out of N population units using simple random
sampling without replacement method and is made a survey schedule each one of the sample. It is observed that in sample of size $n(<N)$, only $n_{1}$ units responding and $n_{2}\left(=n-n_{1}\right)$ units not responding with means $\bar{y}_{i\left(n_{1}\right)}$ and $\bar{y}_{i\left(n_{2}\right)}$ regarding study variates $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{i}=1,2)$ and $\bar{x}_{\left(n_{1}\right)}$ and $\bar{x}_{\left(n_{2}\right)}$ regarding auxiliary variates x .

Thus, a sub sample of $r\left(=n_{2} / k\right)$ units, taken from $n_{2}$ nonresponding units, is selected randomly with mean $\bar{y}_{i\left(n_{2}\right) r}$ regarding study variates $y_{i}(i=1,2)$ and $\bar{x}_{\left(n_{2}\right) r}$ regarding auxiliary variates x and information from each r units is collected by personal interview.

Hence, the Hansen - Hurwitz unbiased estimator for population mean $\bar{Y}_{i}$ of study characters $y_{i}(\mathrm{i}=1,2)$ and $\bar{X}$ of auxiliary character $x$ based on ( $\mathrm{n}_{1}+\mathrm{r}$ ) units are given as

$$
\bar{y}_{i}^{*}=\frac{n_{1}}{n} \bar{y}_{i\left(n_{1}\right)}+\frac{n_{2}}{n} \bar{y}_{i\left(n_{2}\right) r}
$$

Where, $\quad \bar{y}_{i\left(n_{1}\right)}$ and $\bar{y}_{i\left(n_{2}\right) r}$ are the unbiased estimator of $\bar{Y}_{i(1)}$ and $\bar{Y}_{i(2)}$ respectively but biased estimator of $\bar{Y}_{i}$ of $y_{i}(\mathrm{i}=$ $1,2)$ characters.
$\bar{x}^{*}=\frac{n_{1}}{n} \bar{x}_{\left(n_{1}\right)}+\frac{n_{2}}{n} \bar{x}_{\left(n_{2}\right) r}$
Where, $\bar{x}_{\left(n_{1}\right)}$ and $\bar{x}_{\left(n_{2}\right) r}$ are the unbiased estimator of c and $\bar{X}_{2}$ respectively but biased estimator of $\bar{X}$ of x character.

And also the variance of Hansen- Hurwitz unbiased estimator of study characters $y_{i}(i=1,2)$ and auxiliary character x are given by
$V\left(\bar{y}_{i}^{*}\right)=f S_{y_{i}}^{2}+f^{*} S_{y_{i}}^{* 2}$ and $V\left(\bar{x}^{*}\right)=f S_{x}^{2}+f^{*} S_{x}^{* 2}$
Where, $f=\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}$ and $f^{*}=\frac{W_{2}(k-1)}{n}$
$\mathrm{W}_{2}=\mathrm{N}_{2} / \mathrm{N}$, Stratum weight of non- response class of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ units. $S_{y_{i}}^{2}=\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{p=1}^{N}\left(Y_{i p}-\overline{Y_{i}}\right)^{2} ;$ the mean square of characters $y_{i}(i=1,2)$ based on N units of the population.
And, $S_{y_{i}}^{* 2}=\frac{1}{N_{2}-1} \sum_{p=1}^{N_{2}}\left(Y_{i p(2)}-\bar{Y}_{i(2)}\right)^{2}$; the mean square of
characters $y_{i}(\mathrm{i}=1,2)$ based on $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ non-responding units of the population.
Also, $S_{x}^{2}=\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{p=1}^{N}\left(X_{p}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}$; the mean square of characters x based on N units of the population.

And, $S_{x}^{* 2}=\frac{1}{N_{2}-1} \sum_{p=1}^{N_{2}}\left(X_{p(2)}-\bar{X}_{2}\right)^{2}$; the mean square of characters x based on $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ non-responding units of the population.

Let $R=\bar{Y}_{1} / \bar{Y}_{2}$; the ratio of two population means of study characters $y_{i}(\mathrm{i}=1,2)$.

Then, in order to estimate R, Define a conventional estimator $R^{*}=\bar{y}_{1}^{*} / \bar{y}_{2}^{*}$; the ratio of two Hansen-Hurvitz unbiased estimators for population means $\bar{Y}_{i}$ of study characters $y_{i}(\mathrm{i}=$ $1,2)$.

