New Types of Separation Axioms VIA Generalized B- Open Sets

Hussein A. Khaleefah

Department of Mathematics, College of Basic Education, Al-Mustansiriya University, IRAQ

Available online at: www.isca.in

Received 8th June 2013, revised 27th July 2013, accepted 4th August 2013

Abstract

In this work we introduce and study new types of separation axioms termed by, generalized b- R_b , i = 0, 1 and generalized b- T_b , i = 0, 1, 2 by using generalized- b open sets due to Ganster and Steiner. Relations among these types are investigated. Several properties and characterizations are provided. Furthermore, a new characterization of $T_{3/4}$ space is obtained. It is also seen that digital line is b- R_1 , b- R_0 and gb- T_1 . 2000 Math. Subject Classification: 54A05, 54C05, 54D10.

Keywords and Phrases: gb- closed sets, gb- open sets, gb- R_i , $i = 0, 1, gb- T_i$, $i = 0, 1, 2, T_{3/4}$, digital line...

Introduction

In 1996, Andrijevic¹ introduced a class of open sets called b- open sets in topology. In 1970 Levine² introduced the concept of generalized closed sets. Ganster and Steiner³ generalized the concept of closed sets to b- generalized closed sets and generalized b- closed sets. The investigation on generalization of closed set has lead to significant contribution to the theory of separation axioms. Some separation axioms are useful in computer science, as an example, Dontcheve and Ganster⁴ proved that the Khalimsky line or the digital line is $T_{3/4}$ space but not T_1 . Navalagi⁵ introduce semi generalized- T_i spaces, i = 0, 1, 2. This paper is devoted to introduce a new class of separation axioms called generalized b- R_i (briefly gb- R_i), i = 0, 1, and generalized b- T_i 0 briefly gb- T_i 1, i = 0, 1, 2 axioms using gb- open sets due to Ganster and Steiner³. We study basic properties and preservation properties of these spaces. Further, we show that the digital line is b- T_1 , b- T_2 0 and gb- T_3 1.

Preminilaries

Through this paper X and Y denote topological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated. Let A be the subset of the space X. The interior and closure of a set A in X are denote by cl(A) and int(A) respectively. The complement of A is denoted by (X-A) or A^c .

Let us recall some definitions and results which are useful in the sequel.

Definition 2.1. Let A be a subset of a space X, then A is called b- open¹ (resp. semi- open⁶, regular open⁷, preopen⁸) if $A \subseteq cl(\operatorname{int}(A)) \cup \operatorname{int}(cl(A))$ (resp. $A \subseteq cl(\operatorname{int}(A))$, $A = \operatorname{int}(cl(A))$, $A \subseteq \operatorname{int}(cl(A))$. The set of all b- open (resp. semi-open) sets is denoted by BO(X) (resp. SO(X)). The complement of the above sets are called their respective closed sets.

Definition 2.2. (1) The b- closure (resp. b- interior) of a set A, denoted by bcl(A) (resp. bint(A)) is the intersection (resp. the union) of all b- closed (resp. all b- open) sets containing A(resp. contained in A)¹.

Definition 2.3. A subset A of a topological space (X,τ) is said to be generalized b- open (briefly gb- open) set if $U \subseteq bint(A)$ whenever $U \subseteq A$ and U is closed. The complement of generalized- b open set is said to be generalized b- closed. The family of all gb- open (resp. gb- closed) sets of X is denoted by GBO(X) (resp. GBC(X))³. It is known that the union (resp. intersection) of two gb- closed sets is not a gb- closed set.

Definition 2.4. (1) The gb- closure (resp. gb- interior) of A, denoted by gbcl(A) (resp. gbint(A)) is the intersection of all gb-closed (resp. the union of all gb- open) sets containing A(resp. contained in A).

It is easy to see that,

Remark 2.5. (1) closedness \Rightarrow b- closedness \Rightarrow gb- closedness. Hence, openess \Rightarrow b- openess \Rightarrow gb- openess. And for any subset A of X, (2) $gbcl(A) \subseteq bcl(A) \subseteq cl(A)$. (3) $int(A) \subseteq bint(A)$.

Remark 2.6. (1) $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow gbcl(A) \subseteq gbcl(B)$. (2) If A is gb- closed, then gbcl(A) = A.

It is easy to prove the following result

Proposition 2.7. Let X be a space and $A \subset X$, then $x \in gbcl(\{A\})$ if and only if for each gb- open set U containing x, $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$.

