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#### Abstract

This paper summarises conventional and alternative estimators of the ratio of two population means of study characters using regression estimator with known population mean of auxiliary character in the presence of non-response. The expression of bias and mean square error of the proposed estimators are derived. After comparison with the corresponding estimators in case of fixed sample size, it is observed that the proposed estimators is always efficient than the existing estimators. An empirical study is carried out to support the theoretical results.
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## Introduction

Some times, we need estimation of ratio of two population means in the field of agricultural, socio-economic and medical science. For example, in calculation of growth index rate in the children; we need to divide the weight of children by the height of children

The information on auxiliary variables play a significant role to increase the efficiency of the estimators for estimation of ratio of two population means.

Using the information on auxiliary variable, various research works for estimation of ratio of two population means have been done by Singh ${ }^{1}$, Tripathi ${ }^{2,3}$, Upadhyaya and Singh ${ }^{4}$, Srivastava ${ }^{5,6}$ et al, Singh ${ }^{7,8}$ et al and Singh and Singh ${ }^{9}$.

During conducting the sample survey some times we find that information on all units selected in the sample is not available due to the problem of non-response. To deal with the problem of non-response in mail-survey Hansen and Hurwitz ${ }^{10}$ suggested a technique a sub sampling from nonrespondents.

Further El-Badry ${ }^{11}$ proposed a method to sending several ways of questionnaire by mail. Using Hansen and Hurwitz technique, various research works for estimation of population mean in case of known and unknown population means of auxiliary characters in the presence of nonresponse have been done by Cochran ${ }^{12}$, Rao ${ }^{13}$, Khare and Pandey ${ }^{14}$.

The estimation of ratio of two population means using auxiliary variables in the presence of non- response have
been proposed by Khare and Pandey ${ }^{15}$, Khare and Sinha ${ }^{16,17,18,19}$, Khare ${ }^{20,21}$ et al.

## The proposed estimators

Let $Y_{i p}(\mathrm{i}=1,2)$, and $X_{p}(\mathrm{p}=1,2, \ldots \ldots \ldots, \mathrm{~N})$ be the non- negative value of p -th unit of the population on the study characters $y_{i}(\mathrm{i}=1,2)$ and the auxiliary character x with their population means $\bar{Y}_{i}(\mathrm{i}=1,2)$, and $\bar{X}$ respectively.

Using Hansen and Hurwitz technique, a sample of size $n$ is drawn from the finite population of size N , which can be considered as divided into two groups such as Response group of size $N_{1}$ and Non-response group of size $N_{2}$, using simple random sampling without replacement (SRSWOR) technique and $N_{1}+N_{2}=\mathrm{N}$. It is found that in sample of size $\mathrm{n}(<\mathrm{N}), n_{1}$ units supply information on $y_{i}(\mathrm{i}=1,2)$ and $n_{2}$ refuse to respond. So, we may regard the sample of $n_{1}$ respondents as a simple random sample from the response group and the sample of $n_{2}$ units as a simple random sample from the non- response group. Let $m$ denote the size of the sub-sample from $n_{2}$ non-respondents drawn randomly and is enumerated by direct interview such that $\mathrm{k}=n_{2} / \mathrm{m},(\mathrm{k}>1)$. Hence, the Hansen - Hurwitz $^{10}$ estimator for population mean $\bar{Y}_{i}$ of study character $y_{i}(\mathrm{i}=1,2)$ based on $\left(n_{1}+\mathrm{m}\right)$ units is given as
$\bar{y}_{i w}=\frac{n_{1}}{n} \bar{y}_{i(1)}+\frac{n_{2}}{n} \bar{y}_{i(2) m}$
Where, $\bar{y}_{i(1)}$ and $\bar{y}_{i(2) m}$ are the sample means of $y_{i}(\mathrm{i}=1,2)$ characters based on $n_{1}$ and $m$ units respectively.

And also the variance of Hansen- Hurwitz ${ }^{10}$ unbiased estimator of study characters $y_{i}(\mathrm{i}=1,2)$ is given by
$\mathrm{V}\left(\bar{y}_{i w}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right) S_{y i}^{2}+\frac{\mathrm{W} 2(\mathrm{k}-1)}{n} S_{y i(2)}^{2}$
Where, $W_{2}=\frac{N_{2}}{N}$, stratum weight of non- responding groups of size $N_{2}$.
$S_{y i}^{2}=\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{p=1}^{N}\left(Y_{i p}-\bar{Y}_{i}\right)^{2}$; the population mean square of characters $y_{i}(\mathrm{i}=1,2)$ for entire population.

