

Research Journal of Agriculture and Forestry Sciences _____ Vol. **10(2)**, 1-18, April (**2022**)

Role of juvenile traits for identification of some crop field dicotyledonous weeds in relation to their taxonomy and management

Ratul Mandal, Rahul Dey and Parasuram Kamilya^{*}

Department of Botany, Taxonomy and Plant Systematics Laboratory, Bejoy Narayan Mahavidyala, Itachuna, Hooghly-712147, WB, India pkamilya.in@gmail.com

Available online at: www.isca.in, www.isca.me

Received 30th December 2021, revised 8th February 2022, accepted 16th March 2022

Abstract

Seedling morphological traits of 74 dicotyledonous weeds of 64 genera under 30 families of angiosperms are studied in the crop fields of two subdivisions i.e. Barrackpur and Barasat in the district of North 24-Parganas of West Bengal. Conservative juvenile traits are considered for artificial key formation which is helpful for identification of weeds before flowering and fruiting. It is crucial for weed management program. All 74 seedling species are categorized into six seedling types based on nature, phyllotaxy and stipule of first two leaves. The families are arranged according to Takhtajan's system of classification (1997). This study also promotes importance of seedling features in determining interrelationships among the taxa studied through PCA analysis. The seedling taxonomy is correlated with other botanical disciplines partly.

Keywords: Seedling morphology, artificial key, interdisciplinary approach, PCA analysis, Weed management.

Introduction

Weeds are one of the major significant threats to crop production with losses in crop yield and quality. Therefore, it brings a great economic impact for many farmers all over the world. Weeds compete with crops for nutrients, soil moisture, soil radiation and space; and reduce the yield and quality of produce¹. It is reported that weeds cause yields loss overall 34% in the major crop fields like rice, maize, potatoes, soybean and cotton². Most weeds germinate and established rapidly under favourable conditions. They also produce large no. of viable seeds even under environmental and soil conditions that are not favourable for the crop plants. Rapid and accurate weed identification at the seedling stage may be the first step towards a successful weed management program³ because most of the weeds are effectively controlled at a very young stage, so it is important to identify them as early as possible⁴.

Investigation of proper phenotypic traits of weed seedlings is the primary key for prominent weed identification leading towards suitable integrated weed management (IWM) program. In, Eastern India, it is the traditional method of farmers to kill mature existing weeds while already abundant mature viable seeds have been added to the soil⁵. These seeds may contain a hard seed coat and be resistant to different herbicides germinating in the next season. Therefore, before flowering and fruiting, the juvenile stage is the proper phase to prevent the manifestation of weeds. Hence, identification of weeds must be done at the seedling stage through appropriate keys constructed based on their constant conservative juvenile characters followed by some pioneer workers^{3,5,6}. Survey of literatures reveals that there are some floristic works⁷⁻¹¹ on weeds in West Bengal as well as in the district North 24-Pargas. Even weed seedlings are studied by several workers ^{5,13,15}. But there is no work on seedlings in the crop field weeds in the district of North 24 Parganas, although this district possesses 2,39,000 hectares of vegetable crop fields from which major portion of vegetable crops Kolkata - a metropolitan city, gets. The two sub-divisions, Barrackpur and Barasat which are nearer to Kolkata, supply a vast amount of vegetables every day to Kolkata. Considering the weed manifestation problem, a strategy for the identification of weeds at the seedling stage to enforce the weed management programme of the above two blocks has been considered.

Materials and methods

74 crop field weed seedlings (Table-1) have been collected from different crop fields of Barrackpur-II (GPS coordinates of 22°46'2.7372" N and 88°23'18.0384" E) and Barasat-I (GPS coordinates 22°43'34.1616" N and 88°28'29.8560" E) of North 24 Parganas (latitude 22°11'6" N to 23°15'2" N and longitude from 88°20' E to 89° E with an aerial extent of 4094 sq. km.) district in southern West Bengal, India, throughout the year of 2020. Soil type varies from alluvial to clay loam as it falls within the Gangetic delta.

Collections have been made through repeated field visits and field photographs have been taken for documentation. All recorded specimens were examined and identified using standard literatures¹⁴⁻²⁹. The mature seeds were also collected from the same crop fields and grown in the experimental garden of Bejoy Narayan Mahavidyalaya for identity confirmation.

Research Journal of Agriculture and Forestry Sciences_ Vol. **10(2)**, 1-18, April (**2022**)

Seedlings collected from crop fields as well as from experimental gardens have been documented in the form of herbarium sheets which are deposited in the herbarium section of the Department of Botany of the college. Mature weed plants were identified by various literatures³⁰⁻³⁶. At least 10 specimens were studied for each species of seedling from different crop fields. A list of taxa studied with the author's citation and photoplate figures have also been given (Table-1). The studied taxa are arranged according to Takhtajan's system³⁷ of classification-1997 (Table-1). An artificial key has been constructed for the identification of taxa at the juvenile stage, where 74 species are initially grouped under six types (Type-I to Type-VI)³⁸. During the preparation of key for family and genera having a single species are kept in the parenthesis. Under each type, keys are made up of species-level identification.

For statistical analysis, all morphological characters are used where qualitative characters are being converted to numerical form. All the statistical tests are performed using Minitab 19 and SPSS ver. 20.0. The morphometric analysis is run through Principal Component Analysis (PCA) using the Varimax method to visualize the relationship among studied taxa and to select interrelated variables^{13,39}.

Results and discussion

All the studied taxa showed phenerocotylar type of seedlings. The distinctiveness of the juvenile traits helps to distinguish them on the natural habitats. These are taxonomically delimited at different ranks through an artificial key.



Figure-1: Study area.

Table-1: List of taxa studied with author's citation and photo plate figures.

