

International Research Journal of Environment Sciences_ Vol. 2(5), 37-45, May (2013)

Determination of Water Quality Index in Industrial areas of Kakinada, Andhra Pradesh, INDIA

Srinivas J.¹, Purushotham A.V.² and Murali Krishna K.V.S.G.¹ ¹Department of Civil Engineering, JNTU Kakinada, -533 003, AP, INDIA ²MSN Degree College, Kakinada-533016, AP, INDIA

Available online at: www.isca.in Received 31st March 2013, revised 7th April 2013, accepted 10th May 2013

Abstract

The present study intended to calculate Water Quality Index (WQI) of industrial areas of well water samples in Kakinada, Andhra Pradesh, India were monitored. The quality of bore waters was assessed by comparing with existing standards for important parameters. Water Quality Index calculated from thirteen parameters of physico-chemical parameters taken together varied from 49.52 - 123.54 ppm indicating level of nutrient load and pollution in the bore waters. Results of this study indicate that all the bore well waters of the study area are Permissible limit except S3, S4 and S6 (Valasapakala, Vakalapudi, and Nagarjuna nagar). The water was not conforming to drinking standards, and hence it is suggested to take all the necessary precautions before the waters are sent into public distribution system. It is concluded that WQI can be used as a tool in comparing the water quality of different source.

Keywords: Andhra Pradesh, chemical properties, industrial areas, India, Kakinada, WQI.

Introduction

Water is one of the most important factor for every living organism on this planet. The three percent of global fresh water is large enough to meet the requirements of man for million of years etc., Water pollution ia a phenomenon that is characterized by the deterioration of its quality as a result of various human activities. Water is generally used for drinking, fisheries and other domestic purposes in this area. The available fresh water to man is hardly 0.3 to 0.5% of the total water available on the earth and therefore its judicious use in imperative. Kakinada is a city and a municipal corporation in the Indian state of Andhra Pradesh. Kakinada is a special economic zone. The problem of industrial wastes has been considerably serious in India. Due to the extremely rapid rate of industrial development which is providing one of the major sources of employment for the growing population of the country. This promotes the leaching of chemicals and contaminates the ground water. As of 2011 census, Kakinada Municipal Corporation had a population of 4,42,936.

The $1/3^{rd}$ of the inhabitant people depends on mainly ground water in residential and industrial areas. In industrial belt having several major industries such as fertilizers, power, oil and gas etc., the industries discharge their treated effluents into unlined canals through drains and some store in ash ponds or slurry ponds. Water quality index (W.Q.I.) provides a single number that expresses overall water quality at a certain location and time, based on several water quality parameters¹⁻¹¹. The WQI was first developed by Horton in the early 1970_s, is basically a mathematical means of calculating a single value from multiple test results. The index results represents the level of water

quality in a given water basin, such as ponds, lake, river or stream 12,13,14,15 .

Chaterjee et al. (2002)⁷ carried out the Determination of water quality index (WQI) of a degraded river in asanol Industrial area, Raniganj, Burdwan, West Bengal and also determined the Water quality of Nandakanan lake, India¹⁶. This promotes the leaching of chemicals and contaminates the ground water. Water Quality Monitoring of Groundwater Resources Studied¹⁷⁻²⁰.

The objective of water quality index is to turn complex water quality data into information that is understandable and used by the public. A single number cannot tell the whole story of water quality parameters that are not included in the index. However, a water quality index based on some very important parameters can provide a single indicator of water quality^{12,13}. In general, water quality indices incorporate data from multiple water quality parameters into a mathematical equation that rates the health of a lake and river with number²¹.

Study area: The Kakinada city is the capital of East Godavari District of Andhra Pradesh on the central east coast of India. The present study deals with the assessment of the quality of ground water in industrial areas of Kakinada, Andhra Pradesh, India. Kakinada is situated between the latitude 16°57' North and longitude 82°15' East. The study was carried out at the 10 sampling locations of industrial areas of Kakinada. It is rich in small water bodies and most of all agricultural lands are dependent on these water source.



Location Map of the Study area

Material and Methods

The water sample were collected in satirized polythene air tight containers and were analysed for water quality parameters like pH, electrical conductivity, total dissolved solids, total solids, dissolved oxygen, biological oxygen demand, total alkalinity, total hardness, sulphates, phosphates, nitrates and chlorides as per standard method (1 - American Public Health Association – 1995)^{22,23}. All the chemicals and reagents were of analytical grade. D.D. water was used for the preparation of solutions.

The study was carried out at the 10 locations of industrial areas of Kakinada. The sampling stations selected for the analysis of ground waters are - S1 – Atcham Peta, S2 – Ramanayya Peta, S3 – Valasapakala, S4 – Vakalapudi, S5 – Gudarigunta, S6 – Nagarjuna Nagar, S7 – Sarpavaram, S8 – Rangarao Nagar, S9 – Muralidhar Nagar, S10 – Burma colony.

Bore water samples were collected in the all sampling stations.

In this study, for the calculation of water quality index, thirteen important parameters were chosen. The WQI has been calculated by using the standards of drinking water quality recommended by the World Health Organisation (WHO), Indian council of Medical Research (ICMR)²⁴ and Bureau of Indian Standards (BIS)¹⁰ has been used for the calculation of WQI of the water body^{25,26}.