Al- Omeri et al.⁹ presented the definition of gb- irresolute.

Definition 2.8. A map $f: (X, \tau) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be gb-irresolute if for each gb-closed set F of Y, the inverse image $f^{-1}(F)$ is a gb-closed set in X.

Hussein ¹⁰ introduced the following definitions

Definition 2.9. A map $f: (X, \tau) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be pre-gb-open if for each gb-open set U of X, the image f(U) is gb-open set in Y.

Definition 2.10. A bijection map $f: (X, \tau) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be gbc-homeomorphism if f is gb-irresolute and pre-gb-open (equivalently, f and f^I are gb-irresolute) and hence we say that X and Y are gbc-homeomorphic.

Definition 2.11. A property p of a topological space X is called a gbc- topological property if every space Y gbc- homeomorphic to X also has the same property.

Definition 2.12. A topological space X is called 11,

i. b- T_0 if for any two points x, y of X such that $x \neq y$, there is a b-open set containing one of the two points but not the other.

ii. b- T_1 if for any two points x, y of X such that $x \neq y$, there are two b-open sets, one contains x but not y and the other contains y but not x.

iii. b- T_2 if for each pair of distinct points x, y of X, there exists a pair of disjoint b-open sets one contains x and the other contains y.

Remark 2.13. In definition 2.12, if we replace each b- open set by semi- open set, we obtain the definitions of semi- T_0 , semi- T_1 and semi- T_2 spaces which are given by Maheshwari¹², et al.

Remark 2.14. (1) Every topological space is b- T_0 (Caldas and Jafari¹³). (2) b- $T_2 \Rightarrow$ b- $T_1 \Rightarrow$ b- T_0 is given by Mustafa. (3) semi- $T_i \Rightarrow$ b- T_i for i = 0, 1, 2.

Mustafa¹¹ proved that,

Proposition 2.15. A space X is b- T_1 if and only if every singleton is b- closed.

Dontchev and Ganster⁴ proved that,

Proposition 2.16. A space X is $T_{3/4}$ if and only if every singleton is regular open or closed.

gb-R₀ Spaces and gb-R₁ Spaces

In this section, we define and study two kinds of separation axioms namely, $gb-R_0$ and $gb-R_1$ spaces. Characterizations and properties of these spaces are provided.

Definition 3.1. We say that a space X is a gb- R₀ space if every gb- open set contains the gb- closure of each of its singletons.

Definition 3.2. We say that a space X is a gb- R_1 if for any x, y in X with $gbcl(\{x\}) \neq gbcl(\{y\})$, there exist disjoint gb- open sets U and V such that $gbcl(\{x\})$ is a subset of U and $gbcl(\{y\})$ is a subset of V.

Proposition 3.3. Every gb- R_1 is gb- R_0 .

Proof. Let U be a gb- open set such that $x \in U$. If $y \notin U$, then $x \notin gbcl\{y\}$ and

hence $gbcl\{x\} \neq gbcl\{y\}$. Then there is a gb- open set V such that $y \in V$ and $gbcl\{y\} \subset V$ and $x \notin V$, hence $y \notin gbcl\{x\}$. Thus $gbcl\{x\} \subset U$. Thus X is gb- R_0 .

Theorem 3.4. The following statements are equivalent for a space X.

i. X is a gb- R_0 space. ii. $x \in gbcl(\{y\})$ if and only if $y \in gbcl(\{x\})$ for any two points x and y in X.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let $x \in gbcl(\{y\})$ and U be any gb- open set such that $y \in U$. Then by hypothesis, $x \in U$. Therefore, every gb- open set containing y contains x. Hence $y \in gbcl(\{x\})$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Let V be a gb- open set such that $x \in V$. If $y \notin V$, then $x \notin gbcl(\{y\})$ and hence $y \notin gbcl(\{x\})$. Thus $gbcl(\{x\}) \subseteq V$. Therefore X is gb-R₀ space.

Next, we introduce the concept of gb- kernel of a set and utilizing it to characterize the notions of gb- R₀ and gb- R₁.

Definition 3.5. If X is a topological space and $A \subset X$. Then the gb-kernel of A (simply, gbKer(A)) is defined to be the set $gbKer(A) = \bigcap \{U \in GBO(X) : A \subseteq U\}$.