Family	Sl. No.	Species	Plt. No.
Piperaceae	1	Peperomia pellucida (L.) Kunth	[Figure-4, figA]
Ranunculaceae	2	Ranunculus sceleratus L.	[Figure-4, figB]
Fumaraceae	3	Fumaria indica (Hausskn.) Pugsley	[Figure-4, figC]
Nyctaginaceae	4	Boerhavia diffusa L.	[Figure-4, figD]
Aizoaceae	5	Trianthema portulacastrum L.	[Figure-4, figE]
Portulacaceae	6	Portulacca oleracea L.	[Figure-4, figF]
Molluginaceae	7	Glinus oppositifolius (L.) Aug.DC.	[Figure-4, figG]
Caryophylaceae	8	Stellaria media (L.) Vill.	[Figure-4, figH]
	9	Amaranthus viridis L.	[Figure-4, figI]

Amaranthaceae	10	Amaranthus tenuifolius Willd.	[Figure-4, figJ]
	11	Alternanthera sessilis (L.) R.Br. ex DC.	[Figure-4, figK]
	12	Achyranthes aspera L.	[Figure-4, figL]
	13	Chenopodium album L.	[Figure-4, figM]
Chenopodiaceae	14	Dysphania ambrosioides (L.) Mosyakin & Clemants	[Figure-4, figN]
	15	Rumex dentatus L.	[Figure-4, figO]
Polygonaceae	16	Persiceria hydropiper (L.) Delarbre	[Figure-4, figP]
	17	Polygonum plebeium R.Br.	[Figure-4, figQ]
Primulaceae	18	Anagallis arvensis L.	[Figure-4, figR]
	19	Mukia scabrella (L. f.) Arn.	[Figure-4, figS]
Cucurbitaceae	20	Trichoxanthes cucumarina L.	[Figure-5, figA]
	21	Coccinia grandis (L.) Voigt	[Figure-5, figB]
Commence	22	Cleome rutidosperma DC.	[Figure-5, figC]
Capparaceae	23	Cleome viscosa L.	[Figure-5, figD]
During	24	Cardamine flexuosa With.	[Figure-5, figE]
Brassicaceae	25	Rorippa palustris (L.) Besser	[Figure-5, figF]
	26	Malvastrum coromandelianum (L.) Garcke	[Figure-5, figG]
	27	Malachra capitata (L.) L.	[Figure-5, figH]
	28	Urena lobata L.	[Figure-5, figI]
Malvaceae	29	Sida cordata (Burm.f.) Borss.Waalk.	[Figure-5, figJ]
	30	Sida rhombifolia L.	[Figure-5, figK]
	31	Corchorus aestuans L.	[Figure-5, figL]
Cannabaceae	Cannabaceae 32 <i>Cannabis sativa</i> L.		[Figure-5, figM]
	33	Pilea microphylla (L.) Liebm.	[Figure-5, figN]
Urticaceae	34	Pouzolzia zeylanica (L.) Benn.	[Figure-5, figO]
	35	Euphorbia hirta L.	[Figure-5, figP]
	36	Euphorbia serpens Kunth	[Figure-5, figQ]
	37	Phyllanthus urinaria L.	[Figure-5, figR]
Euphorbiaceae	38	Phyllanthus fraternus G.L.Webster	[Figure-5, figS]

	39	Croton bonplandianus Baill.	[Figure-6, figA]
	40 <i>Micrococca mercurialis</i> (L.) Benth.		[Figure-6, figB]
	41	<i>Chrozophora plicata</i> (Vahl) A. Juss. ex Spreng.	[Figure-6, figC]
	42	Acalypha indica L.	[Figure-6, figD]
	43	Senna tora (L.) Roxb.	[Figure-6, figE]
	44	Senna sophera (L.) Roxb.	[Figure-6, figF]
	45	Senna occidentalis (L.) Link	[Figure-6, figG]
Fabaceae	46	Desmodium triflorum (L.) DC.	[Figure-6, figH]
	47	Desmodium scorpiurus (Sw.) Desv.	[Figure-6, figI]
Oxalidaceae	48	Oxalis corniculata L.	[Figure-6, figJ]
Zygophyllaceae	49	Kallstroemia pubescens (G.Don) Dandy	[Figure-6, figK]
	50	Parthenium hysterophorus L.	[Figure-6, figL]
	51	Eclipta prostrata (L.) L.	[Figure-6, figM]
	52	Xanthium strumarium L.	[Figure-6, figN]
	53	Ageratum conyzoides (L.) L.	[Figure-6, figO]
Asteraceae	54	Tridax procumbens (L.) L.	[Figure-6, figP]
	55	Synedrella nodiflora (L.) Gaertn.	[Figure-6, figQ]
	56	Blumea lacera (Burm.f.) DC.	[Figure-6, figR]
	57	Spermacoce hispida L.	[Figure-7, figA]
Rubiaceae	58	Oldenlandia corymbosa L.	[Figure-7, figB]
	59	Solanum americanum Mill.	[Figure-7, figC]
	60	Nicotiana plumbaginifolia Viv.	[Figure-7, figD]
Solanaceae	61	Physalis minima L.	[Figure-7, figE]
	62	Solanum sisymbriifolium Lam.	[Figure-7, figF]
G 1 1	63	Evolvulus nummularius (L.) L.	[Figure-7, figG]
Convolvulaceae	64	Ipomoea eriocarpa R. Br.	[Figure-7, figH]
Boraginaceae	65	Heliotropium indicum L.	[Figure-7, figI]
	66	Scoparia dulcis L.	[Figure-7, figJ]
Scorphulaceae	67	Mazus pumilus (Burm.f.) Steenis	[Figure-7, figK]

	68	Mecardonia procumbens (Mill.) Small	[Figure-7, figL]
	69	Lindenbergia indica Vatke	[Figure-7, figM]
	70	Lindernia viscosa (Hornem.) Merr.	[Figure-7, figN]
Acanthaceae	71	Ruellia tuberosa L.	[Figure-7, figO]
	72	Ruellia prostrata Poir.	[Figure-7, figP]
Lamiaceae	73	Leucas aspera (Willd.) Link	[Figure-7, figQ]
	74	Hyptis suaveolens (L.) Poit.	[Figure-7, figR]

Systematic enumeration:

Artificial Key (Key is valid for the taxa studied only)

1a.	First two leaves simple	2
1b.	First two leaves compound	5
2a.	First two leaves sub-opposite to alternate	3
2b.	First two leaves opposite	4
3a.	First two leaves stipulate	Type-I
3b.	First two leaves exstipulate	Type-II
4a.	First two leaves stipulate	Type-III
4b.	First two leaves exstipulate	Type-IV
5a.	First two leaves sub-opposite to alternate	Type-V
5b.	First two leaves opposite	Type-VI

Type-I

Key to the families

1a.	Primary vein	of paracotyledons one, venation
	hyphodromous;	margin of first two leaves entire,
	primary vein	one, venation camtodromous or
	hyphodromous	2
1b.	Primary vein	of paracotyledons three, venation

- 2a. Hypocotyl oblate; paracotyledons thick; paracotyledons and subsequent leaves with prominent purple border......Aizoiaceae (*Trianthema portulacastrum*)
- 2b. Hypocotyl terete; paracotyledons thin; paracotyledons and subsequent leaves with normal green border......3
 3a. Seedling with watery latex; stipule free
- ateral......Euphorbiaceae

 3b.