Further, quality rating or sub index (qn) was calculated using the following expression.

$$qn = 100 (V_n - V_{io}) / (S_n - V_{io})$$

(Let there be *n* water quality parameters and quality rating or sub index (qn) corresponding to n^{th} parameter is a number reflecting the relative value of this parameter in the polluted water with respect to its standard permissible value).

qn=Quality rating for the n^{th} Water quality parameter. V_n =Estimated value of the n^{th} parameter at a given sampling station. S_n =Standard permissible value of the n^{th} parameter. V_{io} = Ideal value of nth parameter in pure water, (i.e.,0 for all other parameters except the parameter pH and Dissolved oxygen (7.0 and 14.6 mg/L respectively).

Unit weight was calculated by a value inversely proportional to the recommended standard value Sn of the corresponding parameter.

$$W_n = K / S_n$$

 W_n = Unit weight for the n^{th} parameters. S_n = Standard value for n^{th} parameters. K= Constant for proportionality.

The overall Water Quality Index calculated by aggregating the quality rating with the unit weight linearly.

$$WQI = \sum qn Wn / \sum wn$$

 Table 1

 Status and Index level (WOI) of water quality^{3,7}

| Water quality status | Water Quality Index Level |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Excellent water quality | 0 - 25 |
| Good water quality | 26 - 50 |
| Poor water quality | 51 – 75 |
| Very Poor water quality | 76 - 100 |
| Unsuitable for drinking | >100 |

Results and Discussions

The results of physico-chemical parameters of bore water at various points are given in table 3.

International Research Journal of Environment Sciences_ Vol. 2(5), 37-45, May (2013)

The pH of the bore well waters in all the stations are acceptable and varies from 6.71-7.99. Though, pH has no direct effect on human health, all biochemical reactions are sensitive to the variation of pH. The permissible limits of pH value for drinking water ICMR (1975) is specified as 6.5-8.5. If pH is less, algae die, fish cannot reproduce and it cause acidity, corrosion, irritation of mucous membranes, tuberculosis and other health problems in humans.

Electrical conductivity is very important parameter for determining the water quality for drinking arid agricultural purpose. The value in the study area is from 177-400 millimhos. The ideal value of electrical conductivity is <2.4 millimhos.

The total dissolved solids (TDS) in the study area varied from 222-511 mg/L. The high value of TDS (above 500mg/L)

recorded at S2, S6, and S8, may be due to their proximity to the industrial area and seacoast. If TDS is more, water cannot be used for drinking as well as construction purposes. TDS affects palatability of food cooked and also causes gastro intestinal irritation.

Total alkalinity of all the sampling stations is high and varied from 63-88 mg/L. The large amount of alkalinity imparts a bitter taste to water. Total hardness of water is characterized by contents of calcium and magnesium salts. The total hardness in the study area varied from 160-288 mg/L. The within standard values were observed in all the sampling points.

The total magnesium in the study area varied from 47-108 mg/L. The magnesium content is higher than the calcium in the samples indicates the occurrence of magnesium salts is all samples.

| Table 2 | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Drinking Water standards recommending Agencies and unit weights | | | | | | |
| (All values except nH and Electrical Conductivity are in mg/L) | | | | | | |

| | (In values except pri and Electrical Conductivity are in ingrif) | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|--|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| S. No. | Parameters | Standards | Units | Recommended | Unit Weight | | | | | |
| 1 | pH | 6.5 - 8.5 | - | ICMR / BIS | 0.2190 | | | | | |
| 2 | Dissolved oxygen | 300 | mg/lit | ICMR | 0.0037 | | | | | |
| 3 | Electrical Conductivity | 500 | millimols | ICMR / BIS | 0.0037 | | | | | |
| 4 | Total Dissolved Solids | 120 | mg/lit | ICMR / BIS | 0.0155 | | | | | |
| 5 | Total Alkalinity | 300 | mg/lit | ICMR / BIS | 0.0062 | | | | | |
| 6 | Total hardness | 500 | mg/lit | WHO | 0.0037 | | | | | |
| 7 | Total suspended solids | 75 | mg/lit | ICMR / BIS | 0.025 | | | | | |
| 8 | Calcium | 30 | mg/lit | ICMR / BIS | 0.061 | | | | | |
| 9 | Magnesium | 250 | mg/lit | ICMR | 0.0074 | | | | | |
| 10 | Chlorides | 45 | mg/lit | ICMR / BIS | 0.0412 | | | | | |
| 11 | Nitrates | 150 | mg/lit | ICMR / BIS | 0.01236 | | | | | |
| 12 | Sulphates | 5.99 | mg/lit | ICMR | 0.3723 | | | | | |
| 13 | Biological oxygen demand | 5.00 | mg/lit | ICMR | 0.3723 | | | | | |