In this case, when population mean of auxiliary character x is not known. Then in order to estimate $\bar{X}$, a preliminary sample of size $n^{\prime}(>n)$ is selected from population of N units using SRSWOR technique having mean $\bar{x}^{\prime}$ regarding auxiliary variate $x$ then a sub sample of preliminary sample of size n is selected using SRSWOR technique having mean $\bar{x}$ regarding auxiliary variate x .

We define a conventional estimator $\left(\mathrm{T}_{1}\right)$, when information on both study characters $y_{i}(i=1,2)$ and auxiliary character x are incomplete, and a alternative estimator $\left(\mathrm{T}_{2}\right)$, when both study characters $y_{i}(\mathrm{i}=1,2)$ have incomplete but auxiliary character $x$ has complete information, for estimation of ratio of two population means in double sampling in presence of nonresponse as follow
$T_{1}=R^{*} \frac{\bar{x}^{*}}{\bar{x}^{\prime}}$
and $T_{2}=R^{*} \frac{\bar{x}^{*}}{\bar{x}}$

Where, $\bar{x}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{p}^{n} x_{p}$ and $\bar{x}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{n^{\prime}} \sum_{p}^{n^{\prime}} x_{p}$
Using above situation, Khare and Sinha ${ }^{21}$ have suggested a improved classes of conventional $\left(\mathrm{T}_{3}\right)$ and alternative estimators $\left(\mathrm{T}_{4}\right)$ for estimation of ratio of two population means in double sampling in presence of non-response as follow
$T_{3}=f_{1}\left(R^{*} \frac{\bar{x}^{*}}{\bar{x}^{\prime}}\right)$
and $T_{4}=f_{2}\left(R^{*} \frac{\bar{x}^{*}}{\bar{x}}\right)$

The proposed class of estimators: On the basis of above information and condition, we propose a class of ratio-cum regression type estimators for estimation of ratio of two population means $(\mathrm{R})$ using auxiliary character with double sampling in presence of non-response is given as
$T_{R}=\left[R^{*}+k_{1}\left(\bar{x}-\bar{x}^{*}\right)+k_{2}\left(\bar{x}^{\prime}-\bar{x}\right)\right]\left[2-\left(\frac{a \bar{x}^{\prime}+b}{a \bar{x}^{*}+b}\right)\right]$
Where, $R^{*}=\frac{\bar{y}_{1}^{*}}{\bar{y}_{2}^{*}}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{1}, \mathrm{k}_{2}$, a and b are either real number or functions of known parameters.

To obtain Relative Bias and Mean Square Error: For this purpose , let us assume
$\bar{y}_{1}^{*}=\bar{Y}_{1}\left(1+\psi_{1}\right), \bar{y}_{2}^{*}=\bar{Y}_{2}\left(1+\psi_{2}\right), \bar{x}=\bar{X}\left(1+\psi_{3}\right)$,
$\bar{x}^{\prime}=\bar{X}\left(1+\psi_{4}\right)$ and $\bar{x}^{*}=\bar{X}\left(1+\psi_{5}\right)$
Such that- $\mathrm{E}\left[\psi_{1}\right]=\mathrm{E}\left[\psi_{2}\right]=\mathrm{E}\left[\psi_{3}\right]=\mathrm{E}\left[\psi_{4}\right]=\mathrm{E}\left[\psi_{5}\right]=0$