And, $S_{y i(2)}^{2}=\frac{1}{N_{2}-1} \sum_{p=1}^{N_{2}}\left(Y_{i p(2)}-\bar{Y}_{i(2)}\right)^{2}$; the population mean square of Characters $y_{i}(\mathrm{i}=1,2)$ for non- responding groups of size $N_{2}$.

Similarly, the Hansen - Hurwitz ${ }^{10}$ estimator for population mean $\bar{X}$ of auxiliary character x , based on $\left(n_{1}+\mathrm{m}\right)$ units is given as
$\bar{x}_{w}=\frac{n_{1}}{n} \bar{x}_{1}+\frac{n_{2}}{n} \bar{x}_{2(m)}$
Where, $\bar{x}_{1}$ and $\bar{x}_{2(m)}$ are the sample means of x character based on $n_{1}$ and $m$ units respectively.

And also the variance of Hansen- Hurwitz ${ }^{10}$ unbiased estimator of auxiliary character x is given by
$\mathrm{V}\left(\bar{x}_{w}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right) S_{x}^{2}+\frac{\mathrm{W} 2(\mathrm{k}-1)}{n} S_{x 2}^{2}$
Where,
$S_{x}^{2}=\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{p=1}^{N}\left(X_{p}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}$; the population mean square of characters x for entire population of size N .
And, $S_{x 2}^{2}=\frac{1}{N_{2}-1} \sum_{p=1}^{N_{2}}\left(X_{p(2)}-\bar{X}_{2}\right)^{2}$; the population mean square of character x for non- responding groups of size $N_{2}$.
Let $\mathrm{Rw}^{( }\left(=\frac{\bar{y}_{1 w}}{\bar{y}_{2 w}}\right)$ denotes a conventional estimator for the estimation of ratio of two population means $\mathrm{R}\left(=\frac{\overline{\bar{Y}_{1}}}{\overline{Y_{2}}}\right)$ of study characters $y_{i}(\mathrm{i}=1,2)$.

In this case, when population mean of auxiliary character $x$ is known, the conventional $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}\right)$ and alternative (S2) estimators for estimation of ratio of two population means in presence of non-response is defined as
$S_{l}=\operatorname{Rw} \frac{\bar{x}_{w}}{\bar{x}}$
and $S_{2}=\operatorname{Rw} \frac{\bar{x}}{\bar{X}}$
Where, $\bar{x}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{p=1}^{n} x_{p}$;the sample mean of auxiliary character of size $n$.
Now, the relative bias and mean square error of estimators S 1 and S 2 is given as
R.B. $\left(S_{I}\right)=$ R.B.(Rw) $\quad+\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N} \quad\right)\left[C_{x y_{1}}-C_{x y_{2}}\right]$
$+\frac{\mathrm{W} 2(\mathrm{k}-1)}{n}\left[C_{x y_{1}(2)}-C_{x y_{2}(2)}\right]$
M.S.E. $\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{I}\right)=$ M.S.E. $(\mathrm{Rw})+R^{2}\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right)\left[C_{x}^{2}+2\left(C_{x y_{1}}-C_{x y_{2}}\right)\right]$
$+\frac{\mathrm{W} 2(\mathrm{k}-1)}{n}\left[C_{x(2)}^{2}+2\left(C_{x y_{1}(2)}-C_{x y_{2}(2)}\right)\right]$
And, R.B. $\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{2}\right)=$ R.B. $(\mathrm{Rw})+\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right)\left[C_{x y_{1}}-C_{x y_{2}}\right]$
M.S.E. $\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{2}\right)=$ M.S.E.(Rw) $+R^{2}\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right)\left[C_{x}^{2}+2\left(C_{x y_{1}}-C_{x y_{2}}\right)\right]$