 Seedling

 without

 watery

 lateral.....
- ochreate......Polygonaceae

Key t	o the	genus/	species
2		0	1

Malvaceae

1a.	Paracotyledons ovate to widely ovate2
1b.	Paracotyledons elliptic to widely elliptic4
2a.	First two leaves with dentate marginSida

2b. First two leaves with serrate to crenate margin......3
3a. Venation of paracotyledons acrodromous; first two leaves orbicular, margin crenate, base reniform, apex mucronulate......Malvastrum (M.coromandelianum)
3b. Venation of paracotyledon actinodromous; first two leaves elliptic to shallowly trilobed, margin irregularly serrate, base cordate, apex obtuse...Urena (U. lobata)

4a. Base of paracotyledons obtuse, venation acrodromous; first two leaves ovate, base reniform, apex acute to obtuse, primary veins three....Corchorus (C.aestuans)

Key to the species

Genus: Sida

- 1a. Base of paracotyledons subrounded, venation actinodromous; first two leaves with pilose hairs, blade broadly ovate, base cordate, apex acute, primary veins 5-7......S. cordata
 1b. Base of paracotyledons shallowly cordate, venation
- b. Base of paracotyledons shallowly cordate, venation acrodromous; first two leaves with stellate hairs, blade rhombic to obovate, base cuneate, apex obtuse, primary veins three.....S. rhombifolia

Euphorbiaceae

Key to the species

Genus: Phyllanthus

mm; branching appeared after 5th leaf stage.....*P. fraternus*

Polygonaceae

Key to the species

Res. J. Agriculture and Forestry Sci.

- 1a.
 Paracotyledons sessile, oblance-falcate; first two leaves linear-oblanceolate.....Polygonum (P. plebeium)
- 1b. Paracotyledons petiolate, ovate to ovate-elliptic; first two leaves ovate to elliptic- oblong......2
- 2a. First two leaves ovate, base sub-truncate, apex obtuse; subsequent leaves with toothed base and undulate margin......*Rumex dentatus*
- 2b. First two leaves lanceolate, base alternate, apex acute; subsequent leaves entire margin......Parsicaria hydropiper

Type II

Key to the families

1a.	First two leaves glabrous2	
1b.	First two leaves hairy7	
2a.	First two leaves with single primary vein]
2b.	First two leaves with 2-5 primary veins5]
3a.	Apex of first two leaves obtuse to rounded; venation	1
	hyphodromous; internode rounded4	
3b.	Apex of first two leaves emarginate, venation	
	camptodromous; internode angularAmaranthaceae	1
4a.	Paracotyledons lanceolate, base cuneate, apex obtuse;	
	first two leaves obovate, base cuneate; internode	
	glabrousPortulacaceae (Portulaca oleracea)	
4b.	Paracotyledons ovate, base sub-truncate, apex acute;]
	first two leaves elliptic, base obtuse; internode]
	puberulousMolluginaceae (Glinus oppositifolius)	1
5a.	Hypocotyl light green to green, terete; paracotyledons	
	thin, herbaceous; eophylls radical	
5b.	Hypocotyl translucent, angular; paracotyledons thick,	
	fleshy; eophylls caulinePiperaceae	1
	(Peperomia pellucida)	
ба.	Paracotyledons widely elliptic to elliptic, apex retuse to	
	emarginate; subsequent leaves lyrateBrassicaceae	
6b.	Paracotyledons lanceolate, apex acute; subsequent	
	leaves deeply trilobedRanunculaceae	(
	(Ranunculus sceleratus)]
7a.	Seedling with latexEuphorbiaceae	1
7b.	Seedling without latex	
8a.	Hypocotyl hollow (exception Evolvulus	
	nummularius)9	
8b.	Hypocotyl solid10	
9a.	Paracotyledons thin, herbaceousConvolvulaceae	1
9b.	Paracotyledons thick, fleshyCucurbitaceae	
10a.	Paracotyledons sessile, leaf base sheathing; first two	
	leaves with base subsessile, sheathing; subsequent	
	leaves compoundFumariaceae (Fumaria indica)	
10b.	Paracotyledons petiolate, leaf base simple; first two	
	leaves petiolate, not sheathing; subsequent leaves	
	simple11	(
11a.	Subsequent leaves with base asymmetrical or oblique]
	(exceptions Nicotiana plumbaginifolia)Solanaceae	1
11b.	Subsequent leaves with base symmetrical12	
12a.	Texture of first two leaves	
	coriaceousChenopodiaceae	1
12b.	Texture of first two leaves herbaceous	

- 13a. First internode angular.....Boraginaceae (*Heliotropium indicum*)
- 13b. First internode tereteAsteraceae

Key to the genus/ species

Amaranthaceae

Key to the species

Genus: Amaranthus

- 1b. Paracotyledons lanceolate; first two leaves ovate, base obtuse; internode penta to hexangular....*Amaranthus viridis*

Brassicaceae

Key to the species

- 1a. Base of paracotyledons obtuse, apex retuse; first two leaves shallowly trilobed, base minutely reniform; venation actinodromous*Cardamine flexuosa*
- 1b. Base of paracotyledons cuneate, apex emargnate; first two leaves elliptic to rectangular; venation camptodromous......*Rorripa palustris*