Using above substitution, the equation (5) express as in terms of $\psi_{i}{ }^{\prime} S$ and neglecting $3^{\text {rd }}$ and higher terms, we have
$T_{R}=\left[R\left(1+\psi_{1}\right)\left(1+\psi_{2}\right)^{-1}+k_{1} \bar{X}\left(\psi_{3}-\psi_{5}\right)+k_{2} \bar{X}\left(\psi_{4}-\psi_{3}\right)\right]\left[2-\left\{\left(1+\delta \psi_{4}\right)\left(1+\delta \psi_{5}\right)^{-1}\right\}\right]$
$=\left[R\left(1+\psi_{1}-\psi_{2}+\psi_{1} \psi_{2}+\psi_{2}^{2}\right)+k_{1} \bar{X}\left(\psi_{3}-\psi_{5}\right)+k_{2} \bar{X}\left(\psi_{4}-\psi_{3}\right)-R \delta\left(\psi_{4}-\psi_{5}\right)\right.$
$-R \delta \psi_{1}\left(\psi_{4}-\psi_{5}\right)+R \delta \psi_{2}\left(\psi_{4}-\psi_{5}\right)-k_{1} \bar{X} \delta\left(\psi_{3}-\psi_{5}\right)\left(\psi_{4}-\psi_{5}\right)-k_{2} \bar{X} \delta\left(\psi_{4}-\psi_{5}\right)\left(\psi_{4}-\psi_{3}\right)$
$\left.+R \delta(\delta-1)\left(\mu_{4}-\psi_{5}\right)^{2} / 2\right]$
R.B. $\left[T_{R}\right]=E\left[T_{R}-R\right] / R$
$=R \cdot B \cdot\left[R^{*}\right]-\delta\left[f^{\prime \prime} A+f^{*} B\right]-\left(k_{1} \bar{X} \delta / R\right) f^{*} C_{x}^{* 2}-\left(k_{2} \bar{X} \delta / R\right) f^{\prime \prime} C_{x}^{2}$
$+\frac{\delta(\delta-1)}{2}\left[f^{\prime \prime} C_{x}^{2}+f^{*} C_{x}^{* 2}\right]$
M.S.E.[ $\left.T_{R}\right]=E\left[T_{R}-R\right]^{2}$
$=E\left[R\left(\psi_{1}-\psi_{2}\right)+k_{1} \bar{X}\left(\psi_{3}-\psi_{5}\right)+k_{2} \bar{X}\left(\psi_{4}-\psi_{3}\right)-R \delta\left(\psi_{4}-\psi_{5}\right)\right]^{2}$
$=M \cdot S \cdot E \cdot\left[R^{*}\right]+\left(k_{2} \bar{X}-\delta R\right)^{2} f^{\prime \prime} C_{x}^{2}+2 R\left(k_{2} \bar{X}-\delta R\right) f^{\prime \prime} A+\left(k_{1} \bar{X}-\delta R\right)^{2} f^{*} C_{x}^{* 2}$
$+2 R\left(k_{1} \bar{X}-\delta R\right) f^{*} B$
$=M \cdot S \cdot E \cdot\left[R^{*}\right]+f^{\prime \prime}\left[\theta^{2} C_{x}^{2}+2 R \theta A\right]+f^{*}\left[\phi^{2} C_{x}^{* 2}+2 R \phi B\right]$
Where, $\theta=\left(k_{2} \bar{X}-\delta R\right) \quad \phi=\left(k_{1} \bar{X}-\delta R\right)$ and $\delta=\frac{a \bar{X}}{a \bar{X}+b}$
The optimum value of $\delta$ is given as
$\delta_{o p t}=\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(k_{2} \bar{X} C_{x}^{2}+R A\right)+f^{*}\left(k_{1} \bar{X} C_{x}^{* 2}+R B\right)}{R\left(f^{\prime \prime} C_{x}^{2}+f^{*} C_{x}^{* 2}\right)}$

Where, $R=\frac{\overline{Y_{1}}}{\overline{Y_{2}}}, f^{\prime}=\frac{1}{n^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{N}, f^{\prime \prime}=\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{n^{\prime}}$,
$A=\left(C_{x y_{2}}-C_{x y_{1}}\right)$ and $B=\left(C_{x y_{2}}^{*}-C_{x y_{1}}^{*}\right)$

