

International Research Journal of Environment Sciences_____ Vol. **3(6), 5**6-61, June (**2014**)

Estimation of Biomass and Carbon Sequestration of Trees in Informally Protected Areas of Rajouri, J&K, India

Bandana Gupta and Sanjay Sharma

Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Jammu, Jammu-180006, J&K, INDIA

Available online at: www.isca.in, www.isca.me Received 18th May 2014, revised 30th May 2014, accepted 20th June 2014

Abstract

Conservation of natural resources through traditional beliefs and practices is an ancient practice and forms an important link between biological diversity and cultural diversity. The study examines the role of informally protected areas in maintaining diversity and carbon sequestration of tree species in 40 different sites of Rajouri Tehsil. Firstly, an inventory of trees species was prepared in the study area through field survey. To assess carbon sequestration and total biomass in the tree species, the mean above and belowground organic carbon (tones/tree) and total organic carbon of each species were calculated. A total of 53 tree species comprising of 629 individuals have been recorded in the study area. Olea ferruginea species was dominant with 161 trees and sequestered 34.52 tons of carbon in its standing biomass, followed by Pinus roxburghii (20.7 tons). Zanthoxyllum armatum had the lowest carbon sequestration potential (0.1 tons).

Keywords: Biomass, carbon sequestration, diversity, conservation.

Introduction

Nature worship is an ancient Indian tradition and conservation of natural resources was considered necessary for survival and sustenance of mankind. The practice of dedicating forest patches to deities in sacred groves is an ancient custom and likewise trees are protected for their religious, traditional and economic uses in various informally protected areas such as temples, shrines, sacred groves, graveyards etc¹. Trees are also known to be a major sink of CO₂ and cost-effective option for mitigation of global warming and climatic change, contributing to reduce atmospheric carbon which is accumulating in the atmosphere at an increasing rate. The tehsil Rajouri is one of the 8 tehsils in the district Rajouri of J&K state. Rajouri is known to be a land of ethnic diversity where people belonging to different communities and religions live together including a number of tribal communities such as Gujjars, Bakerwals, etc². A huge number of sacred places are also found in Rajouri, in which forest patches, varying in sizes and also other planted tree species are protected on religious as well as traditional grounds. The objective of the study was to assess the diversity of trees species and to estimate the sequestrated carbon of different tree species in different sacred places in Rajouri, contributing in reducing CO2 concentration in the atmosphere.

Material and Methods

For the present study, a total of 40 sacred sites were studied located in different villages as well as wards of Rajouri tehsil. The details of these sites are given in table-1. Field studies in the different sites were conducted to prepare an inventory of tree species and the plant material were collected and identified by referring standard local flora. Most of the information was collected from the elderly people, village heads of the respective areas³.

For the quantitative analysis of vegetation, random sampling method was used for sampling the above ground vegetation. For the collection of data plots of 10×10 m size were laid randomly in the various sites taken under study. Plot method is one of the most commonly used sampling methods for all kind of vegetation sampling. Plot method is also among the methodologies approved by the Clean Development Mechanism for afforestation and reforestation projects under the Kyoto Protocol⁴. The number of quadrats laid in each site depended on the total area covered by that site, larger the area of the site; more is the number of quadrats laid in that site. From each plot data was collected for measuring following parameters:

Analysis of data for measuring carbon sequestration⁵: To assess carbon sequestration potential of the tree species in the study area, following parameters were measured:

Tree Height and Diameter at Breast Height (DBH): To estimate biomass of different trees, non-destructive method was used. The biomass of tree was estimated on the basis of DBH and tree height. DBH can be determined by measuring tree Girth at Breast Height (GBH), approximately 1.3 meter from the ground. The GBHs of trees were measured directly by measuring tape.

Above ground biomass (AGB) of trees: The above ground biomass of tree includes the whole shoot, branches, leaves, flowers, and fruits.