Proposition 3.6. If X is a topological space and x is any point in X. Then $y \in gbKer(\{x\})$ if and only if $x \in gbcl(\{y\})$

Proof. Suppose $y \notin gbKer(\{x\})$. Then there is a a gb- open set such that x belongs to V and $y \notin V$. Thus, we have $x \notin gbcl(\{y\})$. Similarly, we can prove the converse.

A subset N_x of a topological space X is said to be a *gb-neighborhood* of a point $x \in X$ if there exists a *gb-open* set U such that $x \in U \subseteq N_x$.

It is easy to prove that,

Proposition 3.7. Let U be a gb- open subset of X, then U is a gb- neighborhood of each of its points.

Definition 3.8. We say That the family GBO(X) has property (ϑ) if the union of any collection of subsets belong to GBO(X) is in GBO(X).

Theorem 3.9. Let X be a space and A a subset of X and GBO(X) has property (ϑ) . Then $gbKer(A) = \{x \in X : gbcl(\{x\} \cap A \neq \emptyset)\}$.

Proof. Let $x \in gbKer(A)$ and $gbcl(\{x\}) \cap A = \emptyset$. Hence $x \notin (gbcl(\{x\}))^c = V$. So, by assumption, V is a gb- open set such that $A \subseteq V$. This is impossible, since $x \in gbKer(A)$.

Conversely, let $x \in X$ such that $gbcl(\{x\} \cap A \neq \emptyset)$. Suppose that $x \notin gbKer(A)$. Then, there is a gb- open set U such that $A \subseteq U$ and $x \notin U$. Let $y \in gbcl(\{x\}) \cap A$, Thus U is a gb- neighborhood of y such that $x \notin U$, which is a contradiction. Hence $x \in gbKer(A)$.

Theorem 3.10. Let x, y be any two points X, if $gbcl(\{x\}) \neq gbcl(\{y\})$, then $gbKer(\{x\}) \neq gbKer(\{y\})$. If GBO(X) has property (ϑ) , then the converse is true.

Proof. Suppose that $gbcl(\{x\}) \neq gbcl(\{y\})$. Then there is a point z in X such that $z \in gbcl(\{x\})$ and $z \notin gbcl(\{y\})$. So there is a gb- open set U containing z and hence containing x but not y, by Proposition 2.7. Thus $y \notin gbKer(\{x\})$. Therefore $gbKer(\{x\}) \neq gbKer(\{y\})$.

Conversely, Assume that $gbKer(\{x\}) \neq gbKer(\{y\})$. Then there exists a point z in X such that $z \in gbKer(\{x\})$ but $z \notin gbKer(\{y\})$. Since $z \in gbKer(\{x\})$, then, by Theorem 3.9, $\{x\} \cap gbcl(\{z\}) \neq \emptyset$ and hence $x \in gbcl(\{z\})$. Since $z \notin gbKer(\{y\})$, then $\{y\} \cap gbcl(\{z\}) = \emptyset$. And since $x \in gbcl(\{z\})$ and by assumption $gbcl(\{z\})$ is $gbcl(\{z\}) = \emptyset$. Hence $gbcl(\{x\}) \neq gbcl(\{y\})$.

Theorem 3.11. For a topological space X. If GBO(X) has property (ϑ) . Then the following statements are equivalent. i. X is a gb- R_0 space.

ii. For any $x \in X$, $gbcl(\{x\}) \subset gbKer(\{x\})$.

iii. For any gb- closed set F and a point $x \notin F$, there exists a gb- open set U such that $x \notin U$ and $F \subset U$.

iv. If F is a gb-closed set, then $F = \bigcap \{U \in GBO(X) : F \subseteq U\}$.

v. If F is a gb-closed set and $x \notin F$, then $gbcl(\{x\}) \cap F = \phi$.