Table – 3

Physico - chemical parameters of water bodies in Kakinada

| S. No | Parameter | S1 | S2 | S3 | S4 | S5 | S6 | S7 | S8 | S9 | S10 |
|-------|-------------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|------|------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------|
| 1 | pH | 7.3 | 7.61 | 7.92 | 6.71 | 7.36 | 7.99 | 6.98 | 6.82 | 7.2 | 7.67 |
| 2 | Dissolved oxygen (mg/lit) | 3.9 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3 | 3.1 | 2.9 |
| 3 | Electrical Conductivity (millimols) | 177 | 400 | 388 | 302 | 266 | 376 | 332 | 200 | 287 | 222 |
| 4 | Total Dissolved Solids (mg/lit) | 222 | 505 | 498 | 449 | 355 | 511 | 440 | 502 | 456 | 412 |
| 5 | Total Alkalinity (mg/lit) | 66 | 88 | 87 | 70 | 87 | 77 | 69 | 63 | 86 | 75 |
| 6 | Total hardness (mg/lit) | 288 | 200 | 178 | 168 | 160 | 167 | 276 | 182 | 188 | 186 |
| 7 | Total suspended Solids | 333 | 234 | 335 | 409 | 387 | 377 | 310 | 310 | 411 | 345 |
| 8 | Calcium (mg/lit) | 180 | 130 | 133 | 112 | 110 | 120 | 189 | 95 | 100 | 111 |
| 9 | Magnesium (mg/lit) | 108 | 70 | 45 | 56 | 50 | 47 | 87 | 87 | 88 | 75 |
| 10 | Chlorides (mg/lit) | 22 | 121 | 133 | 200 | 122 | 186 | 88 | 132 | 174 | 98 |
| 11 | Nitrates (mg/lit) | 30 | 35 | 44 | 49 | 40 | 40 | 28 | 31 | 38 | 33 |
| 12 | Sulphates (mg/lit) | 133 | 130 | 120 | 143 | 122 | 141 | 94.6 | 132 | 132 | 100.1 |
| 13 | Biological oxygen demand (mg/lit) | 6 | 5 | 12 | 14 | 3 | 16 | 2.5 | 4.1 | 3.5 | 3 |

| Table – 4 | |
|--|---|
| Water Quality Index Calculation of S1 – Atcham pet | a |

| trace Quanty much Calculation of 51 - Atchain peta | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| S. No | Parameter | Observed Value | Standard values (S _n) | Unit Weight (W _n) | Quality rating (q _n) | $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{n}}\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{n}}$ | | | |
| 1 | pH | 7.3 | 6.5 - 8.5 | 0.2190 | 21 | 4.59 | | | |
| 2 | Dissolved oxygen (mg/lit) | 3.9 | 300 | 0.0037 | 111.45 | 41.49 | | | |
| 3 | Electrical Conductivity (millimols) | 177 | 500 | 0.0037 | 59 | 21.88 | | | |
| 4 | Total Dissolved Solids (mg/lit) | 222 | 120 | 0.0155 | 44.4 | 0.16 | | | |
| 5 | Total Alkalinity (mg/lit) | 66 | 300 | 0.0062 | 55 | 0.85 | | | |
| 6 | Total hardness (mg/lit) | 288 | 500 | 0.0037 | 96 | 0.59 | | | |
| 7 | Total suspended Solids | 333 | 75 | 0.025 | 66.6 | 0.24 | | | |
| 8 | Calcium (mg/lit) | 180 | 30 | 0.061 | 240 | 6 | | | |
| 9 | Magnesium (mg/lit) | 108 | 250 | 0.0074 | 360 | 21.96 | | | |
| 10 | Chlorides (mg/lit) | 22 | 45 | 0.0412 | 8.8 | 0.06 | | | |
| 11 | Nitrates (mg/lit) | 30 | 150 | 0.01236 | 66.66 | 2.74 | | | |
| 12 | Sulphates (mg/lit) | 133 | 5.99 | 0.3723 | 88.66 | 1.09 | | | |
| 13 | Biological oxygen demand (mg/lit) | 6 | 5.00 | 0.3723 | 120 | 44.67 | | | |
| | | | | $\sum \mathbf{W}\mathbf{n} = 1.51$ | ∑ qn | $\sum Wnqn =$ | | | |
| | | | | | =1337.58 | 100.29 | | | |
| | Water Quality Index = $\sum qn wn / \sum wn = 66.45$ | | | | | | | | |

Table – 5 Water Quality Index Calculation of S2 – Ramanayya peta

| S. No | Parameter | Observed Value | Standard values (S _n) | Unit Weight (W _n) | Quality rating (q _n) | $W_n q_n$ | | | |
|----------|--|-------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| 1 | pH | 7.61 | 6.5 - 8.5 | 0.2190 | 52 | 11.38 | | | |
| 2 | Dissolved oxygen (mg/lit) | 4 | 300 | 0.0037 | 110.4 | 41.1 | | | |
| 3 | Electrical Conductivity (millimols) | 400 | 500 | 0.0037 | 133.33 | 49.46 | | | |
| 4 | Total Dissolved Solids (mg/lit) | 505 | 120 | 0.0155 | 101 | 0.37 | | | |
| 5 | Total Alkalinity (mg/lit) | 88 | 300 | 0.0062 | 73.33 | 1.13 | | | |
| 6 | Total hardness (mg/lit) | 200 | 500 | 0.0037 | 66.66 | 0.41 | | | |
| 7 | Total suspended Solids | 234 | 75 | 0.025 | 46.8 | 0.17 | | | |
| 8 | Calcium (mg/lit) | 130 | 30 | 0.061 | 173.33 | 4.33 | | | |
| 9 | Magnesium (mg/lit) | 70 | 250 | 0.0074 | 233.33 | 14.23 | | | |
| 10 | Chlorides (mg/lit) | 121 | 45 | 0.0412 | 48.4 | 0.35 | | | |
| 11 | Nitrates (mg/lit) | 35 | 150 | 0.01236 | 77.77 | 3.2 | | | |
| 12 | Sulphates (mg/lit) | 130 | 5.99 | 0.3723 | 86.66 | 1.07 | | | |
| 13 | Biological oxygen demand (mg/lit) | 5 | 5.00 | 0.3723 | 100 | 37.23 | | | |
| | | | | \sum wn= 1.51 | $\sum qn = 1303.04$ | \sum Wnqn = 111.99 | | | |
| | Water Quality Index = $\sum qn wn / \sum wn = 74.16$ | | | | | | | | |