It is calculated using the following formula -

AGB kg = volume of tree (m3) x wood density Kg/m3, $V = \pi$ Radius of the tree is calculated from GBH of tree. The wood r2H, V= volume of the cylindrical shaped tree in m3, r = radiusof the tree in meter, H= Height of tree in meter.

densities were obtained from the website www.worldagroforestycentre.org/sea/products/AFDbases/WD/.

Name of Sacred Grove	Name of the Deity	Place Area (ha) Location		Community	No. of Tree species	
Baba Keshav Nath Sacred Grove	Baba Keshav Nath	Talwal	0.01	Rural	Sasan	10
Baba Naar Singh Sacred Grove	Baba Naar Singh Sacred GroveBaba Naar Singh		Talwal 0.0075		Sasan	5
Baba Qutubdin Naughazia Ziarat	Baba Qutubdin Naughazia	Dhanidhar	0.4	Rural	All	14
Baba Sain Abdul Aziz Ziarat	Baba Sain Abdul Aziz	Nagrota	0.15	Rural	All	11
Banda Bahadur Gurudwara	Banda Bahadur	Thandikassi	0.0088	Rural	All	4
Boli Mata Temple	Boli Mata	Dhanidhar	0.02	Rural	All	16
Cave Shrine Sacred Grove	Lord Shiv, Lord Vishnu	Mankot	0.013	Rural	All	1
Chandershekhar Temple	Lord Shiv	Dhangri	0.015	Rural	All	10
Chugga Biradri Memorial	-	Talwal	0.0063	Rural	Chugga	4
Cremation Ground Rajouri	-	Rajouri City	0.011	Urban	All	3
Dargah Hazrat Sultan Shah	Baba Hazrat Sultan Shah	Dalogra	0.0075	Rural	All	3
Durga Temple, Dalogra	Goddess Durga	Dalogra	0.027	Rural	All	3
Durga Temple, Bathuni	Goddess Durga	Bathuni	0.017	Rural	All	9
Graveyard, Talwal	-	Talwal	0.1	Rural	All	14
Jama Masjid Dhangri	Peer Khiwe Shah	Dhangri	0.015	Rural	All	8
Jyoti Mata Temple	Jyoti Mata	Thandikassi	0.075	Rural	All	8
Kali Mata Temple	Kali Mata	Saralkote	0.02	Rural	All	11
Markazi Idgah, Bamakam Ziarat	Baba Bamakam	Dassal Gujran	0.04	Rural	All	1
Panjpeer Ziarat	Paanch Peer	Rajouri	0.011	Urban	All	7
Peer Baba Rajouri	-	Rajouri	0.0038	Urban	All	2
Peer Baba Thandikassi	_	Thandikassi	0.019	Rural	All	3
Peer Khiwe Shah Ziarat	Peer Khiwe Shah	Dhangri	0.12	Rural	All	10
Pracheen Radha Krishna Temple Lord Krishna		Rajouri	0.022	Urban	All	9
Pracheen Shiv Temple	Lord Shiv	Rajouri city	0.019	Urban	All	12
Ram Temple, Dhangri	Lord Ram	Dhangri	0.05	Rural	All	10
Radha Krishna Temple	Lord Krishna	Thandikassi	0.0088	Rural	All	3
Sain Challa Sahib Ziarat	Sain Challa Sahib	Pullulian	0.3	Rural	All	12
Sain Gangi Sahib Ziarat	Sain Gangi Sahib	Fatehpur	0.12	Rural	All	14
Sakhi Sultan Ziarat	Sain Sakhi Sultan	Rajouri city	0.003	Urban	All	1
Santoshi Mata Temple	Goddess Durga	Palma	0.1	Rural	All	7
Sarv Dharm Sthal	All religions	Bathuni	0.002	Rural	All	4
Shiv Temple, Kheora	Lord Shiv	Kheora	0.0088	Rural	All	5
Shiv Temple, Muradpur	Lord Shiv	Muradpur	0.025	Rural	All	5
Shiv Temple, Talwal	Lord Shiv	Talwal	0.02	Rural	All	14
Shri 108 Brahmarishi Dudhadhari Barfani ji	108 Brahmarishi adhari Barfani ji Lord Ram		0.5	Urban	All	17
Maharaj Ashram	<u> </u>					
Sita Mata Temple	Sita Mata	Thandikassi	0.1	Rural	All	11
Surta Mata Mandir, Kallar	Surta Mata	Kallar	0.02	Rural	Rotra	3
Takkya Ziarat	Sain Sabat Shah	Badoon	0.02	Rural	All	7
Wali Rafiq Sahib Ziarat	Wali Rafiq Sahib	Chapprian	0.06	Rural	All	15
Ziarat Peer Makhan Shah Badshah	Peer Makhan Shah Badshah	Rajouri city	0.016	Urban	All	3