Euphorbiaceae

Key to the species

Convolvulaceae

Key to the species

- 1b. Hypocotyl terete; paracotyledons oblong, apex retuse, base obtuse, primary vein venation one. camptodromous; first two leaves elliptic, base subrounded, primary vein one, venation camptodromous; first internode hairyEvolvulus nummularius

Cucurbitaceae

Key to the species

- 1a. Paracotyledons sessile, venation hyphodromous; first two leaves palinactinodromous.....*Trichosanthes cucumerina*
- 1b.Paracotyledons petiolate, venation brochiododromous,
first two leaves actinodromous......2

- 2b. Hypocotyl hairy; apex first two leaves obtuse, margin irregularly dentate; internode hispid ...*Mukia scabrella*

Solanaceae

Key to the genera

- 1a. Hypocotyl highly suppressed; eophylls rossulate; base of first two leaves cuneate......*Nicotiana plumbaginifolia*

- 2b. Paracotyledons ovate, apex obtuse...*Physallis minima*

Key to the species

Genus: Solanum

- 1a. Paracotyledons lance-ovate, base obtuse; first two leaves ovate to pinnatisect, apex acute, margin pinnately lobed, surface densely hairy with prickles.....S. sisymbriiflolium
- 1b. Paracotyledons lanceolate, base cuneate, first two leaves typically ovate, apex obtuse, margin entire, surface sparsely pubescent.....S. americanum

Chenopodiaceae

Key to the species

- 1a. First two leaves lanceolate to lance-ovate, apex acute to narrowly acute, margin entire, surface with farinaceous hair; venation hyphodromous; first internode rounded with densely farinaceous hair.....*Chenopodium album*
- 1b. First two leaves ovate-oblong, apex sub-rounded, margin weavy, surface with pubescent; venation camptodromous; first internode angular with minutely hispid hair.....Dysphania ambrosioides

Asteraceae

Key to the species

- 2b. Paracotyledons petiolate, lanceolate, base cuneate; first two leaves bi or trilobed, apex acute; subsequent leaves pinnatisect.....*Parthenium hysterophorus*

Type-III

Key to the families

- 1a. Paracotyledons pubescent, base oblique; first two leaves with stipule free lateral, blade obcordate, apex retuse; internode ovalFabaceae (*Desmodium trifloram*).
- 1b. Paracotyledons glabrous, base cuneate to obtuse; first two leaves with stipule interpeotiolar, blade lanceolate, apex acute; internode angular.....Rubiaceae.

Rubiaceae

Key to the species

- 1a. Hypocotyl papillate; paracotyledons ovate-oblong, base cuneate, apex sub-rounded; first two leaves scabrous; blade obtuse, venation camptodromous; internode hirsute.......*Spermacoce hispida*.

Type- IV

Key to the families

1a.	First two leaves and subsequent leaves
	sessilePrimulaceae (Anagalis arvensis)
1b.	First two leaves and subsequent leaves petiolate2
2a.	Seedling with latexEuphorbiaceae
2b.	Seedling without latex
3a.	First two leaves with margin entire
3b.	First two leaves with margin serrate or dentate or
	toothed
4a.	Paracotyledons with primary veins 3-5, venation
	acrodromousAcanthaceae
4b.	Paracotyledons with primary vein one, venation
	hyphodromous5
5a.	Paracotyledons with obtuse to sub-rounded base6
5b.	Paracotyledons with cuneate base
ба.	First two leaves with base truncate, apex subrounded to
	roundedNyctaginaceae (Boerrhavia diffusa)
6b.	First two leaves with base obtuse, apex acute to
	obtuseUrticaceae
7a.	First two leaves glabrous; internode rounded,
	glabrousCaryophyllaceae (<i>Stellaria media</i>)
7b.	First two leaves hairy: internode angular.
	hairyAmaranthaceae
8a.	Venation of first two leaves craspedodromous:
	subsequent leaves compound
	(Cannabis sativa)
8b	First two leaves with venation acrodromous to
	camptodromous: subsequent leaves simple 9
9a	First internode angular (exception- <i>Mazus numilus</i>) 10
9h	First internode rounded to oval Asteraceae
10a	Seedling aromatic with densely hispid to hisputose
10 u .	hair Lamiaceae
10b.	Seedling non-aromatic with glabrous or pubescent
	hair Scrophulariaceae

*Research Journal of Agriculture and Forestry Sciences*_____ Vol. **10(2)**, 1-18, April (**2022**)

Key to the genus/species Euphorbiaceae

- 1a.Paracotyledons narrowly oblong to elliptic-oblong,
glabrous, apex rounded......2

- 2b. Hypocotyl rounded; no. of primary veins of paracotyledons three, acrodromous; first two leaves ovate, glabrous; first internode quadrangular.....*Micrococca (M. mercurialis)*

Key to the species

Genus: Euphorbia

- 1a.Hypocotyl hairy, green; paracotyledons elliptic, margin
crenulated; first two eaves with base cuneate, apex sub-
acute; venation hyphodromous......E. hirta

Acanthaceae

Genus: Ruellia

Key to the species

Urticaceae

Key to species

- 1a. Hypocotyl glabrous, translucent green; paracotyledons widely elliptic, primary vein one, venation camtodromous; first internode translucent green, glabrous.....*Pilea microphylla*
- 1b. Hypocotyl strigulose, light green; paracotyledons ovate to sub-orbicular, primary veins three, venation actinodromous; first internode green, strigulose......Pouzolzia zeylanica

Amaranthaceae

Key to species

1a. Hypocotyl soft; paracotyledons ovate, apex obtuse to sub-rounded; first two leaves fleshy, sparsely villous, blade elliptic, base attenuate.....*Alternanthera sessilis*

1b. Hypocotyl semihard; paracotyledons narrowly elliptic, apex acute; first two leaves herbaceous, tomentose, blade obovate, base obtuse........*Achyranthes aspera*