Also, $E\left[\psi_{1}^{2}\right]=f C_{y_{1}}^{2}+f^{*} C_{y_{1}}^{* 2}, E\left[\psi_{2}^{2}\right]=f C_{y_{2}}^{2}+f^{*} C_{y_{2}}^{* 2}$, $E\left[\psi_{3}^{2}\right]=f C_{x}^{2}, E\left[\psi_{4}^{2}\right]=f^{\prime} C_{x}^{2}$
$E\left[\psi_{5}^{2}\right]=f C_{x}^{2}+f^{*} C_{x}^{* 2}, E\left[\psi_{1} \psi_{2}\right]=f C_{y_{1} y_{2}}+f^{*} C_{y_{1} y_{2}}^{*}$,
$E\left[\psi_{1} \psi_{3}\right]=f C_{x y_{1}}$,
$E\left[\psi_{1} \psi_{4}\right]=f^{\prime} C_{x y_{1}}, E\left[\psi_{1} \psi_{5}\right]=f C_{x y_{1}}+f^{*} C_{x y_{1}}^{*}$,
$E\left[\psi_{2} \psi_{3}\right]=f C_{x y_{2}}, E\left[\psi_{2} \psi_{4}\right]=f^{\prime} C_{x y_{2}}$,
$E\left[\psi_{2} \psi_{5}\right]=f C_{x y_{2}}+f^{*} C_{x y_{2}}^{*}, E\left[\psi_{3} \psi_{4}\right]=f^{\prime} C_{x}^{2}$,
$E\left[\psi_{3} \psi_{5}\right]=f C_{x}^{2}, E\left[\psi_{4} \psi_{5}\right]=f^{\prime} C_{x}^{2}$
And, $C_{x y_{i}}=\rho_{x y_{i}} C_{x} C_{y_{i}}, C_{x y_{i}}^{*}=\rho_{x y_{i}}^{*} C_{x}^{*} C_{y_{i}}^{*}, C_{y_{i}}=S_{y_{i}} / \overline{Y_{i}}$, $C_{y_{i}}^{*}=S_{y_{i}}^{*} / \bar{Y}_{i},(\mathrm{i}=1,2), C_{x}=S_{x} / \bar{X}$ and $C_{x}^{*}=S_{x}^{*} / \bar{X}$

For optimum value of $\mathrm{k}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{2}$, we have to minimize expression (3) w.r.t. $\varphi$ and $\theta$ respectively, we have

$$
\theta_{\min }=-\frac{R A}{C_{x}^{2}} \text { and } \phi_{\min }=-\frac{R B}{C_{x}^{* 2}}
$$

Putting the optimum value of $\theta$ and $\varphi$, we get

$$
\text { M.S.E. }\left[T_{R}\right]_{\min }=M . S . E .\left[R^{*}\right]-R^{2}\left\{f^{\prime \prime}\left[A^{2} / C_{x}^{2}\right]+f^{*}\left[B^{2} / C_{x}^{* 2}\right]\right\}
$$

Table-1 presents some members of the proposed class of estimators TR for different values of $\mathrm{k} 1, \mathrm{k} 2$, a and b in equation (5).

Table-1

| Member of Estimators | $\mathrm{k}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{2}$ | a | b |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T_{R}^{1}=R^{*}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $T_{R}^{2}=\left[R^{*}+k_{1}\left(\bar{x}-\bar{x}^{*}\right)+k_{2}\left(\bar{x}^{\prime}-\bar{x}\right)\right]\left[2-\left(\frac{\bar{x}^{\prime}}{\bar{x}^{*}}\right)\right.$ | $\mathrm{k}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{2}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $T_{R}{ }^{3}=\left[R^{*}+k_{1}\left(\bar{x}-\bar{x}^{*}\right)+k_{2}\left(\bar{x}^{\prime}-\bar{x}\right)\right]$ | $\mathrm{k}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{2}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $T_{R}^{4}=\left[R^{*}+k_{1}\left(\bar{x}-\bar{x}^{*}\right)+k_{2}\left(\bar{x}^{\prime}-\bar{x}\right)\right]\left[2-\left(\frac{\bar{x}^{\prime}+C_{x}}{\bar{x}^{*}+C_{x}}\right)\right.$ | $\mathrm{k}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{2}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{x}}$ |
| $T_{R}^{5}=\left[R^{*}+k_{1}\left(\bar{x}-\bar{x}^{*}\right)+k_{2}\left(\bar{x}^{\prime}-\bar{x}\right)\right]\left[2-\left(\frac{\bar{x}^{\prime}+C_{x}^{*}}{\bar{x}^{*}+C_{x}^{*}}\right)\right.$ | $\mathrm{k}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{2}$ | 1 | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{*}$ |
| $T_{R}^{6}=\left[R^{*}+\beta_{1}^{* *}\left(\bar{x}-\bar{x}^{*}\right)+\beta_{2}^{* \prime}\left(\bar{x}^{\prime}-\bar{x}\right)\right]\left[2-\left(\frac{a \bar{x}^{\prime}+b}{a \bar{x}^{*}+b}\right)\right]$ | $\beta_{1}^{* *}$ | $\beta_{2}^{* *}$ | a | b |
| $T_{R}^{7}=\left[R^{* *}+C_{x}^{* *}\left(\bar{x}-\bar{x}^{*}\right)+C_{x}^{* \prime *}\left(\bar{x}^{\prime}-\bar{x}\right)\right]\left[2-\left(\frac{a \bar{x}^{\prime}+b}{a \bar{x}^{*}+b}\right)\right]$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{* *}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{* * *}$ | a | b |
| $T_{R}^{8}=\left[R^{*}+\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\bar{x}-\bar{x}^{*}\right)+\rho^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(\bar{x}^{\prime}-\bar{x}\right)\right]\left[2-\left(\frac{a \bar{x}^{\prime}+b}{a \bar{x}^{*}+b}\right)\right]$ | $\rho^{* *}$ | $\rho^{* * *}$ | a | b |