Table -1
List of Informally Protected sites selected for vegetative study in Rajour

Below ground biomass (BGB) of trees: The belowground biomass (BGB) includes all biomass of live roots excluding fine roots having < 2 mm diameter. The belowground biomass has been calculated by multiplying the above ground biomass (AGB) by 0.26 factors as the root: shoot ratio.

Belowground biomass (BGB) kg/tree or ton/tree = aboveground biomass (AGB) kg/tree or ton/tree x 0.26

Qualitative Analysis: For the qualitative analysis of data, a survey based on questionnaire was also carried out to study the importance of these sites in phytodiversity conservation and to analyze their socio-economic importance.

Results and Discussion

The study was conducted in various sacred sites of Rajouri. The study was based on two types of analysis of the vegetation in the study area, the quantitative and qualitative.

Quantitative Analysis: Phyto diversity Measurement: The results of quantitative analysis of data revealed a total of 629 individuals of 53 species belonging to 30 families and 43 genera had been recorded in all the sites under study (table-2). Rosaceae family was the most dominant with 7 tree species followed by families Moraceae and Rutaceae, each with 6 tree species. Among genera, Genus *Ficus* had maximum contribution represented by 5 species, which were *Ficus benghalensis, F. carica, F. palmata, F. racemosa and F. religiosa.*

Carbon Sequestration Measurement: There are 53 species including 629 individuals have been recorded in sacred groves under study in Rajouri Tehsil of Rajouri district. Table-3 demonstrates total number of trees of each species present in the sacred groves. It also indicates the average GBH in cm and average tree height in meters. The mean above ground organic carbon (AGC) per tree (t/ tree); mean of below ground organic carbon (BGC) per tree (t/ tree); the total organic carbon of each species in tones and the total organic carbon sequestrated in 629 trees have been summarized. The organic carbon sequestrated in per species is shown for comparison purpose. Olea ferruginea species are dominant in sacred groves having 161 trees and sequestrated 34.52 tons of carbon in its standing biomass, followed by Pinus roxburghii (20.7 tons). Zanthoxyllum armatum has the lowest carbon sequestration potential (0.1 tons).

Qualitative Analysis: For the qualitative analysis of data, a detailed questionnaire was prepared to know the role of these sacred places in the lives of local people. It was found that most of the trees growing in these groves were used by the people for different purposes like fuel, fodder, medicinal and as edibles⁶. Although most of the trees were growing wild, but people had also planted a number of trees particularly religious, ornamental and fruit trees. Most of the people were of the view that sacred places were used by them mainly for religious purposes, which

is the main reason for protection of trees growing here because of various taboos associated with the religious activities⁷. Apart from religious use, sacred groves were also used for firewood collection, grazing of animals and for recreational purposes by some people. Most of the respondents believed that sacred sites were an important storehouse of local flora in the region because of the various restrictions on the use of these trees growing in these groves⁸. But they also believe that these sacred groves are gradually shrinking in size and number due to habitation in remote areas, land requirements, improper agricultural practices, irregular rainfall and literacy brought to the tribal people which caused loss of tribal ethos.

Conclusion

These informally protected areas possess a great heritage of diverse gene pool of many forest species having socio-religious attachment and possessing various important use values for the local people. A scared grove having thick vegetation possesses high carbon sequestration potential, contributing in reducing concentration of CO_2 in the atmosphere. As they are gradually shrinking due to human activities to fulfill various human needs, the legal status and management of sacred groves in the country need to be examined. There is an urgent need to preserve and acknowledge the efforts of the people of this area in preserving the other small sacred patches of forests as local biodiversity.