Asteraceae

- Key to the species
- 1a. First two leaves with primary veins three, venation acrodromous; first internode purplish-green......2 1b. First two leaves with primary vein one, venation 2a. Paracotyledons elliptic-oblong, base cuneate, apex rounded; first two leaves lance-ovate with strigulose hairs; first internode with densely strigose hairs.....Eclipta prostrata 2b. Paracotyledons widely elliptic, base obtuse, apex obtuse; first two leaves widely elliptic with lanate hairs: first internode with villous hairs.....Ageratum conyzoides 3a. Hypocotyl hirsute hairy, green; paracotyledons with apex retuse; first two leaves with base attenuate, margin entire.....Tridax procumbens Hypocotyl papillate hairy, purple; paracotyledons with 3b. apex rounded; first two leaves with base cuneate to obtuse, margin serrateSynedrella nodiflora

Lamiaceae

Key to the species

- 1a. Hypocotyl light-green; paracotyledons elliptic-oblong, venation hypodromus; first two leaves with base cuneate, apex obtuse, tomentose hair...*Leucas aspera*

Scrophulariaceae

Key to the species

- 1a. Base of paracotyledons cuneate; first two leaves spathulate, margin minutely toothed......Mazus pumilus

- 3a. Hypocotyl light-green; apex of paracotyledons acute; base of first two leaves cuneate, margin dentate from middle to apex; subsequent leaves petiolate; internode prominent green......Scoparia dulcis
 3b. Hypocotyl purple-green; apex of paracotyledons obtuse; base of first two leaves obtuse, margin minutely serrate; subsequent leaves sessile; internode purplish green......Mecardonia procumbens

- 4b. Hypocotyl glabrous; base of paracotyledons truncate, apex obtuse; first two leaves with base cuneate, surface strigulose; base of subsequent leaves subtruncate, apex obtuse.....*Lindernia viscosa*

Type-V

Key to the families

- 1a.
 First two leaves and subsequent leaves with leaflets 2-10 pairs.

 1b.
 End to the leaves of leaves the leaves the leaves of leaves the leaves of leaves the leaves the leaves the leaves the leaves of leaves the leaves of leaves the leaves the leaves of leaves the leaves t
- 1b. First two leaves and subsequent leaves with leaflets always trifoliolate.....Oxalidaceae (Oxalis corniculata)
- 2a. Hypocotyl semihard; paracotyledons sub-sessile, fleshy thick, glabrous, venation actinodromous.....Fabaceae
- 2b. Hypocotyl soft; paracotyledons petiolate, herbaceous, pubescent, venation acrodromous......Zygophyllaceae (*Kallstroemia pubescens*)

Key to the genera/species

Fabaceae

Key to the species

- Genus: Senna
- 2a. Leaflets ovate; subsequent leaflets with 3 pairs of leaflets.....Senna tora
- 2b. Leaflets oblance-ovate; subsequent leaves with 4-10 pairs of leaflets......Senna sophera

Type-VI

Key to the families

- 1a.
 Paracotyledons petiolate, apex obtuse; first two leaves trifoliate, exstipulate......Capparaceae
- 1b. Paracotyledons subsessile, apex rounded or retuse; first two leaves unifoliate, stipulate......Fabaceae (*Desmodium scorpiurus*)

Key to the genera/species

Capparaceae

Key to the species

- 1a. Hypocotyl purple; paracotyledons with base cuneate; subsequent leaves trifoliolate.....*Cleome rutidospermum*
- 1b. Hypocotyl green; paracotyledons with base obtuse; subsequent leaves 3-5 foliolate.....*Cleome viscosa*

Using morphological parameters of seedlings, 74 species of dicotyledonous weeds belonging to 64 genera under 30 families have been studied in crop fields throughout the year 2020. Under the artificial key, the studied taxa are categorized into six seedling types based on nature, phyllotaxy and stipule of the first two leaves of seedlings. Since we have studied a limited number of taxa in the fields under the families using only juvenile characters, so some of the families have shown their placement of more than one seedling types i.e., Euphorbiaceae in type I, type II and type IV; Amaranthaceae in type II and type IV; Asteraceae in type II and type IV; and Fabaceae in type III, type V and type VI. For many families, only one species have been studied i.e. Aizoiaceae (Trianthema portulacastrum), Portulacaceae (Portulaca oleracea), Molluginaceae (Glinus pellucida), oppositifolius), Piperaceae (Peperomia Ranunculaceae (Ranunculus sceleratus), Boraginaceae (*Heliotropium indicum*), Primulaceae (Anagallis arvensis), Cannabinaceae (Cannabis sativa), Oxalidaceae (Oxalis corniculata), Zygophyllaceae (Kallstroemia pubescens) and Fumariaceae (Fumaria indica).

The classification of seedling is totally based on conservative juvenile traits and shows some homology with Takhtajan's classification (1997) system. Polygonaceae has been isolated and placed in type I while other families like Amaranthaceae. Portulacaceae, Molluginaceae, Chenopodiaceae and Nyctaginaceae of Takhtajan's Caryophyllidae are placed in seedling types II and IV, except Aizoaceae which is placed within the seedling type I where Polygonaceae present. Thus the majority of studied families of Caryophyllidae are placed in type II and IV separating Polygonaceae. This partially supports the concept of Takhtajan. Taxa having compound leaves are placed in seedling types V and VI on the phyllotaxy of the first two leaves. Here type V possesses Oxalidaceae, Fabaceae and Zygophyllaceae and type VI contains Capparaceae and Fabaceae. Thus, Fabaceae is an exception. Fabaceae, Zygophyllaceae and Oxalidaceae are placed in subclass Rosidae and Capparaceae is in subclass Dilleniidae of Takhtajan. Seedling characters separate Capparaceae into seedling type V where only the Desmodium gangeticum of Fabaceae also belongs. Therefore, partial evidence homology is evident to Takhtajan (1997).

Juvenile characters have also supported a few other botanical disciplines such as pollen morphology, molecular biology, anatomy etc. The phylogeny of six taxa of Amaranthaceae using RAPD and showed that *Achyranthes* and *Alternanthera* tend to stay together while *Amaranthus* is separated out from them⁴⁰. In seedling traits, the former two taxa belong to type IV while later taxon has been accommodated in type II supporting the molecular phylogeny. A study on the pollen grains of a few *Chenopodium* spp. showed that *C. album* and *C. ambrosioides* (*Dysphania ambrosioides* at present) share similar radial symmetrical, isopolar, pantopolyporate spheroidal pollen grains with scabrate ornamentations⁴¹. In seedling characters, although they are placed in the same type II, but are separated from each

Research Journal of Agriculture and Forestry Sciences_ Vol. **10(2)**, 1-18, April (**2022**)

other by the characters of paracotyledons and first two internodes. Thus, partial disfavouring of pollen characters is evident here. In the seedling characters, *Xanthium strumarium* and *Ageratum conyzoides* are placed in type II and IV respectively. Anatomically *Xanthium* displays anisocytic stomata and non-glandular unicellular trichomes separating the *Ageratum conyzoides* by its anomocytic stomata and nonglandular unicellular hairs⁴². This is an evidence of a positive correlation.