Proof. i. \Rightarrow (2): For $x \in X$, $gbKer(\{x\}) = \bigcap \{U \in GBO(X) : x \in U\}$. Since X is gb- R₀, so $gbcl(\{x\}) \subset U$ for any gb-open set U containing x. Therefore $gbcl(\{x\}) \subset gbKer(\{x\})$.

ii. \Rightarrow (3): Assume that F is a gb-closed set and $x \in X$ such that $x \notin F$. Then for $y \in F$ we have $gbcl(\{y\}) \subset F$ and hence $x \notin gbcl(\{y\})$. So $y \notin gbcl(\{x\})$. Then there is a gb-open set v containing y but not x for every $y \in F$. Let $U = \bigcup \{v \in GBO(X) : y \in v, x \notin v\}$, Then by assumption U is gb-open such that $x \notin U$ and $F \subset U$.

iii. \Rightarrow (4): Let F be any gb- closed set and $\omega = \bigcap \{U \in GBO(X) : F \subseteq U\}$. Then $F \subset \omega$(*). Let $x \notin F$, then by (3) there is $U \in GBO(X)$ such that $x \notin U$ and $F \subset U$. Hence $x \notin \omega$. Hence $\omega \subset F$(**). From (*) and (**) we have (4). iv. \Rightarrow (5): If F is a gb- closed set where $x \notin F$. Then by (4). $x \notin \bigcap \{U \in GBO(X) : F \subseteq U\}$. So there is a gb- open set H

such that $x \notin H$ and $F \subset H$. Then $x \in H^c = M \subset F^c$, hence $gbcl(\{x\}) \subset M \subset F^c$. Therefore $gbcl(\{x\}) \cap F = \emptyset$.

V. \Rightarrow (1): If U is a gb- open set and x belongs to U. Then U^c is gb- closed and $x \notin U^c$. Hence by (5), $gbcl(\{x\}) \cap U^c = \phi$. Thus $gbcl(\{x\}) \subset U$. Therefore X is gb-R₀ space.

Generalized b- T₀ Spaces and Generalized b- T₁ Spaces

Definition 4.1. A space X is (1) generalized b- T_0 (briefly gb- T_0) if to each two distinct points x, y of X, there is a gb- open set containing one point but not the other.

(2) generalized $b - T_1$ (briefly $gb - T_1$) if to each two points x, y of X, there are a pair of gb- open sets, one containing x but not y and the other containing y but not x.

Remark 4.2. Every b- T_1 space is a gb- T_1 since every b-open set is gb- open. But not conversely as y the following example shows.

Example 4.3. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}\}$. Then $BO(X) = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b\}\}$ and $GBO(X) = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}$, Then X is gb- T₁ but not b- T₁.

Theorem 4.4. Every topological space X is gb- T_0 .

Proof. Let x and y be any two points in X.such that $x \neq y$. If $\inf\{x\} \neq \emptyset$, then $\{x\}$ is open, hence $\{x\}$ is gb-open. Thus X is gb- T_0 . Now, if $\inf\{x\} = \emptyset$, then $\{x\}$ is preclosed, thus $X - \{x\}$ is a preopen. But every preopen set is b- open, so $X - \{x\}$ is gb- open. Therefore X is gb- T_0 .

Theorem 4.5. In any topological space X, gb- closures of distinct points are distinct.

Proof. Let x, y be any two points in X. such that $x \neq y$. Since every space is gb- T_0 , by Theorem 4.4. There is a gb- open set U containing x or y, say x but not y. Then X- U is a gb- closed contains y but does not contain x. Since $gbcl(\{y\}) \subseteq X$ - U, so $x \notin gbcl(\{y\})$. Therefore $gbcl(\{x\}) \neq bgcl(\{y\})$.

Combining Theorem 3.10 and Theorem 4.5, we have the following result.

Corollary 4.6. For any two distinct points x, y in a topological space X, $gbKer(\{x\}) \neq gbKer(\{y\})$.

Definition 4.7. A point x is called a gb-limit point of A if for each gb-open set U containing x, we have $(U - \{x\}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. The set of all gb-limit points of A is called to be gb-derived set of A (simply gbd(A)).

Proposition 4.8. Let X be a topological space and A be a subset of X, then $gbcl(A)=A\cup gbd(A)$.

Proof. Obvious.

Definition 4.9. A topological space X is gb- symmetric if for any two points x and y in X, $x \in gbcl(\{y\})$ implies $y \in gbcl(\{x\})$.

Theorem 4.10. If X is a gb- symmetric space. Then X is gb- T_1 .

Proof. Let x, y be such that $x \neq y$. Since X is gb- T_0 , there is a gb- open set U Such that $x \in U \subset X - \{y\}$. Hence $x \notin gbcl(\{y\})$. Since X is gb- symmetric, so $y \notin gbcl(\{x\})$. Therefore there is a gb- open set V such that $y \in V \subseteq X - \{x\}$. Hence X is gb- T_1 .