Table – 6 Water Quality Index Calculation of S3 – Valasapakala

| S. No | Parameter | Observed Value | Standard values (S _n) | Unit Weight (W _n) | Quality rating (q _n) | $W_n q_n$ | | | |
|----------|---|-------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|--|--|--|
| 1 | pH | 7.92 | 6.5 – 8.5 | 0.2190 | 83 | 18.17 | | | |
| 2 | Dissolved oxygen (mg/lit) | 3 | 300 | 0.0037 | 120.83 | 44.98 | | | |
| 3 | Electrical Conductivity (millimols) | 388 | 500 | 0.0037 | 129.33 | 47.98 | | | |
| 4 | Total Dissolved Solids (mg/lit) | 498 | 120 | 0.0155 | 99.6 | 0.36 | | | |
| 5 | Total Alkalinity (mg/lit) | 87 | 300 | 0.0062 | 72.5 | 1.12 | | | |
| 6 | Total hardness (mg/lit) | 178 | 500 | 0.0037 | 59.33 | 0.36 | | | |
| 7 | Total suspended Solids | 335 | 75 | 0.025 | 67 | 0.24 | | | |
| 8 | Calcium (mg/lit) | 133 | 30 | 0.061 | 177.33 | 4.43 | | | |
| 9 | Magnesium (mg/lit) | 45 | 250 | 0.0074 | 150 | 9.15 | | | |
| 10 | Chlorides (mg/lit) | 133 | 45 | 0.0412 | 53.2 | 0.39 | | | |
| 11 | Nitrates (mg/lit) | 44 | 150 | 0.01236 | 97.77 | 4.02 | | | |
| 12 | Sulphates (mg/lit) | 120 | 5.99 | 0.3723 | 80 | 0.98 | | | |
| 13 | Biological oxygen demand (mg/lit) | 12 | 5.00 | 0.3723 | 240 | 89.35 | | | |
| | | | | \sum wn = 1.51 | $\sum qn = 1337.58$ | Σ Wnqn = 158.43 | | | |
| | Water Quality Index – $\sum an wn / \sum wn - 104.02$ | | | | | | | | |

 $\sum wn = 104.92$ water Quality Index = <u>} qn wn /</u>

| Table – 7 |
|--|
| Water Quality Index Calculation of S4 – Vakalapudi |

| | Truce Quanty much Curculation of 51 Tunanapadi | | | | | | | | | |
|----|---|----------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| S. | Deveryator | Observed | Standard | Unit Weight | Quality rating | W. a | | | | |
| No | Parameter | Value | values (S _n) | $(\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{n}})$ | (q _n) | vv _n q _n | | | | |
| 1 | pH | 6.71 | 6.5 - 8.5 | 0.2190 | 20 | 4.38 | | | | |
| 2 | Dissolved oxygen (mg/lit) | 3 | 300 | 0.0037 | 120.83 | 44.98 | | | | |
| 3 | Electrical Conductivity (millimols) | 302 | 500 | 0.0037 | 100.66 | 37.34 | | | | |
| 4 | Total Dissolved Solids (mg/lit) | 449 | 120 | 0.0155 | 89.8 | 0.33 | | | | |
| 5 | Total Alkalinity (mg/lit) | 70 | 300 | 0.0062 | 58.33 | 0.9 | | | | |
| 6 | Total hardness (mg/lit) | 168 | 500 | 0.0037 | 56 | 0.34 | | | | |
| 7 | Total suspended Solids | 409 | 75 | 0.025 | 81.8 | 0.3 | | | | |
| 8 | Calcium (mg/lit) | 112 | 30 | 0.061 | 149.33 | 3.73 | | | | |
| 9 | Magnesium (mg/lit) | 56 | 250 | 0.0074 | 186.66 | 11.38 | | | | |
| 10 | Chlorides (mg/lit) | 200 | 45 | 0.0412 | 80 | 0.59 | | | | |
| 11 | Nitrates (mg/lit) | 49 | 150 | 0.01236 | 108.88 | 4.48 | | | | |
| 12 | Sulphates (mg/lit) | 143 | 5.99 | 0.3723 | 95.33 | 1.17 | | | | |
| 13 | Biological oxygen demand (mg/lit) | 14 | 5.00 | 0.3723 | 280 | 104.24 | | | | |
| | | | | $\sum \mathbf{wn} = 1.51$ | $\sum \mathbf{qn} =$ | ∑ Wnqn = | | | | |
| | | | | | 1427.65 | 164.85 | | | | |
| | Water Quality Index = $\sum qn wn / \sum wn = 109.17$ | | | | | | | | | |