Where, R.B. $(\mathrm{Rw})=\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right)\left[C_{y_{2}}^{2}-C_{y_{1} y_{2}}\right]+\frac{\mathrm{W} 2(\mathrm{k}-1)}{n}\left[C_{y_{2}(2)}^{2}-\right.$ $\left.C_{y_{1} y_{2}(2)}\right]$

And, M.S.E.(Rw) $=R^{2}\left\{\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right)\left[C_{y_{1}}^{2}+C_{y_{2}}^{2}-2 C_{y_{1} y_{2}}\right]\right.$
$\left.+\frac{\mathrm{W} 2(\mathrm{k}-1)}{n}\left[C_{y_{1}(2)}^{2}+C_{y_{2}(2)}^{2}-2 C_{y_{1} y_{2}(2)}\right]\right\}$
Now, we suggest two different type estimators, first, the conventional estimator ( $S_{11}$ ), when incomplete information on both study characters $y_{i}(\mathrm{i}=1,2)$ and auxiliary character x and second ,the alternative estimator $\left(S_{21}\right)$, when incomplete information on only study characters $y_{i}(\mathrm{i}=1,2)$ which are given as
$S_{I I}=\frac{\bar{y}_{1 w}+b_{x y_{1}}\left(\bar{x}-\bar{x}_{w}\right)}{\bar{y}_{2 w}+b_{x y_{2}}\left(\bar{x}-\bar{x}_{w}\right)}$
And, $S_{2 I}=\frac{\bar{y}_{1 w}+b_{x y_{1}}(\bar{x}-\bar{x})}{\bar{y}_{2 w}+b_{x y_{2}}(\bar{x}-\bar{x})}$
Where, $b_{x y_{i}}(\mathrm{i}=1,2)=\frac{s_{x y_{i}}}{s_{x}^{2}}=\frac{r_{x y_{i}} s_{x} s_{y_{i}}}{s_{x}^{2}}$; the sample regression coefficient of $y_{i}(\mathrm{i}=1,2)$ and x .
$s_{x}^{2}=\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{p}^{n}\left(x_{p}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}$; the sample mean square of characters x based on n units.
$s_{y i}^{2}=\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{p}^{n}\left(y_{i p}-\bar{y}_{i}\right)^{2}$; the sample mean square of characters $y_{i}(\mathrm{i}=1,2)$ of size n .

And, $r_{x y_{i}}$ be the sample correlation coefficient between $y_{i}(\mathrm{i}$ $=1,2$ ) and x .

## Relative Bias and Mean Square Error

For expression of Bias and mean square error, Let us assume $\bar{y}_{1 w}=\bar{Y}_{1}+\varepsilon_{1} ; \bar{y}_{2 w}=\bar{Y}_{2}+\varepsilon_{2} ; \bar{x}_{w}=\bar{X}+\varepsilon_{3} ; \bar{x}=\bar{X}+\varepsilon_{4}, s_{x y_{1}}$ $=S_{x y_{1}}+\varepsilon_{5} ; s_{x y_{2}}=S_{x y_{2}}+\varepsilon_{6} ; s_{x}^{2}=S_{x}^{2}+\varepsilon_{7}$

Such that $-\mathrm{E}\left(\varepsilon_{1}\right)=\mathrm{E}\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right)=\mathrm{E}\left(\varepsilon_{3}\right)=\mathrm{E}\left(\varepsilon_{4}\right)=\mathrm{E}\left(\varepsilon_{5}\right)=\mathrm{E}\left(\varepsilon_{6}\right)=$ $\mathrm{E}\left(\varepsilon_{7}\right)=0$

Now, expressing $\mathrm{S}_{11}$ in terms of $\varepsilon_{i}$ 's, we get
$S_{11}=\left\{\left(\overline{\mathrm{Y}}_{1}+\varepsilon_{1}\right)+\left(\frac{S_{x_{1}}+\varepsilon_{5}}{S_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}+\varepsilon_{7}}\right)\left(-\varepsilon_{3}\right)\right\} /\left\{\left(\overline{\mathrm{Y}}_{2}+\varepsilon_{2}\right)+\left(\frac{\mathrm{Sxy}_{2}+\varepsilon_{6}}{S_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}+\varepsilon_{7}}\right)(-\right.$ $\left.\left.\varepsilon_{3}\right)\right\}$
$=\left\{\bar{Y}_{1}\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{\bar{Y}_{1}}\right)+\beta_{1}\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon_{5}}{s_{x y_{1}}}\right)\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon_{7}}{s_{x}^{2}}\right)^{-1}\left(-\varepsilon_{3}\right)\right\}\left\{\bar{Y}_{2}(1+\right.$ $\left.\left.\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{\overline{\bar{Y}_{2}}}\right)+\beta_{2}\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon_{6}}{S_{x y_{2}}}\right)\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon_{7}}{S_{x}^{2}}\right)^{-1}\left(-\varepsilon_{3}\right)\right\}^{-1}$