$\beta_{1}^{* *}=\left(\beta_{1}^{*}+\beta_{1}\right) / \bar{Y}_{1}, \beta_{2}^{* *}=\left(\beta_{2}^{*}-\beta_{2}\right) / \bar{Y}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{x}}^{* *}=\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{*}+\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{x}}\right) / 2$,
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{x}}^{* * *}=\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{x}}^{*}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{x}}\right) / 2$,

Where, $\beta_{i}^{*}=\rho_{x y_{i}}^{*} C_{y_{i}}^{*} / C_{x}^{*}$ and $\beta_{i}=\rho_{x y_{i}} C_{y_{i}} / C_{x}(\mathrm{i}=1,2)$

The relative bias and mean square error of members of the proposed estimator TR, mention in table 1, can be expressed
by suitably putting the values of $\mathrm{k} 1, \mathrm{k} 2$, a and b , in equation (6) \& (7) respectively, which are given as $R . B .\left[T_{R}^{1}\right]=R . B .\left[R^{*}\right]=f\left[C_{y_{2}}^{2}-C_{y_{1} y_{2}}\right]+f^{*}\left[C_{y_{2}}^{* 2}-C_{y_{1} y_{2}}^{*}\right]$ M.S.E. $\left[T_{R}^{1}\right]=$ M.S.E. $\left[R^{*}\right]=f\left[C_{y_{1}}^{2}+C_{y_{2}}^{2}-2 C_{y_{y_{2}}}\right]+f^{*}\left[C_{y_{1}}^{* 2}+C_{y_{2}}^{* 2}-2 C_{y_{y_{y_{2}}}}^{*}\right.$ R.B. $\left[T_{R}^{2}\right]=R . B .\left[R^{*}\right]-f^{\prime \prime}\left[\left(k_{2} \bar{X} / R\right) C_{x}^{2}+A\right]-f^{*}\left[\left(k_{1} \bar{X} / R\right) C_{x}^{* 2}+B\right]$
M.S.E. $\left[T_{R}^{2}\right]=$ M.S.E. $\left[R^{*}\right]+\left(k_{2} \bar{X}-R\right) f^{\prime \prime}\left[\left(k_{2} \bar{X}-R\right) C_{x}^{2}+2 R A\right]+\left(k_{1} \bar{X}-R\right) f^{*}\left[\left(k_{1} \bar{X}-R\right) C_{x}^{n^{2}}\right.$ $+2 R B]$
R.B. $\left[T_{R}^{3}\right]=R . B .\left[R^{*}\right]$
M.S.E. $\left[T_{R}^{3}\right]=$ M.S.E. $\left[R^{*}\right]+\left(k_{2} \bar{X}\right) f^{\prime \prime}\left[\left(k_{2} \bar{X}\right) C_{x}^{2}+2 R A\right]+\left(k_{1} \bar{X}\right) f^{*}\left[\left(k_{1} \bar{X}\right) C_{x}^{* 2}+2 R B\right]$
$R . B .\left[T_{R}^{4}\right]=R . B .\left[R^{*}\right]-\eta\left[f^{\prime \prime} A+f^{*} B\right]-\left(k_{1} \bar{X} \eta / R\right) f^{*} C_{x}^{* 2}-\left(k_{2} \bar{X} \eta / R\right) f^{\prime \prime} C_{x}^{2}$ $+\frac{\eta(\eta-1)}{2}\left[f^{\prime \prime} C_{x}^{2}+f^{*} C_{x}^{* 2}\right]$
M.S.E. $\left[T_{R}^{4}\right]=M . S . E .\left[R^{*}\right]+\left(k_{2} \bar{X}-\eta R\right) f^{\prime \prime}\left[\left(k_{2} \bar{X}-\eta R\right)\right.$
$\left.C_{x}^{2}+2 R A\right]+\left(k_{1} \bar{X}-\eta R\right) f^{*}\left[\left(k_{1} \bar{X}-\eta R\right) C_{x}^{* 2}+2 R B\right]$