Among 74 investigated taxa, 20 showed heteroblastic development (Table -2). There are 29 seedling taxa which are of invasive alien species. Some of them are *Ageratum conyzoides* (Trop. America), *Cleome rutidosperma* (Trop. America), *Ipomoea eriocarpa* (Trop. Africa), *Oxalis corniculata* (Europe), *Peperomia pellucida* (Trop. South America), *Synedrella nodiflora* (West Indies), *Malvastrum coromandelianum* (Trop. America) etc.

PCA analysis: The score plot of PCA distributed the 30 families with their quantitative and qualitative seedlings traits where most of the families are distributed lower part and upper right-hand plots of four sections indicating their partial affinities (Figure-2).

Seedling phenotypic traits are consistently distributed in among PCA 1 and PCA 2. Two major groups indicated as two circles have emerged from PCA analysis. The smaller group at right above side contains only qualitative traits indicating their interdependency; whereas the larger group has both qualitative and quantitative traits indicating their dependency. It also depicts major numbers of both traits with significant interrelationship. Only two quantitative traits i.e., paracotyledon size and paracotyledon petiole length, remain outside from these groups. These traits show less importance for taxonomic correlation and might not be used for artificial key construction.

Table-2: Heteroblastic development of eophylls (phyllotaxy, nature, margin, shape and leaflets pair).

Sl. No.	Name of the taxa	Family	Heteroblastic development
1	Acalypha indica	Euphorbiaceae	Phyllotaxy opposite to alternate
2	Cannabis sativa	Cannabinaceae	Leaves simple to compound
3	Cardamine flexuosa	Brassicaceae	Leaves simple to compound
4	Chenopodium album	Chenopodiaceae	Leaf margin entire to notch
5	Desmodium triflorum	Fabaceae	Leaves unifoliate to trifoliate
6	Desmodium scorpions	Fabaceae	Leaves unifoliate to trifoliate
7	Dysphania ambrosioides	Chenopodiaceae	Leaf margin entire to irregularly serrulate
8	Fumaria indica	Fumaraceae	Leaves linear to pinnatisect
9	Kallstroemia pubescens	Zygophyllaceae	Leaflets two pairs to three-eight pairs
10	Malvastrum coromandelianum	Malvaceae	Leaf blade orbicular to ovate
11	Parthenium hysterophorus	Asteraceae	Leaves simple to pinnatily lobed
12	Phyllanthus urinaria	Euphorbiaceae	Phyllotaxy whorled to alternate
13	Ranunculus sceleratus	Ranunculaceae	Trilobed to multinotched trilobed
14	Rorippa palustris	Brassicaceae	Leaf margin entire to double serrate
15	Rumex dentatus	Polygonaceae	Leaf margin entire to weavy
16	Senna occidentalis	Fabaceae	Leaflets two pairs to three-five pairs
17	Senna sophera	Fabaceae	Leaflets two pairs to four-ten pairs
18	Senna tora	Fabaceae	Leaflets two pairs to three pairs
19	Solanum sisymbriifolium	Solanaceae	Leaf blade simple to pinnatisect
20	Tridax procumbens	Asteraceae	Leaf margin entire to serrate



Figure-2: Score plot of Principal Component Analysis based on 30 quantitative and qualitative seedling morphological traits showing a relationship among 74 weed species from 30 families. Species are denoted with numbers (same as the table-I) and grouped by colored symbols. Each alphabet denote different families like - A=Piperaceae, B= Ranunculaceae, C= Fumaraceae, D= Nyctaginaceae, E= Aizoaceae, F= Portulacaceae, G= Molluginaceae, H= Caryophyllaceae, I= Amaranthaceae, J= Chenopodiaceae, K= Polygonaceae, L= Primulaceae, M= Cucurbitaceae, N= Capparaceae, O= Brassicaceae, P= Malvaceae, Q= Cannabaceae, R= Urticaceae, S= Euphorbiaceae, T= Fabaceae, U= Oxalidaceae, V= Zygophyllaceae, W= Asteraceae, X= Rubiaceae, Y= Solanaceae, Z= Convolvulaceae, ZA= Boraginaceae, ZB= Scorphulaceae, ZC= Acanthaceae, ZD= Lamiaceae.



Figure-3: Loading plot of Principal Component Analysis for seedling of 74 weed species ordinated on the basis of qualitative and quantitative traits. Both the traits on the PC 1 and PC 2 axis. White (open) and black (closed) square symbols denote quantitative and qualitative traits respectively. Parameters are denoted by abbreviations as follows: FPY=First two leaves phyllotaxy, STP= stipule, SPY= Subsequent leaves phyllotaxy, RL= Root length, HL=Hypocotyl length, HSF= Hypocotyl surface, HSP= Hypocotyl shape, HC= Hypocotyl colour, PT= Paracotyledon texture, PL= Petiole length, PS= Petiole surface, PB= Paracotyledon base, PA= Paracotyledon apex, PSZ=Paracotyledon size, PM= Paracotyledon margin, PPV= Paracotyledon primary vein, PV= Paracotyledon venation, FN= First two leaves nature, FPL= First two leaves petiole, FSZ= First two leaves size, FB= First two leaves base, FA= First two leaves apex, FM= First two leaves margin, FS= First two leaves surface, FPV= First two leaves primary vein, FV= First two leaves venation, IL= Internode length, ISP= Internode shape, IS= Internode surface, IC= Internode colour.