References

- 1. Gadgil M. and Vartak V.D., Sacred Groves of India- A plea for continued conservation, *Journal, Bombay Natural History Society*, **72(2)**, 313-326 (1974)
- 2. Rashid A., Anand V.K. and Serwar, J., Less known wild edible plants used by the Gujjar Tribe of District Rajouri, J&K, India, *International Journal of Botany*, 4(2), 219-224 (2008)
- **3.** Ganesan S., Ponnuchamy M., Kesavan L. and Selvaraj, A., Floristic Composition and Practices on the selected Sacred Groves of Pallapatty village (Reserved Forest), Tamil Nadu, *Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge*, **8**(2), 154-162 (2009)
- **4.** Ostrom E., IFRI Field Manual Version 10.5. 2002. International Forestry Resources and Institutions Research Program Indiana University, Worskshop in Political Theory and Policy Analysis, (**1998**)
- Hangarge L. M., Kulkarni D. K., Gaikwad V. B., Mahajan D. M. and Chaudhari N., Carbon Sequestration potential of tree species in *Somjaichi Rai* (Sacred grove) at Nandghur village, in Bhor region of Pune District, Maharashtra State, India, *Annals of Biological Research*, 3(7), 3426-3429 (2012)
- **6.** Mehta P. K. and Jain B. K., Ethnobotanical Study of Sacred Groves of Poshina Forest of Sabarkanth district, North

Gujrat, International Journal of Plant Sciences, 6(2), 362-366 (2011)

- 7. Saini D. C., Kulshreshtha K., Kumar S., Gond D. K. and Mishra G. K., Conserving Biodiversity Based on Cultural and Religious Values, National Conference on Forest Biodiversity: Earth's Living Treasure, pp: 145-152 (2011)
- 8. Swamy P. S., Kumar M. and Sundarapandian S.M., The Spiritual, Socio-Cultural and Ecological Status of Sacred Groves in Tamil Nadu, India, Unasylva, 213, 54: 53-58 (2003)

List of tree Species recorded in the study area							
S.No.	Name of the Species	Common Name	Family				
1	Acacia catechu (L.f) Willd.	Khair	Mimosaceae				
2	Acacia nilotica Linn.	Kikkar	Mimosaceae				
3	Aegle marmelos L.	Bel Patra	Rutaceae				
4	Ailanthus excelsa Roxb.	Arru	Simaroubaceae				
5	Bauhinia variegata Linn.	Kalyadi	Caesalpiniaceae				
6	Bombax cieba Linn.	Simbal	Bombaceae				
7	Callistemon lanceolatus (Sm.) Sweet	Bottle brush	Myrtaceae				
8	Carica papaya L.	Papita	Caricaceae				
9	Celtis australis L.	khirk	Ulmaceae				
10	Cestrum nocturnum L.	Raat ki Rani	Solanaceae				
11	Citrus aurantium L.	Girgle	Rutaceae				
12	Citrus limon L.	Nimbu	Rutaceae				
13	Citrus sinensis L.	Santara	Rutaceae				
14	Cordia dichotoma G.Forst.	Lasuda	Boraginaceae				
15	Cupressus sempervirens L.	Saroo	Cupressaceae				
16	Dalbergia sissoo Roxb	Taali	Paplionaceae				
17	Diospyros Montana Roxb.	Timru	Ebenaceae				
18	Eriobotrya japonica (Thunb.) Lindl	Lokat	Rosaceae				
19	Eucalyptus citridora Linn.	Safeda	Myrtaceae				
20	Ficus benghalensis L.	Bori/Bad	Moraceae				
21	Ficus carica L.	Anjeer	Moraceae				
22	Ficus palmata Forssk.	Fig	Moraceae				
23	Ficus racemosa L.	Tussa	Moraceae				
24	Ficus religiosa Linn.	Peepal	Moraceae				
25	Flacourtia indica (Burm, f.) Merr.	-	Salicaceae				
26	Grevillea robusta A. Cunn. Ex R. Br.	Silver oak	Proteaceae				
27	Grewia optiva Drumm. exBurret.	Taman	Tiliaceae				
28	Juglans regia Linn.	Akhrot	Juglandaceae				
29	Leucaena leucocephala (Lam.) de Wit	Sreen	Mimosaceae				
30	Mallotus philippensis (Lam.) Mull. Arg	Kameela	Euphorbiaceae				
31	Malus domestica Borkh	Seb	Rosaceae				
32	Mangifera indica L.	Aam	Anacardiaceae				
33	Melia azaderach L.	Darenk	Meliaceae				
34	Morus alba Linn.	Toot	Moraceae				
35	Murraya Koenigii Spring	Karri patta	Rutaceae				
36	Olea ferruginea Royle	Kau	Oleaceae				
37	Phyllanthus emblica L.	Amla	Euphorbiaceae				
38	Pinus roxburghii Sarg.	Chir	Pinaceae				
39	Platanus orientalis L.	Chinar	Platanaceae				
40	Populus ciliata Wall. Ex Royle	Safeda	Salicaceae				
41	Prunus armeniaca L.	Charota	Rosaceae				
42	Prunus domestica L.	Plump	Rosaceae				
43	Prunus persica Linn.	Aru	Rosaceae				