R.B. $\left[T_{R}^{5}\right]=$ R.B. $\left[R^{*}\right]-\eta^{*}\left[f^{\prime \prime} A+f^{*} B\right]-\left(k_{1} \bar{X} \eta^{*} / R\right) f^{*} C_{x}^{* 2}-\left(k_{2} \bar{X} \eta^{*} / R\right) f^{\prime \prime} C_{x}^{2}$
$+\frac{\eta^{*}\left(\eta^{*}-1\right)}{2}\left[f^{\prime \prime} C_{x}^{2}+f^{*} C_{x}^{* 2}\right]$
M.S.E. $\left[T_{R}^{5}\right]=M . S . E .\left[R^{*}\right]+\left(k_{2} \bar{X}-\eta^{*} R\right) f^{\prime \prime}\left[\left(k_{2} \bar{X}-\eta^{*} R\right)\right.$
$\left.C_{x}^{2}+2 R A\right]+\left(k_{1} \bar{X}-\eta^{*} R\right) f^{*}\left[\left(k_{1} \bar{X}-\eta^{*} R\right) C_{x}^{* 2}+2 R B\right]$
Where, $\eta=\frac{\bar{X}}{\bar{X}+C_{x}}$ and $\eta^{*}=\frac{\bar{X}}{\bar{X}+C_{x}^{*}}$
R.B. $\left[T_{R}{ }^{6}\right]=R . B .\left[R^{*}\right]-\delta\left[f^{\prime \prime} A+f^{*} B\right]-\left(\beta_{1}^{* *} \bar{X} \delta / R\right)$
$f^{*} C_{x}^{* 2}-\left(\beta_{2}^{* *} \bar{X} \delta / R\right) f^{\prime \prime} C_{x}^{2}+\frac{\delta(\delta-1)}{2}\left[f^{\prime \prime} C_{x}^{2}+f^{*} C_{x}^{* 2}\right]$
M.S.E. $\left[T_{R}^{6}\right]=M . S . E .\left[R^{*}\right]+\left(\beta_{2}^{* *} \bar{X}-\delta R\right) f "\left[\left(\beta_{2}^{* *} \bar{X}-\delta R\right)\right.$ $\left.C_{x}^{2}+2 R A\right]+\left(\beta_{1}^{* *} \bar{X}-\delta R\right) f^{*}\left[\left(\beta_{1}^{* *} \bar{X}-\delta R\right) C_{x}^{* 2}+2 R B\right]$
$R \cdot B \cdot\left[T_{R}^{7}\right]=R \cdot B \cdot\left[R^{*}\right]-\delta\left[f^{\prime \prime} A+f^{*} B\right]-\left(C_{x}^{* *} \bar{X} \delta / R\right)$ $f^{*} C_{x}^{* 2}-\left(C_{x}^{* * *} \bar{X} \delta / R\right) f^{\prime \prime} C_{x}^{2}+\frac{\delta(\delta-1)}{2}\left[f^{\prime \prime} C_{x}^{2}+f^{*} C_{x}^{* 2}\right]$
M.S.E. $\left[T_{R}^{7}\right]=M . S . E .\left[R^{*}\right]+\left(C_{x}^{* * *} \bar{X}-\delta R\right) f^{\prime \prime}\left[\left(C_{x}^{* * *} \bar{X}-\delta R\right)\right.$ $\left.C_{x}^{2}+2 R A\right]+\left(C_{x}^{* *} \bar{X}-\delta R\right) f^{*}\left[\left(C_{x}^{* *} \bar{X}-\delta R\right) C_{x}^{* 2}+2 R B\right]$
R.B. $\left[T_{R}^{8}\right]=R . B \cdot\left[R^{*}\right]-\delta\left[f^{"} A+f^{*} B\right]-\left(\rho^{* *} \bar{X} \delta / R\right)$ $f^{*} C_{x}^{* 2}-\left(\rho^{* * *} \bar{X} \delta / R\right) f^{\prime \prime} C_{x}^{2}+\frac{\delta(\delta-1)}{2}\left[f^{\prime \prime} C_{x}^{2}+f^{*} C_{x}^{* 2}\right]$
M.S.E. $\left[T_{R}^{8}\right]=M . S . E .\left[R^{*}\right]+\left(\rho^{* * *} \bar{X}-\delta R\right) f^{"}\left[\left(\rho^{* * *} \bar{X}-\delta R\right)\right.$
$\left.C_{x}^{2}+2 R A\right]+\left(\rho^{* *} \bar{X}-\delta R\right) f^{*}\left[\left(\rho^{* *} \bar{X}-\delta R\right) C_{x}^{* 2}+2 R B\right]$
The optimum value of $\delta$ is given as