Where, $\beta_{i}=\frac{s_{x y_{i}}}{s_{x}^{2}}(\mathrm{i}=1,2)$
Expressing using binomial theorem and neglecting $3^{\text {rd }}$ and higher terms, we get
$\mathrm{S}_{11}=\mathrm{R}\left\{1+\frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{\overline{\mathrm{Y}}_{1}}-\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{\overline{\mathrm{Y}}_{2}}+\left(\frac{\beta_{2}}{\overline{\mathrm{Y}}_{2}}-\frac{\beta_{1}}{\overline{\mathrm{Y}}_{1}}\right) \varepsilon_{3}+\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{2}^{2}}{\overline{\mathrm{Y}}_{2}^{2}}-\frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{\overline{\mathrm{Y}}_{1}} \frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{\overline{\mathrm{Y}}_{2}}\right)+\left(\frac{\beta_{2}^{2}}{\overline{\mathrm{Y}}_{2}^{2}}-\right.\right.$
$\left.\frac{\beta_{1}}{\overline{\mathrm{Y}}_{1}} \frac{\beta_{2}}{\overline{\mathrm{Y}}_{2}}\right) \varepsilon_{3}^{2}-\left(\frac{\beta_{2}}{\overline{\mathrm{Y}}_{2}}-\frac{\beta_{1}}{\overline{\mathrm{Y}}_{1}}\right) \frac{\varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{7}}{S_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}}-\left(\frac{2 \beta_{2}}{\overline{\mathrm{Y}}_{2}}-\frac{\beta_{1}}{\overline{\mathrm{Y}}_{1}}\right) \frac{\varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{3}}{\overline{\mathrm{Y}}_{2}}+\frac{\beta_{2}}{\overline{\mathrm{Y}}_{2}} \frac{\varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{6}}{S_{\mathrm{xy}}^{2}}-\frac{\beta_{1}}{\overline{\mathrm{Y}}_{1}} \frac{\varepsilon_{3} \varepsilon_{5}}{\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{xy}}^{1}}+$ $\left.\frac{\beta_{2}}{\overline{\mathrm{Y}}_{2}} \frac{\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{3}}{\overline{\mathrm{Y}}_{1}}\right\}$
$\mathrm{OR}, \mathrm{S}_{11}-\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{R}\left\{\frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{\overline{\mathrm{Y}}_{1}}-\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{\overline{\mathrm{Y}}_{2}}+\left(\frac{\beta_{2}}{\overline{\mathrm{Y}}_{2}}-\frac{\beta_{1}}{\overline{\mathrm{Y}}_{1}}\right) \varepsilon_{3}+\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{2}^{2}}{\overline{\mathrm{~T}}_{2}^{2}}-\frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{\overline{\mathrm{Y}}_{1}} \frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{\overline{\mathrm{Y}}_{2}}\right)+\left(\frac{\beta_{2}^{2}}{\overline{\mathrm{Y}}_{2}^{2}}-\right.\right.$
 $\left.\frac{\beta_{2}}{\overline{\mathrm{Y}}_{2}} \frac{\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{3}}{\overline{\mathrm{Y}}_{1}}\right\}$