 Table – 8

 Water Ouality Index Calculation of S5 – Gudarigunta

| S. No | Parameter | Observed Value | Standard values (S _n) | Unit Weight (W _n) | Quality rating (q_n) | $W_n q_n$ | | | |
|----------|--|-------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| 1 | pH | 7.36 | 6.5 – 8.5 | 0.2190 | 27 | 5.91 | | | |
| 2 | Dissolved oxygen (mg/lit) | 4 | 300 | 0.0037 | 110.4 | 41.1 | | | |
| 3 | Electrical Conductivity (millimols) | 266 | 500 | 0.0037 | 88.66 | 32.89 | | | |
| 4 | Total Dissolved Solids (mg/lit) | 355 | 120 | 0.0155 | 71 | 0.26 | | | |
| 5 | Total Alkalinity (mg/lit) | 87 | 300 | 0.0062 | 72.5 | 1.12 | | | |
| 6 | Total hardness (mg/lit) | 160 | 500 | 0.0037 | 53.33 | 0.33 | | | |
| 7 | Total suspended Solids | 387 | 75 | 0.025 | 77.4 | 0.28 | | | |
| 8 | Calcium (mg/lit) | 110 | 30 | 0.061 | 146.66 | 3.66 | | | |
| 9 | Magnesium (mg/lit) | 50 | 250 | 0.0074 | 166.66 | 10.16 | | | |
| 10 | Chlorides (mg/lit) | 122 | 45 | 0.0412 | 48.8 | 0.36 | | | |
| 11 | Nitrates (mg/lit) | 40 | 150 | 0.01236 | 88.88 | 3.66 | | | |
| 12 | Sulphates (mg/lit) | 122 | 5.99 | 0.3723 | 81.33 | 1 | | | |
| 13 | Biological oxygen demand (mg/lit) | 3 | 5.00 | 0.3723 | 60 | 22.33 | | | |
| | | | | $\sum \mathbf{wn} = 1.51$ | $\sum qn = 1092.65$ | Σ Wnqn = 76.09 | | | |
| | Water Quality Index = $\sum an wn / \sum wn = 50.39$ | | | | | | | | |

 Table – 9

 Water Quality Index Calculation of S6 – Nagarjuna Nagar

| ~ | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| S. | Parameter | Observed | Standard | Unit Weight | Ouality rating (q _n) | W _n q _n | | | |
| No | | Value | values (S _n) | $(\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{n}})$ | | · · n • 1 n | | | |
| 1 | рН | 7.99 | 6.5 – 8.5 | 0.2190 | 90 | 19.71 | | | |
| 2 | Dissolved oxygen (mg/lit) | 3.5 | 300 | 0.0037 | 115.62 | 43.04 | | | |
| 3 | Electrical Conductivity (millimols) | 376 | 500 | 0.0037 | 125.33 | 46.49 | | | |
| 4 | Total Dissolved Solids (mg/lit) | 511 | 120 | 0.0155 | 102.2 | 0.37 | | | |
| 5 | Total Alkalinity (mg/lit) | 77 | 300 | 0.0062 | 64.16 | 0.99 | | | |
| 6 | Total hardness (mg/lit) | 167 | 500 | 0.0037 | 55.66 | 0.34 | | | |
| 7 | Total suspended Solids | 377 | 75 | 0.025 | 75.4 | 0.27 | | | |
| 8 | Calcium (mg/lit) | 120 | 30 | 0.061 | 160 | 4 | | | |
| 9 | Magnesium (mg/lit) | 47 | 250 | 0.0074 | 156.66 | 9.55 | | | |
| 10 | Chlorides (mg/lit) | 186 | 45 | 0.0412 | 74.4 | 0.55 | | | |
| 11 | Nitrates (mg/lit) | 40 | 150 | 0.01236 | 88.88 | 3.66 | | | |
| 12 | Sulphates (mg/lit) | 141 | 5.99 | 0.3723 | 94 | 1.16 | | | |
| 13 | Biological oxygen demand (mg/lit) | 16 | 5.00 | 0.3723 | 320 | 119.13 | | | |
| | | | | \sum wn = 1.51 | $\sum qn = 1522.34$ | ∑ Wnqn = 186.56 | | | |
| Water Quality Index = $\sum qn wn / \sum wn = 123.54$ | | | | | | | | | |

| Water Quality Index Calculation of S7 – Sarpavaram | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| S. No | Parameter | Observed Value | Standard values (S _n) | Unit Weight (W _n) | Quality rating | W _n q _n |
| 1 | рН | 6.98 | 6.5 - 8.5 | 0.2190 | -7 | -1.53 |
| 2 | Dissolved oxygen (mg/lit) | 3.6 | 300 | 0.0037 | 114.58 | 42.65 |
| 3 | Electrical Conductivity (millimols) | 332 | 500 | 0.0037 | 110.66 | 41.05 |
| 4 | Total Dissolved Solids (mg/lit) | 440 | 120 | 0.0155 | 88 | 0.32 |
| 5 | Total Alkalinity (mg/lit) | 69 | 300 | 0.0062 | 57.5 | 0.89 |
| 6 | Total hardness (mg/lit) | 276 | 500 | 0.0037 | 92 | 0.57 |
| 7 | Total suspended Solids | 310 | 75 | 0.025 | 62 | 0.22 |
| 8 | Calcium (mg/lit) | 189 | 30 | 0.061 | 252 | 6.3 |
| 9 | Magnesium (mg/lit) | 87 | 250 | 0.0074 | 290 | 17.69 |
| 10 | Chlorides (mg/lit) | 88 | 45 | 0.0412 | 35.2 | 0.26 |
| 11 | Nitrates (mg/lit) | 28 | 150 | 0.01236 | 62.22 | 2.56 |
| 12 | Sulphates (mg/lit) | 94.6 | 5.99 | 0.3723 | 63.06 | 0.77 |
| 13 | Biological oxygen demand (mg/lit) | 2.5 | 5.00 | 0.3723 | 50 | 18.61 |
| | | | | $\sum \mathbf{wn} = 1.51$ | \sum qn = 1270.23 | $\sum Wnqn = 89.28$ |
| Water Quality Index == $\sum qn wn / \sum wn = 59.12$ | | | | | | |