Definition 4.11. A topological space X is called b- symmetric¹³ if for any two points x and y of X, $x \in bcl(\{y\})$ implies $y \in bcl(\{x\})$.

Theorem 4.12. Let X be a b-symmetric topological space then every singleton subset $\{x\}$ of X is gb-closed.

Proof. Assume that $\{x\} \subseteq U \in \tau$ and $bcl(\{x\}) \not\subset U$. Then $bcl(\{x\}) \cap U^c \neq \emptyset$. Let $y \in bcl(\{x\}) \cap U^c$. Then $x \in bcl(\{y\}) \subseteq U^c$, so $x \notin U$, this is a contradiction. Hence $\{x\}$ is gb-closed.

Theorem 4.13. Let X be a topological space such that every singleton of X is gb- closed. Then X is gb-T₁.

Proof. Suppose that $\{a\}$ is gb- closed for every $a \in X$. Let $x, y \in X$ be distinct. Hence $\{x\}^c$ is a gb- open containing y but not x. Similarly $\{y\}^c$ is a gb- open set containing x but not y. Therefore X is a gb- T_1 Space.

The converse of the above theorem is not true in general as shown by the following example.

Example 4.14. In Example 4.3, X is gb- T_1 but $\{a\}$ is not gb- closed. In the next result, we provide a condition under which the converse of Theorem 4.13 is true.

Theorem 4.15. Let X be a topological space such that GBO(X) has property (ϑ) . If X is gb-T₁, then every singleton subset of X is gb-closed

Proof. Suppose that X is gb-T₁ and x is any point in X. Let $y \in \{x\}^c$. Then $x \neq y$. So there exists a gb- open set U_y such that $y \in U_y$ but $x \notin U_y$. Thus for each $y \in \{x\}^c$, there exists a gb- open set U_y such that $y \in U_y \subseteq \{x\}^c$. Therefore $\bigcup \{y : y \neq x\} \subseteq \bigcup \{U_y : y \neq x\} \subseteq \{x\}^c$ which implies that $\{x\}^c \subseteq \bigcup \{U_y : y \neq x\} \subseteq \{x\}^c$. Therefore $\{x\}^c = \bigcup \{U_y : y \neq x\}$. Since U_y is gb- open in X, by assumption. Hence $\{x\}^c$ is gb- open and so $\{x\}$ is gb- closed.

Combining Theorems 4.13 and 4.15, we have the following result.

Corollary 4.16. If GBO(X) has property (ϑ), then X is gb-T₁ if and only if X is b-symmetric.

Theorem 4.17. The following statements are equivalent for any space X. i. X is gb- R_0 , ii. X is gb- T_1 .

Proof. (2) \Rightarrow (1): Obviuos.

(1) \Rightarrow (2): This is hold since gb- T_1 is equivalent to gb- R_0 and gb- T_0 .

Now, we provide conditions under which the property of being a bg- T₁ is invariant.

Theorem 4. 18. Let $f: (X, \tau) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be an injective, gb-irresolute mapping, then X is gb- T_1 if Y is gb- T_1 .

Proof. Obvious.

Theorem 4.19. The property of being a gb- T_1 space is preserved by pre- gb- open, bijective mapping and hence it is gbc-topological property.

Proof. Let X be a gb- T_1 space and Y be any space. Let f be a one- one pre- gb- open mapping of X onto Y. Let y_1 and y_2 be any two distinct point of Y. Since f is bijective, there exist distinct points x_1 and x_2 of X such that $y_1 = f(x_1)$ and $y_2 = f(x_2)$. But X is gb- T_1 space, so there exist gb- open sets U and V such that $x_1 \in U$ but $x_2 \notin U$ and $x_2 \in V$ but $x_1 \notin V$. Hence $y_1 \in f(x_1) \in f(U)$ but $y_2 \in f(x_2) \notin f(U)$ and $y_2 \in f(x_2) \in f(V)$ but $y_1 \in f(x_1) \notin f(V)$. Since f is pre- gb- open, it follows that f(U) and f(V) are gb- open subsets of Y such that $y_1 \in f(U)$ but $y_2 \notin f(U)$ and $y_2 \in f(V)$ but $y_1 \notin f(V)$. Hence Y is a gb- T_1 .

gb- T2 Topological Spaces.

Definition 5.1. A space X is said to be gb- T_2 , if for each pair of distinct points x, y of X, there exist disjoint gb- open sets U, V such that $x \in U$ and $y \in V$.