Now, on taking expectation both sides of section (15), we get
$\operatorname{Bias}\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{11}\right)=\mathrm{R}\left[\right.$ R.B. $(\mathrm{Rw})+\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right)\left\{\left(\frac{\beta_{2}^{2}}{\bar{Y}_{2}^{2}}-\frac{\beta_{1}}{\bar{Y}_{1}} \frac{\beta_{2}}{\bar{Y}_{2}}\right) S_{x}^{2}+\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\bar{Y}_{1}}-\right.\right.$
$\left.\left.\frac{2 \beta_{2}}{\bar{Y}_{2}}\right) \frac{s_{x y_{2}}}{\bar{Y}_{2}}+\frac{\beta_{2}}{\bar{Y}_{2}} \frac{S_{x y_{1}}}{\bar{Y}_{1}}\right\}+\frac{\mathrm{W} 2(\mathrm{k}-1)}{n}\left\{\left(\frac{\beta_{2}^{2}}{\bar{Y}_{2}^{2}}-\frac{\beta_{1}}{\bar{Y}_{1}} \frac{\beta_{2}}{\bar{Y}_{2}}\right) S_{x(2)}^{2}+\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\bar{Y}_{1}}-\right.\right.$
$\left.\left.\frac{2 \beta_{2}}{\bar{Y}_{2}}\right) \frac{s_{x y_{2}(2)}}{\bar{Y}_{2}}+\frac{\beta_{2}}{\bar{Y}_{2}} \frac{s_{x y_{1(2)}}}{\bar{Y}_{1}}\right\}+\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right)\left\{\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\bar{Y}_{1}}-\frac{\beta_{2}}{\bar{Y}_{2}}\right) \frac{\mu_{30}}{s_{x}^{2}}+\frac{\beta_{2}}{\bar{Y}_{2}} \frac{\mu_{21(2)}}{s_{x y_{2}}}-\right.$ $\left.\frac{\beta_{1}}{\bar{Y}_{1}} \frac{\mu_{21(1)}}{S_{x y_{1}}}\right\}$

Relative Bias $\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{11}\right)=$ R.B. $(\mathrm{Rw})+\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right)\left[\rho_{x y_{2}} C_{y_{2}} \mathrm{~A}+\frac{\mu_{30}}{S_{x}^{3}} \mathrm{~A}-\right.$ $\left.\left(\frac{\mu_{21(1)}}{\bar{Y}_{1}}-\frac{\mu_{21(2)}}{\bar{Y}_{2}}\right) / S_{x}^{2}\right]+\frac{\mathrm{W} 2(\mathrm{k}-1)}{n}\left[-\rho_{x y_{2}} C_{y_{2}} \mathrm{~A} B^{2}+\rho_{x y_{2}(2)} C_{y_{2}(2)} \mathrm{AB}\right.$
$\left.+\rho_{x y_{2}} C_{y_{2}} A_{1} \mathrm{~B}\right]$
Now, on squaring and taking expectation both sides after neglecting $3^{\text {rd }}$ and higher terms of section (15), we get
$\operatorname{MSE}\left(\mathrm{S}_{11}\right)=\mathrm{E}[\mathrm{S} 11-\mathrm{R}]^{2}$
$=\operatorname{MSE}(\mathrm{Rw})-\mathrm{R}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right) \mathrm{A}^{2}+\mathrm{R}^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~W} 2(\mathrm{k}-1)}{n} \mathrm{AB}\left[\mathrm{AB}-2 A_{1}\right]$
Now, expressing S21 in terms of $\varepsilon_{i}$ 's, we get
$\boldsymbol{S}_{2 I}=\left\{\bar{Y}_{1}\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{\bar{Y}_{1}}\right)+\beta_{1}\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon_{5}}{s_{x y_{1}}}\right)\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon_{7}}{S_{x}^{2}}\right)^{-1}\left(-\varepsilon_{4}\right)\right\}\left\{\bar{Y}_{2}(1+\right.$ $\left.\left.\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{\bar{Y}_{2}}\right)+\beta_{2}\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon_{6}}{S_{x y_{2}}}\right)\left(1+\frac{\varepsilon_{7}}{S_{x}^{2}}\right)^{-1}\left(-\varepsilon_{4}\right)\right\}^{-1}$