Table-2

International Research Journal of Environment Sciences_____ Vol. **3(6)**, 56-61, June (**2014**)

44	Psidium guajava L.	Amrud	Myrtaceae
45	Punicum granatum L.	Anardana	Lythraceae
46	Pyrus communis Linn.	Nashpati	Rosaceae
47	Pyrus pashia Buch.Ham.ex.D.Don	Botungi/ kathari	Rosaceae
48	Quercus leucotrichophora L.	Banj oak	Fagaceae
49	Salix alba L.	Binsa	Salicaceae
50	Toona ciliata M Roemer.	Toon	Meliaceae
51	Ulmus wallichiana Planch	Manu	Ulmaceae
52	Zanthoxyllum armatum L.	Timber	Rutaceae
53	Ziziphus mauritiana Lam.	Ber	Rhamnacea

Table-3
Carbon sequestration potential of trees species in the study area

S.	Scientific Names	Number of individuals	Average GBH (cm)	Average Height (meter)	Average organic carbon (t/individual)			Organic
No.					Above ground	Below ground	Total	carbon (t/species)
1	<i>Acacia catechu</i> (L.f) Willd.	4	45	7	0.09	0.02	0.11	0.44
2	Acacia nilotica Linn.	2	78	10	0.4	0.1	0.5	1
3	Aegle marmelos L.	5	31	5.5	0.03	0.007	0.03	0.19
4	Ailanthus excelsa Roxb.	4	68	12	0.24	0.06	0.3	1.2
5	<i>Bauhinia variegate</i> Linn.	3	54	3.3	0.046	0.01	0.05	0.17
6	Bombax cieba Linn.	6	157.6	12.26	0.79	0.2	0.99	5.9
7	Callistemon lanceolatus (Sm.) Sweet	7	62	8	0.17	0.04	0.21	1.5
8	Carica papaya L.	3	53	6.5	0.03	0.008	0.038	0.11
9	Celtis australis L.	37	76.38	7.6	0.27	0.071	0.34	12.5
10	Cestrum nocturnum L.	1	38	4	0.027	0.007	0.034	0.034
11	Citrus aurantium L.	2	27	3.6	0.011	0.003	0.014	0.028
12	Citrus limon L.	5	35	2.25	0.013	0.0034	0.0164	0.08
13	Citrus sinensis L.	3	47	3	0.031	0.008	0.039	0.117
14	<i>Cordia dichotoma</i> G.Forst.	2	183.5	17	2.412	0.627	3.039	6.0
15	<i>Cupressus sempervirens</i> L.	7	38	2.6	0.013	0.003	0.016	0.112
16	Dalbergia sissoo Roxb	13	53	7.2	0.119	0.031	0.15	1.95
17	Diospyros Montana Roxb.	9	76	12	0.38	0.1	0.48	4.32
18	<i>Eriobotrya japonica</i> (Thunb.) Lindl	6	43	5.5	0.08	0.02	0.1	0.6
19	<i>Eucalyptus citridora</i> Linn.	8	47	11	0.12	0.03	0.15	1.2
20	Ficus benghalensis L.	2	28	4	0.01	0.0026	0.0126	0.02
21	Ficus carica L.	3	80.5	8.8	0.176	0.045	0.22	0.66
22	Ficus palmata Forssk.	25	38.87	5.5	0.026	0.0067	0.033	0.82
23	Ficus racemosa L.	3	26	3.2	0.0067	0.0017	0.008	0.024
24	Ficus religiosa Linn.	17	223	10.7	0.165	0.043	0.219	3.7
25	<i>Flacourtia indica</i> (Burm, f.) Merr.	5	52.37	6.4	0.072	0.018	0.091	0.455
26	<i>Grevillea robusta</i> A. Cunn. Ex R. Br.	2	87	16.5	0.643	0.167	0.81	1.62
27	Grewia optiva Drumm.	29	56	4.56	0.077	0.02	0.097	2.8