Table – 10Water Quality Index Calculation of S7 – Sarpavaram

 Table – 11

 Water Quality Index Calculation of S8 – Rangarao Nagar

| S. No | Parameter | Observed Value | Standard values (S _n) | Unit Weight (W _n) | Quality rating (q _n) | W _n q _n |
|-------|---|-------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1 | pH | 6.82 | 6.5 – 8.5 | 0.2190 | 9 | 1.97 |
| 2 | Dissolved oxygen (mg/lit) | 3 | 300 | 0.0037 | 120.83 | 44.98 |
| 3 | Electrical Conductivity (millimols) | 200 | 500 | 0.0037 | 66.66 | 24.73 |
| 4 | Total Dissolved Solids (mg/lit) | 502 | 120 | 0.0155 | 100.4 | 0.37 |
| 5 | Total Alkalinity (mg/lit) | 63 | 300 | 0.0062 | 52.5 | 0.81 |
| 6 | Total hardness (mg/lit) | 182 | 500 | 0.0037 | 60.66 | 0.37 |
| 7 | Total suspended Solids | 310 | 75 | 0.025 | 62 | 0.22 |
| 8 | Calcium (mg/lit) | 95 | 30 | 0.061 | 126.66 | 3.16 |
| 9 | Magnesium (mg/lit) | 87 | 250 | 0.0074 | 290 | 17.69 |
| 10 | Chlorides (mg/lit) | 132 | 45 | 0.0412 | 52.8 | 0.39 |
| 11 | Nitrates (mg/lit) | 31 | 150 | 0.01236 | 68.88 | 2.83 |
| 12 | Sulphates (mg/lit) | 132 | 5.99 | 0.3723 | 88 | 1.08 |
| 13 | Biological oxygen demand (mg/lit) | 4.1 | 5.00 | 0.3723 | 82 | 30.52 |
| | | | | $\sum \mathbf{wn} = 1.51$ | $\sum \mathbf{qn} = 1180.41$ | \sum Wnqn = 82.22 |
| | Water Quality Index == $\sum qn wn / \sum wn = 54.45$ | | | | | |

 Table – 12

 Water Quality Index Calculation of S9 – Muralidhar Nagar

| S. No | Parameter | Observed Value | Standard values (S _n) | Unit Weight (W _n) | Quality rating (q _n) | W _n q _n |
|----------|---|-------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1 | рН | 7.2 | 6.5 - 8.5 | 0.2190 | 11 | 2.4 |
| 2 | Dissolved oxygen (mg/lit) | 3.1 | 300 | 0.0037 | 119.79 | 44.59 |
| 3 | Electrical Conductivity (millimols) | 287 | 500 | 0.0037 | 95.66 | 35.49 |
| 4 | Total Dissolved Solids (mg/lit) | 456 | 120 | 0.0155 | 91.2 | 0.33 |
| 5 | Total Alkalinity (mg/lit) | 86 | 300 | 0.0062 | 71.66 | 1.11 |
| 6 | Total hardness (mg/lit) | 188 | 500 | 0.0037 | 62.66 | 0.38 |
| 7 | Total suspended Solids | 411 | 75 | 0.025 | 82.2 | 0.3 |
| 8 | Calcium (mg/lit) | 100 | 30 | 0.061 | 133.33 | 3.33 |
| 9 | Magnesium (mg/lit) | 88 | 250 | 0.0074 | 293.33 | 17.89 |
| 10 | Chlorides (mg/lit) | 174 | 45 | 0.0412 | 69.6 | 0.51 |
| 11 | Nitrates (mg/lit) | 38 | 150 | 0.01236 | 84.44 | 3.47 |
| 12 | Sulphates (mg/lit) | 132 | 5.99 | 0.3723 | 88 | 1.08 |
| 13 | Biological oxygen demand (mg/lit) | 3.5 | 5.00 | 0.3723 | 70 | 26.061 |
| | | | | \sum wn = 1.51 | $\sum qn = 1272.90$ | $\sum Wnqn = 90.00$ |
| | Water Quality Index == $\sum qn wn / \sum wn = 59.60$ | | | | | |