After following the procedure of expression o section (15) using binomial theorem and neglecting $3^{\text {rd }}$ and higher terms, we get
$\boldsymbol{S}_{21}-\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{R}\left\{\frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{\bar{Y}_{1}}-\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{\bar{Y}_{2}}+\left(\frac{\beta_{2}}{\bar{Y}_{2}}-\frac{\beta_{1}}{\bar{Y}_{1}}\right) \varepsilon_{4}+\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{2}^{2}}{\bar{Y}_{2}^{2}}-\frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{\bar{Y}_{1}} \frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{\bar{Y}_{2}}\right)+\left(\frac{\beta_{2}^{2}}{\bar{Y}_{2}^{2}}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\frac{\beta_{1}}{\bar{Y}_{1}} \frac{\beta_{2}}{\bar{Y}_{2}}\right) \varepsilon_{4}^{2}-\left(\frac{\beta_{2}}{\bar{Y}_{2}}-\frac{\beta_{1}}{\bar{Y}_{1}}\right) \frac{\varepsilon_{4} \varepsilon_{7}}{S_{x}^{2}}-\left(\frac{2 \beta_{2}}{\bar{Y}_{2}}-\frac{\beta_{1}}{\bar{Y}_{1}} \frac{\varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{4}}{\bar{Y}_{2}}+\frac{\beta_{2}}{\bar{Y}_{2}} \frac{\varepsilon_{4} \varepsilon_{6}}{s_{x y_{2}}}-\frac{\beta_{1}}{\bar{Y}_{1}} \frac{\varepsilon_{4} \varepsilon_{5}}{S_{x y_{1}}}+\right.$ $\left.\frac{\beta_{2}}{\bar{Y}_{2}} \frac{\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{4}}{\bar{Y}_{1}}\right\}$

Now, on taking expectation both sides of section (18), we get
$\operatorname{Bias}\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{21}\right)=\mathrm{R}\left[\mathrm{R} . \mathrm{B} .(\mathrm{Rw})+\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right)\left\{\left(\frac{\beta_{2}^{2}}{\overline{\mathrm{Y}}_{2}^{2}}-\frac{\beta_{1}}{\bar{Y}_{1}} \frac{\beta_{2}}{\bar{Y}_{2}}\right) S_{x}^{2}+\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\bar{Y}_{1}}-\right.\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\frac{2 \beta_{2}}{\bar{Y}_{2}}\right) \frac{s_{x y_{2}}}{\bar{Y}_{2}}+\frac{\beta_{2}}{\bar{Y}_{2}} \frac{s_{x y_{1}}}{\bar{Y}_{1}}\right\}+\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right)\left\{\left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{\bar{Y}_{1}}-\frac{\beta_{2}}{\bar{Y}_{2}}\right) \frac{\mu_{30}}{s_{x}^{2}}+\frac{\beta_{2}}{\bar{Y}_{2}} \frac{\mu_{21(2)}}{s_{x y_{2}}}\right\}-$ $\frac{\beta_{1}}{\bar{Y}_{1}} \frac{\mu_{21(1)}}{S_{x y_{1}}}$

Relative Bias $\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{21}\right)=$ R.B. $(\mathrm{Rw})+\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right)\left[\rho_{x y_{2}} C_{y_{2}} \mathrm{~A}+\frac{\mu_{30}}{S_{x}^{3}} \mathrm{~A}-\right.$ $\left.\left(\frac{\mu_{21(1)}}{\bar{Y}_{1}}-\frac{\mu_{21(2)}}{\bar{Y}_{2}}\right) / S_{x}^{2}\right]$