Figure-4: Figs. A. Peperomia pellucida; B. Ranunculus sceleratus; C. Fumaria indica; D. Boerhavia diffusa; E. Trianthema portulacastrum, E. Portulacca oleracea; G. Glinus oppositifolius, H. Stellarla media, I. Amaranthus viridis; J. Amaranthus tenuifolius; K. Alternanthera sessilis; L. Achyranthes aspera; M. Chenopodium album; N. Dysphania ambrosioides; O. Rumex dentatus; P. Persicaria hydropiper, Q. Polygonum plebeium; R. Anagallis arvensis, S. Mukia scabrella.



Figure-5: Figs. A. Trichosanthes cucumerina; B. Coccinia grandis; C. Cleome rutidosperma; D. Cleome viscosa; E. Cardamine flexuosa; F. Rorippa palustris; G. Malvastrum coromandelianum; H. Malachra capitata; I. Urena lobata; J. Sida cordata; K. S. rhombifolia; L. Corchorus aestuans; M. Cannabis sativa; N. Pilea microphylla; O. Pouzolzia zeylanica: P. Euphorbia hirta; Q. E. serpens; R. Phyllanthus urinaria; S. P. fraternus



Figure-6: Figs. A. Croton bonplandianus; B. Micrococca mercurialis; C. Chrozophora plicata; D. Acalypha indica; E. Senna tora; F. S. sophera; G. S. occidentalis; H. Desmodium triflorum; I. D. scorpiurus; J. Oxalis corniculata; K. Kallstroemia pubescens; L. Parthenium hysterophorus; M. Eclipta prostrata; N. Xanthium strumarium; O. Ageratum conyzoides; P. Tridax procumbens; Q. Synedrella nodiflora; R. Blumea lacera.



Figure-7: Figs. A. Spermacoce hispida; B. Oldenlandia corymbosa; C. Solanum americanum; D. Nicotiana plumbaginifolia; E. Physalis mínima; F. Solanum sisymbriifolium; G. Evolvulus nummularius; H. Ipomoea erlocarpa; L. Heliotropium indicum; J. Scoparia dulcis; K. Mazus pumilus; L. Mecardonia procumbens; M. Lindenbergia indica; N. Lindernia viscosa; O. Ruellia tuberosa; P. R. prostrata; Q. Leucas aspera; R. Hyptis suaveolens

Conclusion

In taxonomic studies seedling characters have been neglected earlier possibly due to lack of abundant literatures and voucher specimens. But an array of seedling features contributes much to knowledge of seedling taxonomy which enables to identify the plants at juvenile stage i.e. much before flowering and fruiting⁴⁴. Thus, the artificial key is helpful for early identification of weed s at seedling stage that may minimize the cost of weed manifestation in crop fields. Besides, in this limited scope of studies, taxonomic correlation of taxa may be done partially. PCA analysis depicts the interdependence of some quantitative and qualitative traits of seedlings to distinguish the taxa at different taxonomic levels. This may fulfil our knowledge on the life cycle of angiosperms taxa where we may enlist some peculiar juvenile features. Heteroblastic development is the example of peculiar juvenile behaviour that gradually differentiates seedlings up to adult stage. These distinctiveness are useful for identification at juvenile stage and manifest an initial step for weed management.

Acknowledgement

The authors are thankful to Principal, Bejoy Narayan Mahavidyalaya, Hooghly, WB, India, for providing opportunities for this research work.

References

- 1. Rana S.S., Kumar Anil, Rana M.C., Sharma Neetu & Singh Permendra (2020). Advances in Weed Management, Jaya Publishing House, India. pp1-21, ISBN 9789389996746
- 2. Oerke, E. C. (2006). Centenary review crop losses to pests. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 144, 31-43.
- **3.** Parkinson Hilary, Mangold Jane & Menalled Fabian (2013). Weed seedling identification guide for Montana and the Northern Great Plains. Montana State University Extension.
- Chomas, A. J., Kells, J. J. & Carey, J. B. (2001). Common weed seedlings of the North Central States. North Central Regional Extension. Publication No. 607.
- Das, A. and Kamilya, P. (2020). Describing morphological characters of seedlings of some dicotyledonous weeds their identification and management. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*. 52(4), 366-377.
- 6. Singh, A. K. (2015). Identification of some Dicot Weeds at Seedling Stage. *International Journal of Advanced Research*, 3(5), 332-340.
- Chakravarty, A.K. (1957). Weed flora of the paddy fields of West Bengal. *Indian Agric.*, 1, 19-26.
- **8.** Hore, D.K. and Triphathi, R.S. (1985). Ecological Survey of Weeds, West Bengal. All India Co-ordinated Research Project on Weed Control, I.I.T., Kharagpur.

- **9.** Maity, G.G. and Guha Bakshi, D.N. (1981). Invasion of Exotic Weeds in West Bengal since 1903 dicotyledons and monocotyledons. *J. Econ. Tax. Bot.*, 2, 1-21.
- **10.** Majumder, R. B. (1962). Weed flora of the district of 24-Parganas, W. Bengal. *Indian Agric*, 6, 8-13.
- **11.** Kamilya, P.K. (2008). Survey of Weed flora of Atrai River bed in Dakshin Dinajpur District of West Bengal. *Pleione*, 2(1), 77-86.
- **12.** Bhattacharya, B. (1996). Seedling morphology of some dicotyledonous weeds of West Bengal with reference to taxonomy. University of Calcutta, 35, Ballyguange Circular Rd, Kolkata.
- **13.** Kamilya. P., Das. A., Das. A. and Sarkar, A. (2015). Comparative analysis of major phenotypical traits of some Dicotyledonous weed seedlings of crop fields of Balurghat Block, Dakshin Dinajpur, West Bengal. *Pleione*, 9(1), 107-118.
- 14. De Vogel, E. D. (1980). Seedlings of dicotyledons. Structure, development, types, descriptions of 150 woody Malesian taxa. Centre for Agricultural Publishing and Documentation, Wageningen, pp-1-475. ISN:108162
- **15.** Hzn. Burger D. (1972). Seedlings of some tropical trees and shrubs mainly of South East Asia. Centre for Agricultural Publishing and Documentation, Wageningen. pp 23-370. ISBN: 90 220 04163
- **16.** Bokdam, J. (1977). Seedling Morphology of Some African Sapotaceae and Its Taxonomic Significance, Mendellingen Landouwhoge school, Wegeningen, Netherland, pp 5-80.
- Hickey, L.J. (1973). Classification of architecture of dicotyledonous leaves. *American Journal of Botany*, 60(1), 18-33.
- **18.** Canne, M. J. (1983). The taxonomic significance of seedling morphology in *Agalinis* (Scrophulariaceae). *Canadian Journal of Botany*, 61(7), 1868-1874.
- Singh, A.K., Sahu, R.K. and Singh, S. (2014). Morphotaxonomic studies on *Andrographis paniculata* (Burm. f.) Wall. ex Nees seedling - A medicinal plant. *Indian Forester*, 140(11), 1056-1060.
- **20.** Duke, J.A. (1969). On Tropical tree seedlings seeds, seedlings, systems and systematics. *Ann. Missouri Bot. Gard.* 56(2), 125-61.
- **21.** Troup, R. S. (1921). The silviculture of Indian trees. Clarendon Press Oxford UK, (3 Vols), pp 785-1172.
- **22.** Paria, N. D. (2014). Botanical research in India in the domain of seedling Morphology in relation to taxonomy. *Science and Culture*, 80(9-10), 262 270.
- **23.** Sampathkumar, R. (1982). Studies on the cotyledonary leaves of some Convolvulaceae. *Taxon*, 31(1), 53-56.