$$
\delta_{o p t}=\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(k_{2} \bar{X} C_{x}^{2}+R A\right)+f^{*}\left(k_{1} \bar{X} C_{x}^{* 2}+R B\right)}{R\left(f^{\prime \prime} C_{x}^{2}+f^{*} C_{x}^{* 2}\right)}
$$

Where, $\left(\mathrm{k}_{1}, \mathrm{k}_{2}\right)$ stand for $\left(\beta_{1}^{* *} / \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{x}}^{* *} / \rho^{* *}, \beta_{2}{ }^{* *} / \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{x}}^{* * *} / \rho^{* * *}\right)$.
Also the expression of relative bias and mean square error of estimators, mention in (1), (2), (3) and (4), have been derived and given as
R.B. $\left[T_{1}\right]=R . B .\left[R^{*}\right]-\left[f^{\prime \prime} A+f^{*} B\right]$
M.S.E. $\left[T_{1}\right]=M . S . E .\left[R^{*}\right]+R^{2}\left[f^{\prime \prime}\left(C_{x}^{2}-2 A\right)+f^{*}\left(C_{x}^{* 2}-2 B\right)\right]$
R.B.[ $\left.T_{2}\right]=R . B .\left[R^{*}\right]-f^{\prime \prime} A$
M.S.E. $\left[T_{2}\right]=M . S . E .\left[R^{*}\right]+R^{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(C_{x}^{2}-2 A\right)$
M.S.E. $\left[T_{3}\right]_{\min }=M . S . E .\left[R^{*}\right]-R^{2}\left\{f^{\prime \prime}\left[A^{2} / C_{x}^{2}\right]+f^{*}\left[B^{2} / C_{x}^{* 2}\right]\right\}$
M.S.E. $\left[T_{4}\right]_{\min }=M . S . E .\left[R^{*}\right]-R^{2} f "\left[A^{2} / C_{x}^{2}\right]$

## Efficiency comparison

Theoretical comparison of proposed estimator $\left(T_{R}\right)$ over other estimators
Comparison with $R^{*}$
$\theta[\theta+2 R \lambda]<0$
and, $\phi\left[\phi+2 R \lambda^{*}\right]<0$

Comparison with $\mathrm{T}_{1}$
$(\theta-R)[(\theta-R)+2 R \lambda]<0$ when $\theta \rho \mathrm{R}$ then $(\theta-\mathrm{R})<-2 \mathrm{R} \lambda$
when $\theta<\mathrm{R}$ then $(\theta-\mathrm{R})>-2 \mathrm{R} \lambda$
when $\varphi>\mathrm{R}$ then $(\varphi-\mathrm{R})<-2 \mathrm{R} \lambda^{*}$
when $\varphi<\mathrm{R}$ then $(\varphi-\mathrm{R})>-2 \mathrm{R} \lambda^{*}$
Comparison with $\mathrm{T}_{2}$
$(\theta-R)[(\theta-R)+2 R \lambda]<0$
when $\theta<R$ then $(\theta-R)<-2 R \lambda$
when $\theta<R$ then $(\theta-R)>-2 R \lambda$
and, $\phi\left[\phi+2 R \lambda^{*}\right]<0$
when $\varphi<0$ then $\varphi<-2 R \lambda^{*}$ *
when $\phi<0$ then $\varphi>-2 R \lambda^{*}$

Comparison with $\mathrm{T}_{3}$
We observe that, these two estimators have almost same significance for optimum values of constants .