Now, on squaring and taking expectation both sides after neglecting $3^{\text {rd }}$ and higher terms of section (18), we get
$\operatorname{MSE}\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{21}\right)=E[S 21-\mathrm{R}]^{2}$
$=\operatorname{MSE}(\mathrm{Rw})-\mathrm{R}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right) \mathrm{A}^{2}$
Where, $A=\rho_{x y_{1}} C_{y_{1}-} \rho_{x y_{2}} C_{y_{2}}, A_{1}=\rho_{x y_{1(2)}} C_{y_{1(2)}-} \rho_{x y_{2(2)}} C_{y_{2(2)}}$ $\mathrm{B}=\frac{C_{x(2)}}{C_{x}}, C_{x(2)}=\frac{S_{x(2)}}{\bar{x}}, C_{x}=\frac{S_{x}}{\bar{x}}, C_{y_{i(2)}}=S_{y_{i(2)}} / \bar{Y}_{i}, C_{y_{i}}=S_{y_{i}} / \bar{Y}_{i}(\mathrm{i}$ $=1,2$ )
$C_{y_{1} y_{2}}=\rho_{y_{1} y_{2}} C_{y_{1}} C_{y_{2}}, C_{y_{1} y_{2}(2)}=\rho_{y_{1} y_{2}(2)} C_{y_{1(2)}} C_{y_{2(2)}}$,
$C_{x y_{i}}=\rho_{x y_{i}} C_{x} C_{y_{i}}, C_{x y_{i}(2)}=\rho_{x y_{i}(2)} C_{x(2)} C_{y_{i(2)}}(\mathrm{i}=1,2)$
$S_{y_{1} y_{2}}=\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{p=1}^{N}\left(Y_{1 p}-\bar{Y}_{1}\right)\left(Y_{2 p}-\bar{Y}_{2}\right)$
$S_{y_{1} y_{2}(2)}=\frac{1}{N_{2}-1} \sum_{p=1}^{N_{2}}\left(Y_{1 p(2)}-\bar{Y}_{1(2)}\right)\left(Y_{2 p(2)}-\bar{Y}_{2(2)}\right)$
$S_{x y_{i}}=\frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{p=1}^{N}\left(X_{p}-\bar{X}\right)\left(Y_{i p}-\bar{Y}_{i}\right) \quad ; \mathrm{i}=1,2$
$S_{x y_{i}(2)}=\frac{1}{N_{2}-1} \sum_{p=1}^{N_{2}}\left(X_{p(2)}-\bar{X}_{2}\right)\left(Y_{i p(2)}-\bar{Y}_{i(2)}\right) \quad ; \mathrm{i}=1,2$
$\left.\mu_{r s(i)}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{p=1}^{N}\left(X_{p}-\bar{X}\right)^{r} Y_{i p}-\bar{Y}_{i}\right)^{s} ; \quad 0 \leq(r, s) \leq 3$ and $\mathrm{i}=$ 1,2

## Theoretical Comparison

From section (17), the proposed estimator $S_{11}$ is more efficient than estimator Rw iff, $\operatorname{MSE}\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{11}\right)-\operatorname{MSE}(\mathrm{Rw})<0$ i.e.
$\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right) \mathrm{A}^{2}-\frac{\mathrm{W} 2(\mathrm{k}-1)}{n} \mathrm{AB}\left[\mathrm{AB}-2 A_{1}\right]>0$
It is obvious, $\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right) A^{2}$ be always positive unless $\mathrm{A} \neq 0$
then, $\frac{\mathrm{W} 2(\mathrm{k}-1)}{n} \mathrm{AB}\left[\mathrm{AB}-2 A_{1}\right]<\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right) \mathrm{A}^{2}$
or, $\mathrm{B}^{2}-2 \mathrm{~B} A_{1} / \mathrm{A}<\mathrm{f} / \mathrm{f}^{*}$
where, $\mathrm{f}=\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right)$ and, $\mathrm{f}^{*}=\frac{\mathrm{W} 2(\mathrm{k}-1)}{n}$
From section(8) and section (17), the proposed estimator $S_{11}$ is more efficient than estimator $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ iff, $\operatorname{MSE}\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{11}\right)-\operatorname{MSE}\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{1}\right)$ < 0
i.e. $-\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right) \mathrm{A}^{2}+\frac{\mathrm{W} 2(\mathrm{k}-1)}{n} \mathrm{AB}\left[\mathrm{AB}-2 A_{1}\right]<\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right)\left[C_{x}^{2}+\right.$ $\left.2\left(C_{x y_{I}}-C_{x y_{2}}\right)\right]+\frac{\mathrm{W} 2(\mathrm{k}-1)}{n}\left[C_{x(2)}^{2}+2\left(C_{x y_{l}(2)}-C_{x y_{2}(2)}\right)\right]$ or, $\mathrm{f} *\left[\left(\mathrm{AB}-2 A_{1}-C_{x(2)}\right)\left(\mathrm{AB}+C_{x(2)}\right)\right]<\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{A}+C_{x}\right)^{2}$

From section (20), the proposed estimator $S_{21}$ is more efficient than estimator Rw iff, $\operatorname{MSE}\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{21}\right)-\operatorname{MSE}(\mathrm{Rw})<0$ i.e. $-\mathrm{R}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right) \mathrm{A}^{2}<0$