Research Journal of Agriculture and Forestry Sciences_ Vol. **10(2)**, 1-18, April (**2022**)

- 24. Augustine, K. T. (2004). Seedling Morphology of *Cassytha filiformis* (Lauraceae) from Thumba, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala. *Journal of Economic and Taxonomic Botany*, 28(1), 254256.
- **25.** Das, D. C. and Paria, N. D. (2008). Seedling morphology identification of some Indian species of *Bauhinia* L. (Caesalpiniaceae). *Feddes Reportium*, 110(5-6), 375-379.
- 26. Singh, A. K., Singh, S. and Devi, Y. (2008). Morpho Taxonomic observations on seedlings of two common weeds - Croton bonplandianus Baill. And Xanthium indicum Koeing from Varanasi (U. P.). Journal of Economic and Taxonomic Botany, 32(2), 311-315
- **27.** Singh, A. K., Singh, S., Srivastava, M. and Srivastava, S. (2008). Seed and seedling morphology of a medicinal plant *Catharanthus roseus. Indian Forester*, 34(4), 537-541.
- **28.** Malik, V. and Anand, S. (2014). Observations on the Seedling Morphology of *Ajuga bracteosa* Wall. ex Benth. (Lamiaceae). *Annals of Plant Sciences*, 3(6), 745-747.
- **29.** Rani, R. and Datta, B. K. (2020). Seedling morphology of some medicinal plants from Leguminosae of Tripura, North-East India. *Ambient Science*, 8(1), 31-36.
- **30.** Prain David (2004,2010). Bengal Plants, Bishen Singh Mahendra Pal Singh publication, India. Vols. I and II, pp1-663, 664-1278, ISBN 81-211-0429-7 (set).
- **31.** Kanjilal, U.N., Kanjilal, P.C., Das, A., Purkayastha, C. & Bor, N.L. (1934-1940). Flora of Assam (5 volumes), Govt. of Assam Press, Shillong.
- 32. Mitra Sunit and Mukherjee, Sobhan Kumar (2013). Flora and ethnobotany of West Dinajpur District, West Bengal, Bishen Singh Mahendra Pal Singh punlication, India. pp 1-800. ISBN: 8121107385
- **33.** Hajra, P. K. (1997). Flora of West Bengal. (Vol-I), The Director Botanical Survey of India Publication. India, pp 1-485
- 34. Paul, T.K., Lakshminarasimhan, P., Chowdhery, H.J., Dash, S.S., & Singh, P. (2015). Flora of West Bengal. (Vol-II), The Director Botanical Survey of India Publication, India, pp 1-411. ISBN: 81-8177-063-3

- **35.** Ranjan, V., Lakshminarasimhan, P., Dash, S.S. & Chowdhery, H.J. (2016). Flora of West Bengal. (Vol-III.), The Director Botanical Survey of India Publication, India, pp 1-470. ISBN:818177075-7
- **36.** Lakshminarasimhan, P., Dash, S.S., Singh, P. & Chowdhery, H.J. (2019). Flora of West Bengal. (Vol-IV), The Director Botanical Survey of India publication, India, pp 9-694. ISBN: 8181770554-4
- **37.** Takhtajan Amren (2003). Diversity and classification of flowering plants. Bishen Singh Mahendra Pal Singh publication, India, pp10-588. ISBN:81-211-0211-1
- **38.** Muller, F. M. (1978). Seedlings of the North-Western European lowland: A flora of seedlings. Centre for Agricultural Publishing and Documentation, Wageningen, pp. 1-654
- **39.** Kamilya, P., Das, S., Das, A. and Sarkar, A. (2018). Analysis of qualitative and quantitative traits in seedlings of some crop field weeds (dicot) of Kaliachak-II Block of Malda district of West Bengal, India. *Pleione*, 12(2), 242-248.
- **40.** Fatinah, A.A, Arumingtyas, E.L. and Masturi, R. (2012). Genetic diversity study among six genera of amaranth family found in malang based on RAPD marker. *The Journal of Tropical Life Science*, 2(3), 81-86.
- **41.** Pinar, N.M. and Inceoglu, O. (1999). Pollen morphology of Turkish *Chenopodium* L. (Chenopodiaceae). *Tropical Journal of Botany*, 23, 179-186.
- **42.** Rahman, A.H.M.M. (2013). Systematics studies on Asteraceae in the northern region of Bangladesh. *American Journal of Life Science*, 1(4), 155-164
- **43.** Zotz, G., Wilhem, K. and Becker, A. (2011). Heteroblasty A review. *The Botanical Review*. 77(2), 109-181.
- **44.** Sanyal, S. and Paria, N. D. (2015). Seedling morphology as a tool for taxonomic study in some members of Leguminosae (Fabaceae). *International Journal of Plant, Animal and Env. Sci.*, 5(1), 1-15.