It is easy to see that,

Remark 5.2. (1) b- $T_2 \Rightarrow gb$ - T_2 , (2) gb- $T_2 \Rightarrow gb$ - T_1 .

The converse of each part is not true in general.

Example 5.3. In Example 4.3, X is gb- T_2 but not b- T_2 .

Problem 5.4. Give an example to show that the converse of (2) is not true in general.

Theorem 5.5. For a space X, the following statement are equivalent.

i. X is gb- $T_{2,n}$ ii. Let x_0 be a point in X, then for any $x \in X$, $x \neq x_0$, there is a gb- open set U in X containing x_0 such that $x \notin gbcl(U)$ iii. For each $x \in X$, $\bigcap \{gbcl(U): U \text{ is gb- open in X containing } x \} = \{x\}$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let $x_0 \in X$ be given and consider $x \neq x_0$. Since X is a gb-T₂ space, there exist disjoint gb- open sets U and V containing x_0 and x respectively. Then V^c is gb-closed, gbcl(U) $\subseteq V^c$. Thus $x \in V^c$, a contradiction. Hence $x \notin gbcl(U)$.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ For each $x \neq y$, there exist a gb- open set U such that $x \in U$ and $y \notin gbcl(U)$, So $\bigcap \{gbcl(U): U \text{ is gb- open in } X \text{ and } x \in U\} = \{x\}$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1): Let $x \neq y$, then $y \notin \bigcap \{gbcl(U) : U \in GBO(X), x \in U\} = \{x\}$. Hence there is a gb- open set V_y containing y such that $V_y \cap U = \emptyset$. Therefore X is gb- T_2 .

We introduce the following characterization of gb- T_2 .

Theorem 5.6. Let X be a space and GBO(X) has property (ϑ). Then X is gb- T₂ if and only if X is gb- R₁.

Proof. Necessity. If $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$, then by Theorem 4.5, $gbcl(\{x\}) \neq gbcl(\{y\})$. Since X is gb- T_2 , there exist disjoint gb- open sets U, V such that $x \in U$ and $y \in V$. Since X is gb- T_2 , so by assumption and Theorem 4.15, every singleton is gb- closed. Hence $gbcl(\{x\}) = \{x\} \subseteq U$ and $gbcl(\{y\}) = \{y\} \subseteq V$. Thus X is gb- R_1 .

Sufficiency. Let $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$. Then, by Theorem 4.5, $gbcl(\{x\}) \neq gbcl(\{y\})$. By assumption, there are disjoint gb- open sets U and V such that $x \in gbcl(\{x\}) \subseteq U$ and $y \in gbcl(\{y\}) \subseteq V$. So X is gb- T_2 . The following result is a characterization of gb- R_1 spaces.

Theorem 5.7. Let X be gb- R_1 topological space, then for any $x, y \in X$ such that $gbcl(\{x\}) \neq gbcl(\{y\})$, there exist gb-closed sets U and V such that $x \in U$, $y \notin U$, $y \notin V$, $x \notin V$ and $X = U \cup V$. And if GBO(X) has property (ϑ) , then the converse holds.

Proof. Let $x, y \in X$ such that $gbcl(\{x\}) \neq gbcl(\{y\})$, hence $x \neq y$. Then by hypothesis, there exist disjoint gb- open sets U and V such that $x \in gbcl(\{x\}) \subseteq U$ and $y \in gbcl(\{y\}) \subseteq V$. Let $F = U^c$ and $H = V^c$, then F, H are gb- closed sets such that $x \in H$, $y \notin H$ and $y \in F$, $x \notin F$ and $X = F \cup H$.

Conversely, Suppose that x and y are distinct points of X, such that $gbcl(\{x\}) \neq gbcl(\{y\})$. By hypothesis, there are gb-closed sets F and H such that $x \in F$, $y \notin F$, $y \in H$, $x \notin H$ and $X = F \cup H$. Set $U = H^c$ and $V = F^c$, then U, V are gb-open and $x \in U$, $y \in V$ and $U \cap V = \phi$. Therefore X is gb-R₁, by Theorem 5.6.

The property of being gb- T₂ is invariant under gb- irresoluteness, injective mappings.

Theorem 5.8. Let $f: (X, \tau) \longrightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be gb- irresolute and injective. If Y is gb- T_2 , then X is gb- T_2 .

Proof. Obvious.