It is obvious, $\mathrm{A}^{2}>0$ \{ either, $\mathrm{A}>0$ or, $\mathrm{A}<0$
From section(10) and section (20), the proposed estimator $S_{21}$ is more efficient than estimator $S_{2}$ iff, $\operatorname{MSE}\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{21}\right)-$
$\operatorname{MSE}\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{2}\right)<0$
i.e. $-\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right) \mathrm{A}^{2}<\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{N}\right)\left[C_{x}^{2}+2\left(C_{x y_{1}}-C_{x y_{2}}\right)\right]$
if, $-\mathrm{A}^{2}<C_{x}^{2}+2\left(C_{x y_{1}}-C_{x y_{2}}\right)$
or, $\left(\mathrm{A}+C_{x}\right)^{2}>0$

## An Empirical comparison

For numerical support of above theoretical results, we consider the data which has been used by Khare and Sinha(2007). In this present data, which belong to the data on physical growth of upper socio-economic group of 95 schools going children of Varanasi under an ICMR study, Department of paediatrics, BHU, during 1983-84. The auxiliary and study characters are defined as: $y_{1}$ : The height of children in c.m., $y_{2}:$ The weight of children in k.g., $x$ : The chest circumference of children in c.m.
the values of parameters related to the study characters $y_{i}$ (i $=1,2$ ) and auxiliary character, when first $25 \%$ (i.e. 24 children) units has been considered as non-response units, are given as :

Table-1

| $\mathrm{N}=$ | 95 | $\mathrm{~N}_{2}=$ |  | 24 | $\mathrm{n}=$ | 55 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\bar{Y}_{1}=$ | 115.9526 | $\bar{Y}_{2}=$ |  | 19.4968 |  | $\bar{X}=$ | 55.8611 |
| $C_{y_{1}}=$ | 0.05146 | $C_{y_{2}}=$ |  | 0.15613 |  | $C_{x}=$ | 0.0586 |
| $C_{Y_{1(2)}}=$ | 0.04402 | $C_{y_{2(2)}}=$ |  | 0.12075 |  | $C_{x(2)}=$ | 0.05402 |
| $\rho_{x y_{l}}=$ | 0.62 | $\rho_{y_{1} y_{2}}=$ |  | 0.713 |  | $\rho_{x y_{2}}=$ | 0.846 |
| $\rho_{x y_{l(2)}}=$ | 0.401 | $\rho_{y_{1} y_{2(2)}}=$ |  | 0.678 |  | $\rho_{x y_{2(2)}}=$ | 0.729 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{R}^{2}=$ | 35.3699 |  |
| $\frac{W 2}{N n}=$ | 0.0046 | $\left(\frac{l}{n}-\frac{l}{N}\right)=$ |  | 0.0077 |  |  |  |

Table-2
Relative efficiency (in \%) of different proposed estimators with respect to Rw for different value of $k$

| Estimator(s) | 1/k |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1/5 |  | 1/4 |  | 1/3 |  | 1/2 |  |
|  | R.E.(\%) | MSE | R.E.(\%) | MSE | R.E.(\%) | MSE | R.E.(\%) | MSE |
| $\boldsymbol{R} \boldsymbol{w}$ | 100.00 | 0.01027 | 100.00 | 0.00875 | 100.00 | 0.00724 | 100.00 | 0.00573 |
| $S_{1}$ | 206.48 | 0.00497 | 207.41 | 0.00422 | 208.73 | 0.00347 | 210.79 | 0.00272 |
| $S_{2}$ | 128.06 | 0.00802 | 134.59 | 0.00650 | 145.07 | 0.00499 | 164.66 | 0.00348 |
| $S_{11}$ | 221.07 | 0.00464 | 226.93 | 0.00386 | 235.79 | 0.00307 | 250.76 | 0.00228 |
| $S_{21}$ | 136.00 | 0.00755 | 145.02 | 0.00604 | 160.08 | 0.00452 | 190.27 | 0.00301 |

## Conclusion

After studying the above table, we conclude that the proposed estimators ( $\mathrm{S}_{11}, \mathrm{~S}_{21}$ ) are more efficient than $\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right)$ as well as conventional estimator $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{w}}$ for all the given values of k .

Also, the efficiencies of the above estimators increase when the values of k decrease.
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