| Water Quality Index Calculation of S10 – Burma Colony | | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| S. No | Parameter | Observed Value | Standard values (S _n) | Unit Weight (W _n) | Quality rating (q_n) | $W_n q_n$ |
| 1 | pH | 7.67 | 6.5 – 8.5 | 0.2190 | 58 | 12.7 |
| 2 | Dissolved oxygen (mg/lit) | 2.9 | 300 | 0.0037 | 121.87 | 45.37 |
| 3 | Electrical Conductivity (millimols) | 222 | 500 | 0.0037 | 74 | 27.45 |
| 4 | Total Dissolved Solids (mg/lit) | 412 | 120 | 0.0155 | 82.4 | 0.3 |
| 5 | Total Alkalinity (mg/lit) | 75 | 300 | 0.0062 | 62.5 | 0.96 |
| 6 | Total hardness (mg/lit) | 186 | 500 | 0.0037 | 62 | 0.38 |
| 7 | Total suspended Solids | 345 | 75 | 0.025 | 69 | 0.25 |
| 8 | Calcium (mg/lit) | 111 | 30 | 0.061 | 148 | 3.7 |
| 9 | Magnesium (mg/lit) | 75 | 250 | 0.0074 | 250 | 15.25 |
| 10 | Chlorides (mg/lit) | 98 | 45 | 0.0412 | 39.2 | 0.29 |
| 11 | Nitrates (mg/lit) | 33 | 150 | 0.01236 | 73.33 | 3.02 |
| 12 | Sulphates (mg/lit) | 100.1 | 5.99 | 0.3723 | 66.73 | 0.82 |
| 13 | Biological oxygen demand (mg/lit) | 3 | 5.00 | 0.3723 | 60 | 22.33 |
| | | | | \sum wn = 1.51 | $\sum qn = 1167.03$ | \sum Wnqn = 74.79 |
| | Water Quality Index = $\sum an wn / \sum wn = 49.52$ | | | | | |

Table – 13Water Quality Index Calculation of S10 – Burma Colony

Dissolved oxygen (DO), and biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) are very important pollution parameters. The values of DO, and BOD in the study area are 3.0-4.0, and 2.5-16mg/L (S7-S6) respectively. Hence the water treatment is required before it is sent into the public distribution system. The sulphate ion concentration in the entire study area varied from 94.6-143mg/L. High concentration of sulphates at S7, S6 and might be due to heavy industrial activity and seepage of sewage water.

The chlorides are also corrosive and impart permanent hardness to water. The chlorides impart a salty taste and sometimes high concentration causes laxative effect in human beings. The chloride content in the study area ranged from 22 - 200 (S1 – S4) mg/L. Chloride content observed within the standard value in all samples.

The nitrate is used to assess the self purification properties of water bodies and nutrient balance in surface waters and soil and the state of determination of organic matter present in waste waters. The nitrate ion concentration is very important in public water supplies, because it causes methemoglobinemia in children The nitrate concentration in the study area varied between 28 and 49 (S4 and S7) mg/L with all the values well below the permissible levels (ICMR, 1975) except S4.

Conclusion

The Water Quality Index (WQI) of waters in industrial areas of Kakinada is given in table 4 to 13. The report prepared by the WHO the importance of safe water supply and sanitation in the control of waterborne diseases.

The value of WQI in water sampling areas was reported to be less than 100, and greater than 100, indicating that the water is suitable for human use except at S3, S4 and S6. The value of WQI at S6 site is 123.54 mg/L and the reason may be due to seepage of saline, sewage waters and heavy industrial activity.



Figure: 1 S₁ to S₁₀ Sampling station values showing



Figure: 2 S_1 to S_{10} Sampling station values showing



Figure-3 S₁ to S₁₀ Water Quality Index Rating showing



Figure-4 S₁ to S₁₀ Water Quality Index Rating showing

References

- Horton R.K., An index number system for rating water quality, *Journal of Water Pollution*. Cont. Fed., 3, 300- 305 (1965)
- Ghosh A. and George J.P., Studies on the abiotic factors and zooplakton in a polluted urban reservoir Hussain Sagar, Hyderabad: Impact on water quality and Embryonic Development of Fishes, *Indian J. Environ. Hlth.*, 31 (1), 49-59 (1989)
- 3. Chatterjee A.A., Water quality of Nandakanan lake, India., *J. Environ. Hlth.*, 34 (4), 329-333 (1992)