Similar to Theorem 4.19 we obtain,

Theorem 5.9. The property of being a gb- T_2 space is preserved by pre- gb- open, bijective mapping and hence gbc- topological property.

Applications

The digital line^{14, 15} or the so called Khalimsky line (Z, K) is the set Z of integers with the topology K having $\{\{2n-1,2n,2n+1\}:n\in Z\}$ as a subbase. It is proved by Dontchev and Ganster⁴ that the digital line (Z,K) is a $T_{3/4}$ space, which fails to be T_1 .

In this section we give a new characterization of $T_{3/4}$ by utilizing b- T_1 spaces. Also we investigate some separation axioms for the digital line.

Theorem 6.1. Every $T_{3/4}$ space is b- T_1 .

Proof. Since X is $T_{3/4}$, so by Proposition 2.16, every singleton is closed or regular open. Since every regular open is semi-closed. Hence every singleton is closed or semi-closed. But every closed and every semi-closed is b-closed. Hence every singleton is b-closed. Therefore X is b- T_1 , by Proposition 2.15.

Dontchev and Ganster⁴ proved that every $T_{3/4}$ is $T_{1/2}$. We provide a new characterization for $T_{3/4}$ space.

Theorem 6.2. The following statements are equivalent for any space X. i. X is $T_{3/4}$, ii. X is $T_{1/2}$ and b- T_1 .

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): By Theorem 6.1, and the fact that every $T_{3/4}$ is $T_{1/2}$, we have (2).

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Since X is $T_{1/2}$, so every singleton $\{x\}$ is either open or closed. And since X is b- T_1 , so every singleton is b-closed and so $\operatorname{int}(cl(\{x\})) \cap cl(\operatorname{int}(\{x\})) \subseteq \{x\}$. Then, if $\{x\}$ is open, we have $\operatorname{int}(cl(\{x\})) = \{x\}$. Thus $\{x\}$ is regular open for any $x \in X$. Therefore by Proposition 2.16, X is $T_{3/4}$.

Combining Remarrk 2.14 (3) and Theorem 6.2, we get the next result which is (Theorem 4.14) of Dontchev and Ganster⁴.

Corollary 6.3. If X is both $T_{1/2}$ and s- T_1 , then X is $T_{3/4}$.

Corollary 6.4. The digital line (Z, K) is b- T_1 and hence gb- T_1 . Caldas and Jafari¹³ have introduced the following definition and Lemma.

Definition 6.5. A space X is said to be a

i. b- R_0 space if every b- open set contains the b- closure of each of its singletons. ii. b- R_1 space if for x, y in X with $bcl(\{x\}) \neq bcl(\{y\})$, there exist disjoint b- open sets U and V such that such that $bcl(\{x\})$ is a subset of U and $bcl(\{y\})$ is a subset of V.

Lemma 6.6. For any space X, b- R₁ and b- T₂ are equivalent.

Theorem 6.7. The digital line is b- T_2 , b- R_1 and b- R_0 .

Proof. It is proved by Fujimoto and Takigawa¹⁶ that (Z, K) is s- T_2 and hence b- T_2 , by Remark 2.14 (2). Hence by Lemma 6.6, it is b- R_1 and hence b- R_0 .

Corollary 6. 8. The digital line is $gb-T_2$ and hence $gb-T_1$.

Proof. Follows from Theorem 6.7 and Remark 5.2.

Next, we give another proof of the fact that digital line is b- R₁.

Theorem 6.9. For any point x of (Z, K), $bcl\{x\} = \{x\}$.

Proof. For any point x of (Z, K), it known¹⁷ that: i. If x = 2t, $t \in Z$, then $cl(\{x\}) = \{x\}$ and $int(\{x\}) = \phi$,

ii. If x = 2t + 1, $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $cl(\{2t + 1\}) = \{2t, 2t + 1, 2t + 2\}$ and $int(\{2t + 1\}) = \{2t + 1\}$.

Now, if x = 2t, then $bcl\{x\} = bcl\{2t\} = \{2t\} \cup [cl(int(\{2t\})) \cap int(cl(\{2t\}))] = \{2t\}$.

And if x = 2t + 1, then $bcl\{x\} = bcl\{2t+1\} = \{2t+1\} \cup [cl(int(\{2t+1\})) \cap int(cl(\{2t+1\}))] = \{2t+1\}$.

Theorem 6.10. The digital line is $b-R_1$.