	exBurret.							
28	Juglans regia Linn.	2	80	7.4	0.26	0.067	0.327	0.65
29	Leucaena leucocephala	9	53	8	0.113	0.029	0.142	1.27
	(Lam.) de Wit							
30	Mallotus philippensis	13	50	6	0.077	0.02	0.097	1.26
	(Lam.) Mull. Arg							
31	Malus domestica Borkh	7	52	3.78	0.06	0.015	0.075	0.525
32	Melia azaderach L.	24	69.5	7.15	0.11	0.02	0.13	3.12
33	Morus alba Linn.	19	63	7.5	0.2	0.052	0.252	4.78
34	Murraya Koenigii	4	40	6	0.052	0.013	0.065	0.26
	Spring							
35	Olea ferruginea Royle	161	67.6	5.46	0.176	0.045	0.22	35.42
36	<i>Phyllanthus emblica</i> L.	10	36.5	4.6	0.038	0.01	0.048	0.48
37	Pinus roxburghii Sarg.	46	79.5	16	0.36	0.09	0.45	20.7
38	Platanus orientalis L.	4	42.5	5.5	0.05	0.013	0.063	0.25
39	Populus ciliata Wall. Ex	3	64	17	0.27	0.07	0.34	1.02
	Royle							
40	Prunus armeniaca L.	7	83	4.25	0.186	0.05	0.234	1.638
41	Prunus domestica L.	4	38.33	5.2	0.06	0.015	0.07	0.28
42	Prunus persica Linn.	3	37.6	2	0.016	0.004	0.02	0.06
43	Psidium guajava L.	11	57	4.3	0.073	0.018	0.092	1
44	Punicum granatum L.	5	67.5	3.4	0.078	0.02	0.098	0.5
45	Pyrus communis Linn.	1	30	3.3	0.018	0.0046	0.023	0.023
46	Pyrus pashia	17	59	6.4	0.133	0.034	0.167	2.84
	Buch.Ham.ex.D.Don							
47	Quercus	1	120	16	1.28	0.33	1.61	1.61
	leucotrichophora L.							
48	Salix alba L.	1	82	14	0.374	0.097	0.47	0.47
49	Tamarindus indica L.	5	47	12	0.156	0.04	0.196	0.98
50	Toona ciliata M	31	68	6.5	0.071	0.018	0.089	2.76
	Roemer.							
51	Ulmus wallichiana	1	122	18	1.19	0.31	1.5	1.5
	Planch							
52	Zanthoxyllum armatum	4	43	4.1	0.02	0.0052	0.025	0.1
	L.							
53	Ziziphus mauritiana	23	43	4.16	0.048	0.012	0.06	1.38
	Lam.							
	Total							138.426 (t)