- 4. Venkateswarlu V., Ecological studies on the rivers of Andhra Pradesh with special reference to water quality and pollution, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Plant Sci).*, **96**, 495-508 (1993)
- 5. Naik S. and Purohit K.M., Status of water quality at Bondamunda of Rourkela industrial complex-Part - I: Physico-Chemical Parameters, *Indian Journal of Environmental Protection.*, 18 (5), 346-353 (1998)
- 6. Bhuvaneswararan N.G. and Rajeswari S., Water quality of river Adyar in Chennai city-The River a Boon or Bane, *Indian J. Environ Prote.*, **19(16)**, 412-415 (**1999**)
- Chaterjee C. and Raziuddin M., Determination of water quality index (WQI) of a degraded river in Asanol Industrial area, Raniganj, Burdwan, West Bengal, Nature, *Environment and pollution Technology.*, 1 (2), 181-189 (2002)
- Gupta A. K., Gupta S.K. and Patil R.S.A., comparison of water quality indices for coastal waters, *J Environ Sci Heal.*, 38 (11), 2711-2725 (2003)
- Avvannavar S. M., and Shrihari S., Evaluation of water quality index for drinking purposes for river Netravanthi, Mangalore, South India, *Environ Monit Assess.*, DOI 10.1007/s10661-007-9977-7, (2007)
- Umamheshwari S. and Anbu Sarayanan N., Water Quality of Cauvery River Basin Trichinappalli, India, *IJLR.*, 2 (1), 1-20 (2009)
- 11. Siddaraju A.G., Prasad Devi. and Hosmani S.P., Assessment of Water Quality using National Sanitation Foundation Water Quality Index (NSFWQI) for Madya Karnataka State India, *IJLR.*, 4 (1), 27-33 (2011)
- 12. Sinha S.K., Potability of some rural ponds water at Muzaffarpur (Bihar) A note on water quality index, J. *Pollution Research.*, 14 (1), 135-140 (1995)
- Naik S. and Purohit K.M., Physico-chemical analysis of some community ponds of Rourkela, *Indian Journal of Environmental Protection.*, 16 (9), 679-684 (1996)
- 14. Iwuoha G.N. and Osuji L.C., Changes in Surface Water Physico-Chemical Parameters following the Dredging of Otamiri and Nworie Rivers, Imo State of Nigeria, *Res. J. Chem.Sci.*, 2 (3), 7-11 (2012)
- Dhanesh Singh. and Ashok Kumar Jangde., Studies of Physico-Chemical Parameter of River Belgirinalla C.G, INDIA, Int. Res. J. Environment Sci. 2 (3), 41-45, (2013)
- **16.** BIS Analysis of Water Waste water, Bureau of Indian Standards, New Delhi (**1993**)
- Shama S., Iffat N., Mohammad I. A. and Safia A., Monitoring of Physico-Chemical and Microbiological Analysis of Under Ground Water Samples of District Kallar Syedan, Rawalpindi, Pakistan, *Res. J. Chem Sci.*, 1 (8), 24-30 (2011)

- Manimaran D.. Groundwater Geochemistry study Using GIS in and Around Vallanadu Hills, Tamil Nadu. India. *Res. J. Recent Sci.*, 1(7), 52-58 (2012)
- Ranjan R., Water Quality Monitoring of Groundwater Resources around Sugar Factory, Near East-Weast Champaran Boarder, Bihar, India. *Res. J. Recent Sci.*, 2(7), 79-81 (2012)
- 20. Kiran T., Bharati., Dipeeka K., Deshmukh., Dinkar T., Bharati. and Keshav K., Deshmukh., Physico-Chemical Determination of Pollution in Groundwater Sources in Sangamner Tahsil, 422605, Dist. Ahmednagar, MS, India, *Int. Res. J. Environment Sci.*, 2 (3), 56-58, (2013)
- **21.** Vaishnav M.M. and Sahu Dineswari., Study of some physico-chemical characteristics of Hasdeo River water at Korba, *Res. J. Chem. Sci.*, **1** (2), 140-142 (2006)
- **22.** United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 19th Edn., APHA (**1995**)
- Standard Method of examination of water and wastewater (21st Edition, APHA) (2005)
- ICMR, Manual of standards of quality for drinking water supplies. Indian council of Medical Research, Spe.Rep.No. 44:27, (1975)
- Naik S. and Purohit K.M., Status of water quality at Bondamunda of Rourkela industrial complex-Part - I: Physico-Chemical Parameters, *Indian Journal of Environmental Protection.*, 18 (5), 346-353 (1998)

- 26. Munawar M. Limnological studies on fresh water ponds of Hyderabad, India-II, *J. Hydrobiologia.*, 35, 127-162 (1970)
- 27. Abowei J.F.N., Salinity, Dissolved Oxygen, pH and surface Water Temperature conditions in Nkoro River, Niger Delta, Nigeria, *Advance J. of Food Sci. and Tech...*, 2 (1), 36-40 (2010)
- 28. Parihar S.S., Kumar A., Kumar Ajay., Gupta R.N., Pathak Manoj, Shrivastav Archana. and Pndey A.C., Physico-Chemical and Microbial Analysis of underground Water in and Around Gwalior City, MP, India, *Res. J. Recent Sci.*, 1(6), 62-65 (2012)
- **29.** PatilS.G., Chonde S.G., Jadhav A.S. and Raut P.D., Impact of Physico-Chemical Characteristics of Shivaji University lakes on Phytoplankton Communities, Kolhapur, India. *Res. J. Recent Sci.*, **1**(2), 56-60 (**2012**)
- 30. Irfan Khursheed Shah. and Humaira Shah., Physico-Chemical Dynamics in Littoral Zone of Nageen Basin of Dal Lake, Kashmir, India, *Int. Res. J. Environment Sci.*, 2 (3), 11-14, (2013)
- Odunaike R.K., Alausa S.K., Alausa I.M. and Akinyemi L.P., Elevation of Heavy Metals in the Environment of Aragba and Uvwiamughe in the Niger Delta Region of Nigeria after an Oil Spillage, *Int. Res. J. Environment Sci.*, 2 (3), 1-5, (2013)
- **32.** Tilekar B.B., Dhamak R.M., Theurkar S.V., Ghadage M.K. and Patil S.B., Study of Different Parameters of Manmade Mohari Reservoir from Pathardi Tahsil, MS, India, *Int. Res. J. Environment Sci.*, **2**(**3**), 24-27, (**2013**)