Proof. Let p and q be two points of (Z, K) such that $bcl\{p\} \neq bcl\{q\}$. Hence $p \neq q$. We have the following cases:

i. If p= 2t and q= 2s where $t \neq s$ and t < s. Let U = {2t-1, p} and V= {q, 2s+1}.

Then U and V are disjoint b- open sets containing $p = bcl(\{p\})$ and $q = bcl(\{q\})$ respectively.

ii. If p=2t and q=2s+1, where t < s. Let $U=\{2t-1, p\}$ and $V=\{q, 2s+2\}$. Then

U and V are disjoint b- open sets containing $p = bcl(\{p\})$ and $q = bcl(\{q\})$ respectively.

iii. If p=2t+1 and q=2s+1 where t < s. Let $U=\{2t, p\}$ and $V=\{q, 2s+2\}$. Then U and V are disjoint b- open sets containing $p=bcl(\{p\})$ and $q=bcl(\{q\})$ respectively.

Hence (Z, K) is b- R_1 .

Conclusion

The class of generalized closed sets has an important role in general topology, especially its suggestion of new separation axioms which are useful in digital topology. In this work we introduced and study new types of separation axioms namely, gb- R_i , i=1, i=0,1, and gb- $T_{i,i}=1,2$. Several characterizations and properties of these concepts are provided. A new characterization of $T_{3/4}$ space is investigated. We proved that Khalimsky line, or digital line (Z, K) is b- T_2 , b- T_2 , b- T_3 , and gb- T_4 and gb- T_3 .

Research Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences	ISSN 2320-6047
Vol. 1(8) , 16-24, September (2013)	Res. J. Mathematical & Statistical Sci.

References

- **1.** Andrijevic D., on b- open sets, *Mat. Vesnik* **48**, 49-64 (**1996**)
- 2. Levine N., Generalized closed sets in topology, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, (2)(19), 89-96 (1970)
- 3. Ganster M. and Steiner M., on b τ closed sets, Appl. Gen. Topol. 8(2), 243-247 (2007)
- 4. Dontchev J. and Ganster M., On δ generalized closed sets and $T_{3/4}$ spaces, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. A Math. 17, 15-31 (1996)
- 5. Navalagi G., Semi- generalized separation axioms in topology, IJMCA, 3(1-2), 23-31 (2011)
- 6. Levine N., Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, Amer. Mathn. Monthly, 70, 36-41 (1963)
- 7. Stone M., Application of the theory of boolian rings to general topology, *Trans. Amer. Math.*, *Soc.*, **41**, 374-481 (**1937**)
- **8.** Mashhour A.S., Abed- El- Monsef M. E. and El deeb S. N., On pre- continuous and weak pre- continuous mappings, *Proc.Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt*, **53**, 47-53 (**1988**)
- 9. Al- Omari A. and Noorani M.S., On generalized b- closed sets, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. 32(1), 9-30 (2009)
- **10.** Hussein A.K., On gbg- homeomorphisms and gbc- homeomorphisms in topological spaces, accepted to publish in *Ibn Al-Haitham Jour. Pure and Appl. Sci*, **4/1845** (**2013**)
- 11. Mustafa J.M., Some separation axioms by b- open sets, Mu'tah Lil-Buhuth wad- Dirasat, (20) (3), 57-63 (2005)
- 12. Maheshwari S.N. and Prasad R., Some New Separation Axioms, Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles Ser. I, 89, 395-402 (1975)
- 13. Caldas M. and Jafari S., On some applications of b- open sets in topological spaces, Kochi J.Math., 2, 11-19 (2007)
- **14.** Khalimsky E.D., Kopperman R. and Meyer P.R., Computer graphics and connected topologies on finite ordered sets, *Topology Appl.* **36**, 1-17 (**1990**)
- **15.** Kovalevsky V. and Kopperman R., Some topology- based image processing algorithms , *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, **728**, 174-182 (**1994**)
- **16.** Fujimoto M., Takigawa S. Dontchev J., Noiri T. and Maki H., The topological structure and groups of digital n- spaces, *Kochi Journal of Mathematics*, **1**, 31-55 (**2006**)
- 17. Arora S.C. and Sanjay T., β R₀ and β R₁ topological spaces, Vasil Alecsandri, Univ. of Bacau, Faculty of Sciences, Scientific Students and Research, Series Maths. and Informatics, (20)(1) 25-36 (2010)