Comparison with $\mathrm{T}_{4}$
$\mathrm{B} \lambda^{*}>0$
Where, $\lambda=A / C_{x}^{2}$ and $\lambda^{*}=B / C_{x}^{* 2}$

## A mathematical comparison

For performance of our proposed classes of estimator, we have to calculate mean square error mathematically and compare with relevant estimators using the data which has been used by Khare and Sinha and belong to the data on physical growth of upper socio -economic group of 95 schools going children of Varanasi under an ICMR study, Department of pediatrics, BHU, during 1983-84. In this data

Let $x$ and $y_{i}(i=1,2)$,the auxiliary and study characters, are defined as
$Y_{1}$ : The height of children in c.m.
$\mathrm{Y}_{2}$ : The weight of children in k.g.
x : The chest circumference of children in c.m.
the values of parameters related to the study characters $\mathrm{Y}_{2}$ (i $=1,2$ ) and auxiliary character, when first $25 \%$ (i.e. 24 children) units has been considered as non-response units, are given as :

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{N}=95 & n^{\prime}=75 & \mathrm{n}=55 \\
\mathrm{Y}_{1}=115.9526 & \bar{Y}_{2}=19.4968 & \bar{X}=55.8611
\end{array}
$$

$C_{y_{1}}=0.05146$
$C_{y_{1}}^{*}=0.04402$
$\rho_{y_{1} x}=0.620$
$\rho_{y_{1} x}^{*}=0.401$
$\mathrm{N}_{2}=24$
$\mathrm{f}^{*} /(\mathrm{k}-1)=0.004593$
$C_{y_{2}}=0.15613 \quad C_{x}=0.05860$
$C_{y_{2}}^{*}=0.12075 \quad C_{x}^{*}=0.05402$
$\rho_{y_{2} x}=0.846 \quad \rho_{y_{1} y_{2}}=0.713$
$\rho_{y_{2} x}^{*}=0.729 \quad \rho_{y_{1} y_{2}}^{*}=0.678$
$\mathrm{f}=0.007656 \quad \mathrm{f}^{\prime}=0.00280701$
$\mathrm{f}^{\prime \prime}=0.004848 \quad \mathrm{R}=5.947263$
Table 2: the mean square error(MSE) and relative efficiency (RE) of the estimators for different values of $k$

## Conclusion

After analyzing of above table-2, we conclude that the proposed class of estimators $\left[\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{R}}\right]$ is more efficient than relevant estimators $\mathrm{T}_{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{4}$ as well as its members $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{R}}{ }^{1}, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{R}}{ }^{6}, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{R}}{ }^{7}$ and $T_{R}{ }^{8}$ but it is equally precise to estimator $T_{3}$ for different values of $k$ and fixed value of $n$ and $n$ '. Also it is observed that the MSE and percent RE of the proposed class of estimators $\left[T_{R}\right]$ increase with increase of the value of $k$.

Table-2

|  |  |  | $\mathbf{k}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| estimators |  | $\mathbf{2}$ |  | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{R}$ |  |
|  | $\mathbf{M S E}$ | $\mathbf{R E}(\%)$ | $\mathbf{M S E}$ | $\mathbf{R E}(\%)$ | $\mathbf{M S E}$ | $\mathbf{R E}(\%)$ |
| $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{R}}{ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ | 0.005728 | 100 | 0.007241 | 100 | 0.008753 | 100 |
| $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{1}}$ | 0.003542 | 161.7073 | 0.004294 | 168.6349291 | 0.005045 | 173.4988 |
| $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | 0.004303 | 133.1048 | 0.005816 | 124.4947327 | 0.007329 | 119.439 |
| $\left[\mathbf{T}_{3}\right]_{\text {min }}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 3 2 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 8 . 8 7 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 3 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 . 1 7 0 1 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 4 6 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 9 . 5 3 5 4}$ |
| $\left[\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{m i n}}\right.$ | 0.004007 | 142.9543 | 0.005519 | 131.1824451 | 0.007032 | 124.4749 |
| $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{R}}{ }^{\mathbf{6}}$ | 0.003203 | 178.8511 | 0.003911 | 185.147846 | 0.004619 | 189.514 |
| $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{R}}{ }^{\mathbf{7}}$ | 0.003209 | 178.4893 | 0.003918 | 184.8038744 | 0.004627 | 189.1836 |
| $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{R}}{ }^{\mathbf{8}}$ | 0.003205 | 178.6933 | 0.003914 | 184.9978256 | 0.004622 | 189.3699 |
| $\left[\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{R}}\right]_{\text {min }}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 3 2 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 8 . 8 7 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 3 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 5 . 1 7 0 1 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 4 6 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 9 . 5 3 5 4}$ |
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